Polarity-JaM: An image analysis toolbox for cell polarity, junction and morphology quantification

Wolfgang Giese^{1,2,,,*}, Jan Philipp Albrecht^{1,3,4,*}, Olya Oppenheim^{1,2,5}, Emir Bora Akmeriç^{1,2,5}, Julia Kraxner^{1,2}, Deborah Schmidt^{1,3}, Kyle Harrington^{1,6}, and Holger Gerhardt^{1,2,5,7}

¹Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association, Berlin, Germany
 ²DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research), Berlin, Germany
 ³ HELMHOLTZ IMAGING, Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association, Berlin, Germany
 ⁴Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Berlin, Germany
 ⁵Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany
 ⁶Chan Zuckerberg Institute for Advanced Biological Imaging, Redwood City, CA, USA
 ⁷Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany
 * equal contributions

Cell polarity involves the asymmetric distribution of cellular components such as signaling molecules and organelles within a cell, asymmetries of a cell's shape as well as contacts with neighbouring cells. Gradients and mechanical forces often act as global cues that bias cell polarity and orientation, and polarity is coordinated by communication between adjacent cells.

Advances in fluorescence microscopy combined with deep learning algorithms for image segmentation open up a wealth of possibilities to understand cell polarity behaviour in health and disease. We have therefore developed the open-source package Polarity-JaM, which offers versatile methods for performing reproducible exploratory image analysis. Multi-channel single cell segmentation is performed using a flexible and userfriendly interface to state-of-the-art deep learning algorithms. Interpretable single-cell features are automatically extracted, including cell and organelle orientation, cell-cell contact morphology, signaling molecule gradients, as well as collective orientation, tissue-wide size and shape variation. Circular statistics of cell polarity, including polarity indices, confidence intervals, and circular correlation analysis, can be computed using our web application. We have developed data graphs for comprehensive visualisation of key statistical measures and suggest the use of an adapted polarity index when the expected polarisation direction or the direction of a global cue is known a priori.

The focus of our analysis is on fluorescence image data from endothelial cells (ECs) and their polarisation behaviour. ECs line the inside of blood vessels and are essential for vessel formation and repair, as well as for various cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and inflammation. However, the general architecture of the software will allow it to be applied to other cell types and image modalities. The package is built in in Python, allowing researchers to seamlessly integrate Polarity-JaM into their image and data analysis workflows, see https://polarityjam. readthedocs.io. In addition, a web application for statistical analysis, available at www.polarityjam.com, and a Napari plugin are available, each with a graphical user interface to facilitate exploratory analysis.

Cell polarity | Image analysis | Circular statistics | Endothelial cells | Software | Workflow

Correspondence: mdc@wolfgang-giese.com

Introduction

Cellular polarity is important in many biological phenomena spanning from developmental processes to wound healing and angiogenesis. Cell migration, cell division, and morphogenesis depend on prior polarisation and breaking of spatial symmetry. Spatial reorganisation of the plasma membrane, cytoskeleton, cell-cell junctions, or organelles is required to establish an axis of polarity with a distinct direction, meaning 'front and back', to guide directed processes (1). In these processes, cells have to adapt and react according to multiple and often conflicting cues from their environment (2).

Fluorescence microscopy has become an invaluable tool for producing high-resolution, high-content images of *in vitro* systems as well as *in vivo* tissues. These images can be obtained at subcellular resolution of less than one micron, with multiple fluorescence channels acquired in parallel, often on multiple planes, allowing for detailed quantification of cellular polarity and asymmetries. At the same time, deep learning segmentation algorithms have developed at a staggering pace over the past few years, enabling the segmentation of individual cells and organelles with near-human accuracy (3–8). This opens up a wealth of unprecedented possibilities, but also creates new challenges for comprehensible and interpretable image data analysis workflows that fully exploit these new potentials.

Each cell has a unique shape, a particular spatial distribution of organelles, and contains different absolute amounts (measured by intensity) and distributions (gradients) of protein species. In addition, junctional cell-cell contacts exist with their own morphological phenotypes. Taken together, this image-based information provides a snapshot of a cell's state, which we aim to turn into quantitative and comparable features. We demonstrate our investigations on image data from endothelial cells (ECs). ECs line the inside of blood vessels and play a crucial role in organ function and health of the whole organism. Migration of these cells is important for vessel formation and repair, but can also be involved in disease processes in the cardiovascular system, cancer, or inflammation. ECs experience shear stress when blood flow passes through blood vessels, causing alterations at the collective and single cell level, including changes in EC polarity, alignment, shape, size, junctions, and gene expression. We describe some of the measurements and their biological correlates, see Fig. 1. The location or distribution of the organelle in the cell may be quantified in relation to the nu-



Fig. 1. A: Endothelial cells under static conditions have a random polarity, while endothelial cells exposed to flow (the direction is indicated by the black arrow) are polarised against flow (indicated here by the relative nuclei-Golgi polarity) and elongate. B: Examples of image-based cellular polarity, morphology, and cell-cell junction readouts in Polarity-JaM.

cleus or the cell centre. For example, the position of nucleus and Golgi apparatus are used to compute orientation vectors for each cell, see Fig. 1 A,B. Nuclei-Golgi polarity has been positively correlated with directed motility (9) and cell orientation in tissue (10) in several cell types, including endothelial and epithelial cells. EC polarity can be induced by multiple signaling cues, including shear stress and VEGFA, which can be in competition with each other (11). Various EC shear stress sensors have been identified that induce EC polarisation, including Piezo1, plexinD1, focal adhesions (FA), the VEGFR2 / PECAM1 / VE-cadherin complex, or caveolae (12). As a result, ECs adapt their shape and orientation to shear forces (10, 13). Cell shape is often measured using circularity, shape index, or length-to-width ratio (LWR). The LWR has been positively correlated with VEGFA treatment in all EC types (14), the collective signature also differs across organs and microenvironments (15). Collective cellular orientation has also been correlated with both shear flow types, pulsatile and laminar, which have been shown to organise collective EC phenotypes. Pulsatile flow does not organise the direction of orientation as strongly as laminar flow (16, 17).

A major challenge in the creation of every image analysis pipeline is identifying and measuring informative features. This search has a large iterative component and is based on precise and accurate measurement of the relevant microscopy data (18). Several studies have proposed new meaningful measures such as Quantify Polarity (19), Junction Mapper (20) and Griottes (21) or reviewed existing ones (18). However, it is not yet possible to integrate these different aspects into a single pipeline and perform a multivariate analysis. These requirements and constraints motivated the development of the Polarity-JaM package, which is built to streamline the process of exploratory image analysis; this is accomplished by providing the end user with functionality that includes a wide range of features, explanatory metadata, clear and concise documentation, and high testing coverage. Proper meta-data and testing mean that parameters can be tuned safely and systematically, and the functionality of the three main components of the package can be -reasonablyextended to new types of analysis. All relevant analysis can be performed with our package, making installation and usage straightforward. For relevant terminology in our article, we provide a glossary at the end of the supplement, see Table S14.

To visualise and explore the wide range of cellular polarity, morphology, and junction features, we have developed an Rshiny application. In particular, our toolbox focusses on polarity data, which typically requires bespoke statistical tools for circular data that are not available in standard toolboxes. Circular statistics and correlations can be computed and visualised in scatter plots. We make use of phenotypic descriptors that provide the user with bonafide summary statistics that can be correlated with biological processes. For example, flow-mediated morphogenesis in EC collectives, which affects descriptors of cell elongation, cell shape, and nuclei orientation, as well as nuclei-Golgi polarity and changes in junctional morphology, can be directly correlated using circular statistics in our Web App. A central aim of our package is to aggregate diverse biologically descriptive statistics under the auspices of a single workflow that can measure multiple aspects of endothelial biology. In our Methods and Results section, relevant statistics are described and their combined use demonstrated.

In this article, we present Polarity-JaM, an open-source software suite for measuring cell features to describe collective behaviour in microscopic images. The engineering of features is a non-trivial task and requires biological ex-

pert knowledge as well as deep understanding of the data and problem, which is explicitly addressed in our proposed pipeline. We will discuss several examples typical for EC flow assays, including quantification of polarity in subcellular organelle arrangement, orientation of cell and nuclei shape, subcellular signal asymmetries based on intensity measurements, subcellular localisation of signaling processes, and cell-cell junction morphology. In parallel, we will introduce informative statistical plots that allow to display all relevant information, including a circular histogram, the polarity index, confidence intervals and circular correlations - many of these useful measures are often omitted or rarely used in the quantification of collective cell systems. In summary, we propose a holistic image analysis workflow that is accessible to the end user in bench science.

Results

Asymmetries in subcellular localisation of organelles.

Cell polarisation is a dynamic process that involves the reorganisation of various cellular components, including the cytoskeleton, intracellular signaling molecules, organelles, and the cell membrane. The nucleus is the most prominent organelle in eukaryotic cells and is constantly exposed to intrinsic and extrinsic mechanical forces that trigger dynamic changes in nuclei morphology and position (22). The centrosome is outside the nucleus, also referred to as the microtubule organisation centre (MTOC) and, unlike its name, is rarely located at the geometric centre of the cell (23, 24). The Golgi apparatus is involved in the establishment of cell polarity by sorting and packaging proteins into distinct membranebound vesicles that are then trafficked to different parts of the cell (25). In most animal cells, the Golgi apparatus is one complex, and its position is frequently used as a read-out for cell polarity and indicator of migration direction. Note that there are several other organelles in other cell types that could be used as readouts, which are not discussed here. In summary, organelles are positioned around the nucleus, and their positions are crucial to many directed processes, such as cell division, directed migration, asymmetric adhesion, or heterogeneous cell-cell contact. Their positioning may have passive or active effects on crucial mechanisms, including Rho GTPase signaling, but also Map Kinase signalling (26, 27).

Sprouting angiogenesis and vascular remodelling are based on EC polarity and directed migration (28, 29). A common readout in microscopic images of ECs *in vivo* and *in vitro* are relative nuclei-Golgi locations. In response to flow-induced shear stress, the Golgi is located upstream of the nucleus against the flow direction (10). The nuclei-Golgi polarity is often used as a proxy for the migration direction in static images.

We will exemplify our approach using nuclei-Golgi positioning and nucleus displacement as read-out; see Fig. 2. We applied shear stress levels of 6 dyne/cm² and 20 dyne/cm² for 4 h under different media conditions, to induce robust collective polarisation of the EC monolayers (see Fig. 2). Microscopic images contained a junction channel, a nuclei channel, and Golgi staining. An example image is shown in Fig. S1A and Fig. S2. Using the Cellpose (4) algorithm, we obtained segmentations for cells and nuclei. Golgi segmentations were obtained by applying Otsu thresholding directly to the Golgi stained channel and superimposing the resulting mask with the cell segmentation from Cellpose. The results are shown in Fig. S1 B. The nuclei-Golgi vectors were automatically calculated for each cell, see Fig. 2 A. In tandem, we also obtained vectors from the cell centres to the nuclei centres, which provide a measure of the direction of nuclei displacement.

The collective strength of polarisation is commonly measured using the polarity index (30), which is calculated as the resultant vector of all orientation vectors from each single cell. Mathematically we obtain a vector for every single cell, which in our example points from the nucleus to the Golgi:

$$\vec{r_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_i \\ \sin \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1)

The average of the individual vectors is used to calculate the resultant vector from

$$\vec{r} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{r_i},$$
 (2)

where N is the number of cells. The length of this vector, computed from $R = ||\vec{r}||$, is called the polarity index (PI) and its direction is the mean of the distribution. The value of the polarity index varies between 0 and 1 and indicates how much the distribution is concentrated around the mean direction. A polarity index close to 1 implies that the data are concentrated around the mean direction, while a value close to 0 suggests that the data are randomly distributed or spread in several directions. In summary, the polarity index indicates the collective orientation strength of the cell layer or tissue. Note that the polarity index is closely related to the variance of the distribution by S = 1 - R. In Fig. 2 B,D,E the value of the polarity index is shown and indicates the strength of the collective flow response.

To complement this analysis, we introduce a signed polarity index, which is a modified version of the V-score and is calculated to obtain the V-test statistics (31). The signed polarity index assumes a known polar direction. For example, if we assume that flow is orientated from left to right and ECs polarise against flow, we set the polar direction to an angle of $\mu_0 = 0$ in our reference system, see Fig. 2 B. The signed polarity index is computed from:

$$V = cR,\tag{3}$$

with
$$c = \cos(\bar{\alpha} - \mu_0)$$
. (4)

The signed polarity index varies between -1 and 1 and indicates the strength of polarisation with respect to an assumed polarisation direction. In our example, a value of -1 indicates that all cells are perfectly orientated against flow, while a value of 1 indicates that all cells are perfectly orientated with flow. For values in between, the distribution is more spread or diverges from the polar direction. Therefore, the



Fig. 2. Asymmetric localisation of organelles as a measure for cell polarity. A: Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) in culture exposed to 20 dyne/cm² shear stress at 4 h. Nuclei-Golgi polarity [left] and displacement of the nuclei within each cell with respect to its centre [right]. Orientation is indicated by a cyclic colour scheme and white arrows from the centre of the nuclei to the centre of the Golgi (nucleus-Golgi polarity) or from the centre of each cell to the nucleus (nucleus-displacement polarity). The flow direction is always from left to right. Scale bar 20 μ m . B: Circular histograms of the distribution of cell orientations of a single image; the red arrow indicates the mean direction of the cell collective and its length is the polarity index. The red dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals. Black dots indicate single-cell measurements. The grey arrow indicates the polar vector that points in the direction of flow, and the length of the black bar indicates the signed polarity index (V). C: Circular correlation of nuclei-Golgi polarity and nuclei displacement polarity. D: Ensemble plot of nuclei-Golgi polarity generated with the Polarity-JAM app for different flow conditions, each containing several hundreds of cells from $N_r \ge 3$ biological replicates. E: Ensemble plot of the nuclei displacement orientation polarity generated with the Polarity-JAM app for different flow conditions, each containing several hundreds of cells from $N_r \ge 3$ biological replicates.



Fig. 3. Asymmetry in cell and nuclei shape and their orientation. A: Example input image. B,D: The major and minor axes of the cell (B) and nuclei (D) shapes are indicated with white dashed lines. The angle of the major axis with the x-axis serves as a readout for the orientation of the cells (B) and nuclei (D), which is indicated with a circular colour scheme ranging from 0 to 180 degrees. C: Circular correlation between cell shape orientation and nuclei orientation.

signed polarity index measures both the deviation from the expected polarity direction and the spread of the distribution. We suggest a graphical design for the representation of the polarity index, the signed polarity index, the polar direction, confidence intervals, circular histogram, and single measurements, see supplementary Fig. S3.

The distribution of the polarity index (R) and signed polarity index (V) for $N_i = 30$ images per condition is shown in Fig. S4 A, B for the nuclei-Golgi polarity and in Fig. S4 C,D for nuclei displacement. We found that the nulcei-Golgi polarisation of the ECs under shear stress is highly correlated with the displacement of the nuclei and points in the opposite direction, Fig. 2 B,C. We found the same behaviour for a wide range of flow conditions; see Fig. 2 D,E and Fig. S4 E, but with different magnitudes depending on the media conditions and magnitude of shear stress. Our web application includes a number of different statistical tests, including the Rayleigh test, the V-test, Watson test, and Rao's spacing test, see Supplementary Note 6. It should be noted that these tests are not appropriate for measurements of individual cells, given that the groups of single cells within a monolayer or tissue exhibit significant correlation. We therefore recommend the use of estimation statistics (32) to calculate effect sizes Fig. S4 of collective parameters such as the polarity index (R) and

signed polarity index (V).

In (33) a systematic analysis has demonstrated that the V-test, which is based on the computation of the signed polarity index, is recommended over other tests if an expected direction is known *a priori*. Note that here we use the flow direction as the polar direction, whereas for the V-test the expected polarisation direction must be used. For the nuclei-Golgi polarity, for example, the expected polarisation direction points in the opposite direction to the flow direction, while for the nuclei displacement both the flow direction and the expected polarisation direction are the same. The signed polarity index in our data provides a more accurate or comparable distinction of all conditions with respect to control, in particular for 6 dyne/cm² and 20 dyne/cm². In conclusion, it is advantageous to use the signed polarity index when the expected direction of polarisation or the direction of an external signal is known in advance.

Shape asymmetries. Cell morphology is an important aspect of cell biology as it provides insight into the structure and function of a cell. Cell morphology can be used to study the effects of different treatments on cells, the effects of genetic mutations, to understand how cells interact with their environment, and to identify potential therapeutic tar-

gets for disease. Furthermore, the cell shape is strongly connected to cell polarity, direction of cell movement, orientation of cell division, and organisation of the cytoskeleton. The shape of the cell also affects the ability of cells to interact with their environment and to respond to external signals. There are also different effects on the organisation of molecules, the protrusion can function as small pockets and reaction chambers, while signaling gradients are more easily stabilised along the long axis of the cell than the short axis (27, 34). Cell shape is also coupled with the migration direction; for example, in keratocyte-like motion, polarisation occurs along the short axis of the cell (35), while other cell types migrate along the long axis (36).

Recent studies have shown that monolayer orientation is modulated by both physical and chemical stimuli. Vion et al. (17) show that shear stress and VEGF-A act to modulate the cohesiveness of cell orientation in the endothelial monolayer. Physiochemical stimuli interact to modulate patterns of EC interaction across scales. As shear stress increases, endothelial cells polarise against flow, however, this phenotype is ablated with EC senescence. Furthermore, Deb et al. (16) demonstrated that cell size is modulated by shear flow. Longer exposure to shear flow decreases cell area, while increasing cell aspect ratio across longer (approx. 48 h) time scales. The size of ECs has also been positively correlated with senescence (37). Laminar shear stress induces endothelial cell elongation (38). Alteration in substrate stiffness leads to changes in cell shape through mechanotransduction (39). Furthermore, cell shape can be an indicator of the mesoscale properties of tissue or cell monolayer and is often used as an order parameter in soft matter physics (40). In summary, cell shape and orientation are important readouts for directed cellular processes.

We applied our workflow to endothelial cells exposed to 6 dyne/cm². The angle of the major axis of the cell or nucleus shape with the x axis serves as a readout for their orientation, see Fig. 3A,B. Here, any angle α_i is identified with its opposite $\alpha_i + 180$, thus we do not distinguish the front and back of the cell (or nucleus). An angular histogram showing the angle distribution was then generated with our app, which in this case is mirrored on the x-axis. Note that angular data of cell shape and nuclei orientation angles are referred to as axial data, which means that all orientation angles $\alpha_i, 1, \dots, N$ take values between 0 and 180 degrees. These axial orientation data were converted to directional data by doubling all values $\theta_i = 2\alpha_i$. The mean direction was calculated from $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\theta}{2}$, where $\bar{\theta}$ is the common circular mean of the directional data θ_i . Similarly, the polarity index (R) was calculated as the length of the mean resulting vector of directional values θ_i . Again, the polarity index varies between 0 and 1 and indicates how much the distribution is concentrated around the mean. A polarity index close to 1 implies that the data are concentrated around the mean direction, while a value close to 0 suggests that the data are evenly distributed or random. The V-score can be computed in the same fashion as for directed circular data (see Supplementary Note 6 for more details).

Changes in intracellular state or interactions with neighbouring cells can also induce changes in the shape of the cell and its organelles, in particular the nucleus (22). We tested the coupling of cell shape orientation to nuclei orientation in ECs and found a significant correlation, see Fig. 3. Similarly, we found that cell elongation and nuclei elongation are coupled. This shows that nuclei morphology and orientation can serve as a proxy for shape elongation and orientation.

There are different commonly used measures of shape changes that we divide into two groups. The first group is determined by fitting an ellipse to the cell shape, identifying its major (longest) and minor (shortest) axes. However, this approach does not capture the complexity of protrusions such as filopodia or lamellipodia. The second group is computed from the relation of area and perimeter, such as, for example, circularity or shape index (40), see Materials and Methods for more details. In particular, the shape index has been popular in soft matter physics to characterise the mesoscale properties of tissues or cell monolayers as solid or fluid (41).

Quantification of intracellular signalling gradients. Gradients or asymmetric distributions of signaling molecules are inherent in cell polarity. Often these asymmetries are decoded into rather small and subtle gradients that can be amplified by signaling feedback systems, such as the Rho GTPase system (42, 43). The establishment of these signaling gradients within single cells allows cell collectives to respond to their environment in a coordinated manner and is used to control cell migration, differentiation, and other cellular processes (44). Quantifying the direction and strength of intracellular signaling gradients between different experimental conditions is therefore crucial to gain insight into the underlying processes.

The notch signaling pathway is involved in the regulation of various genes responsible for angiogenesis (45) and has been shown to be a mechanosensor in adult arteries (46). Therefore, the effects of this pathway are of great interest. We present an automatic quantification approach of signaling gradients for each cell with the example of the NOTCH1 protein using our tool. We investigated both circular and linear features. First, the marker polarity is a novel circular feature, which can be described as the direction from the geometric cell centre to the weighted centre of marker intensity of the cell. Second, we computed the cue directional intensity ratio as a linear feature, which can loosely be described as the ratio between the mean intensities of the left-hand and right-hand cell-half of a cell perpendicular to a given cue direction. We provide a detailed description in the Materials and Methods section. The ratio takes values ranging from [-1, 1] where -1 indicates a strong asymmetry against a direction of the cue, 0 without visible effect, and +1 a strong asymmetry along the direction of the cue. An example image together with a visualisation of the cue directional intensity ratio and marker polarity is shown in Fig. 4 A (from left to right). A similar approach of using ratios on opposite sides of the cell was introduced by (47) to determine the magnitude and angle of polarity of a given cell.

To investigate the polarity of the Notch1 signal, we compared



Fig. 4. Notch signal signaling asymmetry in endothelial cell culture exposed to flow. A: Left shows the NOTCH1 signal (orange) combined with the junction channel (blue). Middle shows the NOTCH1 (here called marker) cue directional intensity ratio. The blue circle inside each cell marks the centroid of the cell, while the vertical dashed red lines are perpendicular to the flow direction and thus separate the cell to front and back. Right shows the marker polarity as a directional property. **B**: Shows the cue directional intensity ratio of the NOTCH1 signal with respect to the flow direction before [left], after 30 minutes [middle], and after 2h [right] of exposure to shear stress. Statistics mean +- std from left to right: -0.0026+-0.0539, 0.0687+-0.0597, 0.0512+-0.0609. **C**: The circular histogram of the polarity of the NOTCH1 signal before applying shear stress [left], after 30 minutes of exposure [middle], and after 2 h of shear stress [right]. The red arrow points towards the collective mean direction of polarity, and its length represents the polarity index. Dashed lines indicate the 95% confidence intervals of the circular mean. Black dots indicate single-cell measurements. **D**: Correlation between marker polarity and directional intensity ratio.

ECs in static conditions and exposed to shear stress for a 2 h time period. The images contained a junction and nucleus channel as well as a NOTCH1 staining. The junction and nucleus channel were used for segmentation to then quantify the intracellular NOTCH1 signaling gradient.

The result of the analysis is shown in Fig. 4 bottom row. We observed a shift in the marker cue directional intensity ratio when comparing static and shear stress conditions. We observed a rather small, but consistent change in mean, indicating a small gradient of the NOTCH1 signal, with a lower concentration on the flow facing side, Fig. 4 B. The results of the marker polarity analysis showed strong asymmetry effects, see Fig. 4 C, with large polarity index of 0.647 and 0.47 and V-score of 0.639 and 0.458 at 30 min and 120 min, respectively, while the mean was pointing along the direction of flow. This confirms the results from (46). A circular-linear correlation analysis between the polarity index and the directional intensity ratio of the cue revealed a correlation coefficient of 0.721, see Fig. 4 D, which implies a strong correlation between both measurements. Since signaling gradients in a single cell are rather small and sometimes noisy(48), ratio values are expected to be close to zero and show higher variance. However, the cue directional intensity ratio is a linear feature, easy to interpret, and can be compared between experimental setups, which is why we included it in our set of features. The marker polarity, on the contrary, is much more sensitive, but does not measure the magnitude of the gradients, but only their direction.

Localised marker expression of KLF4. To complement our investigation of signal intensity gradients, we also char-

acterised the localisation of image-based signal intensities, which indicate the localisation of specific processes. Localisation of cellular processes in biological cells is important because it allows for precise regulation of downstream processes, such as gene expression, protein synthesis, signaling and other cellular activities. For instance, it is important whether molecules are localised at the cell membrane, where they might get activated via phosphorylation, or if they fulfil other functions through anchoring to the membrane. Localisation to the nucleus is also important for a variety of cellular processes, including gene expression or RNA processing. To quantify the signal in the different subcellular compartments, we compute the total amount and concentration of signal intensity, in the nucleus, the cytosol (without the nucleus) and the membrane nucleus, see Fig. 5 A. We demonstrate the capabilities of the Polarity-JaM pipeline, by quantifying the intensity ratio of Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) in the nucleus with respect to the cytosol. KLF4 is a transcription factor that is known to be upregulated via exposure to laminar shear stress (49, 50). We calculated the intensity of KLF4 in the nucleus and cytosol for static, after 4 h and 16 h of 6 dyne/cm² flow. We found a significant increase after 4 h of flow exposure in nuclei localisation compared to control and a slow decrease at 16h compared to 4h Fig. 5 B,C. For statistical comparison, we have used the DABEST method (51), see Fig. 5 C.

Junction morphology. Cell–cell junctions underpin any architecture and organisation of tissue. They vary in different tissues, organs, and cell types and need to be dynamically remodelled in development, homeostasis, and diseases. For ex-



Fig. 5. Localised single-cell fluorescence intensity quantification. A: Quantification of the intensity of KLF4 antibody staining. Overlay KLF4 and junction channel [left], KLF4 intensity in the nuclei [middle] and in the cytosol without nucleus [right]. B: Intensity ratio of the KLF4 reporter in the nucleus and in the cytosol was colour coded for each single cell. C: Statistical comparison of the change using the DABEST method shows a significant difference between static conditions and endothelial cells exposed to flow after 4 and 16 h. Each dot indicates a different image with N=50 - 100 cells each. Black bars indicate 95 % confidence intervals of the mean difference.

ample, endothelial cell-cell junctions must provide stability and prevent leakage while also allowing dynamic cellular rearrangements during sprouting, anastomosis, and lumen formation (52). The organisation and topology of junctions and inversely the organisation and topology of endothelial cells contain a wealth of biological information. By analysing adjacency patterns in endothelial cells, organisational patterns that are associated with tissue phenotypes can be uncovered. There are vast differences in endothelial arrangement between different tissues and organs (53).

We are using the cell-cell contact features from an already published tool JunctionMapper to decipher cell-cell junctionrelated phenotypes (20). Note, that this tool is not adapted to studying EC in organs or in 3D tubular structures, which will be in the scope of future studies. The normalised junction features suggested in JunctionMapper allow one to quantify images of different resolution, cell type, and modalities. In our tool, the analysis is automatically performed in a region that is defined by the cell outlines, which we obtain from the instance segmentation using the proposed deep learning frameworks. This outline is dilated by a user-defined thickness. There are no more parameters necessary to define. The result can be seen in Fig. S1 C. The resulting area of the dilated outline is the interface area, which is computed for each single cell. We then derive the characteristic from a junction label, for example, VE-cadherin staining in the case of ECs, see Fig 6 A. The fragmented junction area results from Otsu thresholding in that region, see Fig. 6 B. Using these readouts, we can compute three features: (1) interface occupancy by computing the fragmented junction area over the interface area, (2) the intensity per interface area by computing the average intensity in the interface area, and (3) cluster density by the average intensity in the fragmented junction area. We find a unique signature of the three junction features in ECs after flow stimulation, see Fig 6 C, demonstrating the effectiveness of our method. In static condition, the cell-cell junctions are very heterogeneous, with some cells having thick junctions and high VE-cadherin intensity, while others have low signal intensity and low occupancy. Intensity and occupancy become more homogeneous within each field after exposure to flow. At 6 dyne/cm² the total intensity per interface area increases as well as the interface occupancy. At 20 dyne/cm², however, the junctions become thinner, resulting in lower interface occupancy, while the intensity per interface area remains almost the same compared to static. At the same time, the intensity within the junction increases, resulting in higher values of cluster density. For the entire junction analysis workflow, only one additional parameter needs to be specified, namely the width of the automatically generated outlines that serve as regions of interest for cell-cell contacts. In summary, Polarity-JaM offers the possibility to fully automate the essential parts of the JunctionMapper workflow by setting a single additional parameter.

Reproducability, Replicability and Interoperability. Iterative acquisition of images and various experimental settings sometimes require complex folder structures and naming schemes to organise data, leaving the researcher with the problem of data structure and reproducibility of their analysis. To help with both tasks, the Polarity-JaM pipeline has three execution scenarios: a) single image, b) image stack, and c) complex folder structure. Furthermore, a comprehensive logging output is provided, as well as a standardised input structure in yml format. The generated outputs follow a naming scheme. The extracted collective and single cell features are stored in a csv file. The results of the statistical analysis from the app can be downloaded in various formats, including pdf and svg. For different categories or conditions, the Polarity-JaM app uses several qualitative colour schemes



Fig. 6. Junction morphology quantification. A: Examples of endothelial cells in static condition, exposed to 6 dyne/cm² and 20 dyne/cm². VE-cadherin staining is shown in blue. B: Pictograms of quantities extracted from the images. C: Statistical comparison of three normalized morphological junction features interface occupancy [left], intensity per interface area [middle] and cluster density [right] demonstrate a significant change in junction dynamics after exposure to flow. Black bars indicate 95 % confidence intervals of the mean difference.

that are colour-blind friendly (54), the infrastructure follows a similar principle as PlotTwist, which was designed for time series analysis. Metadata and log information are also saved in a human-readable format on disk. Polarity-JaM uses wellestablished non-proprietary formats (such as csv, yml, tiff, svg) to aid interoperability, following a recommendation in (55). All statistical analysis for circular features shown in this study and more can be performed in the App. Our tool can be combined with other tools such as Griottes (21), polarity features can be mapped on spatial network graphs and their relation can be explored using the same segmentation.

Exploitative image analysis requires interactivity to quality check each analysis step. Hence, Polarity-JaM is designed with a simple Python API that is optimised for usage within a Jupyter Notebook(56). We provide several examples in our documentation on how to perform such an analysis. An overview of the entire Polarity-JaM software suite is depicted in Fig. 7. We additionally equip Polarity-JaM with a Napari (57) plugin with a graphical user interface to enable direct feedback on segmentation and features. Finally, Polarity-JaM is available via PyPI (Python Package Index). Taken together, we are committed to the principles of FAIR research (58).

Discussion

Our image data processing and analysis workflow can be used to simultaneously compute features of cell polarity, including organelle localisation, cell shape, and signalling gradients, allowing single-cell and collective high-content endothelial phenotyping. Circular statistics can be performed interactively via a web application. We also provide an informative graphical design for directional and axial data. We recommend the use of the signed polarity index when a polarisation direction is expected on the basis of an external polarisation cue, as is the case in most endothelial flow assays.

With the focus of the Polarity-JaM toolbox on a diverse fea-



Fig. 7. The workflow enables processing large image data stacks for automated feature extraction. The Python API can be used to facilitate quality control with the help of a Jupyter Notebook. The results can be analysed statistically using a graphical user interface.

ture set and replicability and interactivity, we provide means for answering various biologically motivated experimental questions and for the extraction of aspects that are otherwise easy to overlook. For instance, collective orientation and cell size have been inversely correlated with endothelial cell senescence. Older endothelial cells tend to be larger and share a less pronounced direction of orientation (37). The orientation as a collective phenotype can be correlated with processes such as flow and senescence. Multivariate analysis, for example, including size in the image analysis, could perhaps differentiate between endothelial phenotypes by flow or those by cellular senescence.

This investigation has been exemplified for endothelial cells, but can also be applied to other cell types such as the planar polarity of cardiomyocytes (59), epithelial cell tissues (19) and potentially other cell types. The image modality is not restricted to fluorescence microscopy but can be also applied to phase contrast or other - here the only restriction is that the image can be decomposed into masks of single cells. The current version of Polarity-JaM integrates different segmentation models, including Cellpose (8), microSAM (7) a fine-tuned model based on SAM (6), and DeepCell (5). There is a vivid community around all these segmentation algorithms (7, 8); therefore, we provide an interface to these models, which can also be adapted by the user. This will help maintain this software and ensure long-term use. Future development needs to address better segmentation of subcellular structures, including cell-cell junctions, cytoskeleton, and focal adhesion sites, using deep learning methods.

The quantification of junctional morphology is based on the features suggested in (20) including junction occupancy, cluster density, and intensity per interface area. While these features provide good indicators for junctional changes and adaptations, they may not be exhaustive referring to the manual morphological classification of adherens junctions, which is frequently done in five common categories: straight junctions, thick junctions, thick to reticular junctions, reticular junctions, and fingers (60). To automate the translation into this classification, further work is needed on junction segmentation, as well as an advanced classifier using manual training data, which was not ready at the time of this publication but will be addressed in the future.

Future challenges involve tissue and organoid image data in 3D space, which introduces more challenges in algorithmic development including robust segmentation (mainly due to the lack of training data), anisotropy in image acquisition, and the size of the image data. Also, efficient extraction of cell and nuclei features, which are by default not included in common packages, need to be developed. Multiplex imaging will stimulate further developments, as this image data modality dramatically increases the content of the information and therefore challenges meaningful feature extractions and comprehensive statistical spatial and circular approaches to compute cross correlations (61).

The focus of this pipeline is on static images. However, the pipeline could also be applied to a series of images, and feature extraction would be performed for each frame. The extracted data can be stitched together by label identification (62). Computational models can be informed by a wealth of quantitative data through our approach, including vertex models (40), but also the cellular Potts model(35, 63) or agent-based models (64, 65). The spatial context of can be further explored using tools such as Griottes (21) and the circular version of Moran's I (66) to extract collective phenotypes. Mechanistically, this will also help to predict different states of tissues and dynamics from static biomedical images (41, 67, 68), which is an interesting avenue for future research with a wide range of applications.

Materials and Methods

Experimental setup.

Cell culture and fluid shear stress assays. Commercially available human umbilical venous endothelial cells (HU-VECs, pooled donors, PromoCell) were cultured and used at passages 2-4 at 37°C and 5% CO2 humid incubator, in endothelial growth medium containing growth factors (EGM2, Lonza) for optimal cell growth. For passaging and fluid shear stress (FSS) assays, cells were washed once in sterile PBS, followed by a 5 minute incubation in Trypsin at 37 °C and 5% CO₂, then neutralized with FBS and EGM2. Cells were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 480 g and counted. For FSS assays, cells were seeded in 0.4 ibiTreat Luer flow slides (Ibidi) coated with 0.2 percent gelatin at a cell concentration of 2 million cells per ml. 100 µl of cell suspension were added to each slide and incubated overnight at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The following day, the slides were connected to red perfusion sets assembled onto perfusion units (Ibidi) and connected to a pump (Ibidi). Laminar shear stress was applied at 6 dyne/cm²

or 20 dyne/cm² for 4, 16, or 24 h inside a 37 °C and 5 % CO_2 humidity incubator. Static controls were kept in the same incubator for the duration of the experiment.

Immunofluorescence. At the end of a FSS assay, slides were disconnected from perfusion units and immediately fixated in 4 % PFA for 10 minutes, then washed 3 times in PBS. Slides were then blocked and permeabilized in CBB (Claudio's Blocking Buffer, see supporting information) for 30 minutes, followed by 1 h of incubation with primary antibodies at room temperature. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS, then incubated 1 h with secondary antibodies at room temperature, followed by another triple washing step, 5 minute incubation with DAPI, and finally mounted with a Mowiol + Dabco mixture in a 9:1 ratio. The primary and secondary antibodies used can be found in Table S1.

Confocal imaging. FSS immunostained slides were imaged on a confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, LSM 980) using a Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 NA Ph2 air objective. For each sample, 10 random positions throughout the flow slide were be selected and a Z-stack covering the entire depth of the monolayer were acquired. Slides were imaged with a twochannel setup, with channel one using the 488 and 633 lasers and channel two using the 405 and 561 lasers. Pinhole size was set to 1AU for both channels.

Image Analysis.

Segmentation. To isolate individual cells in a microscopic image, a process also known as instance segmentation, we used Cellpose, a deep neural network algorithm. Accurate instance segmentation can be created with pre-trained models it is provided with. These models can generalise well across both cell type and image modalities. For our analysis we used the model "cyto" for cell and "nuclei" for nuclei instance segmentation. For Golgi segmentation, Otsu-thresholding was performed. Subsequently, the segmentation mask was used to get the corresponding Golgi instance label. The performance of instance segmentation algorithms can vary for different modalities. Downstream analysis of features describing individual cells and their relationship with each other strongly depend on the quality of these segmentations. At this point Polarity-JaM offers three segmentation algorithms that the user can choose from: Cellpose, DeepCell, and microSAM. Additional segmentation algorithms are realised with the help of Album (69), a decentralised distribution platform where solutions (in this case implementations of segmentation algorithms) are distributed with their execution environment and can be used without additional overhead for the user. All of these show state of the art performance and are included in Polarity-JaM to enable segmentation for a broad range of modalities and cell types.

Single cell and organelle features. Common features are available within the *regionprops* scikit-image package (70). We extend the available measurements by various features. For a complete list of all features, see the Appendix 3.

Most features require central image moments (71) that can be calculated from the raw moments

$$m_{i,j} = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} x^{i} \cdot y^{j} \cdot I(x,y),$$
(5)

with i, j = 0, 1... are exponents, x,y the pixel coordinates, where I(x,y) refers to the image intensity at position x,y. Generally, the centre of mass of a grey scale image (e.g. a channel) is now given by

$$M = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (\frac{m_{1,0}}{m_{0,0}}, \frac{m_{0,1}}{m_{0,0}}).$$
 (6)

The central moments are then

$$\mu_{i,j} = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \bar{x})^{i} * (y - \bar{y})^{j} * I(x, y).$$
(7)

Shape orientation. With the central moments, we compute the orientation

$$\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{atan2}(2\mu_{1,1}, \mu_{2,0} - \mu_{0,2}), \tag{8}$$

which describes the angle of the major with the x-axis in the interval $[0, \pi]$ in radians or 0 to 180 degrees. Various features can be defined with the orientation, such as the cell shape orientation or the nucleus orientation in case the nucleus channel is provided.

Nucleus and organelle displacement. The displacement orientation from the nucleus to the centre of mass of the cell can be defined as

$$\alpha = \pi - \operatorname{atan2}(\bar{x}_t - \bar{x}_r, \bar{y}_t - \bar{y}_r), \qquad (9)$$

where index r indicates moments that are calculated on a reference channel, and t moments that are calculated on the target channel. In the case of nuclei-Golgi polarity, the target is the Golgi channel and the reference the nuclei channel. The orientation takes values in $[0, 2\pi]$ in radians, which corresponds to 0 to 360 degrees, in this study. Analogously, the orientation from i) nucleus to organelle, ii) centre of mass of a marker channel to cell centroid, and iii) centre of mass of a marker channel to nucleus centroid can be defined.

Signaling gradient quantification. We define the

$$s_r = \frac{(1 - 2I(x, y)A_l)}{I(x, y)A_{cell}},$$
 (10)

for a cell, where A_l is the area of the left cell half perpendicular along a given a cue direction θ and A_{cell} the area of the cell. Mathematically, the area A_l is described as

$$A_l = A_u \cap A_{cell} \tag{11}$$

with

$$A_u = \{ \bar{v} = (x, y) | \bar{v} \cdot \bar{v}_c < 0 \},$$
(12)

and $\bar{v_c} = (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$, where the polar direction or expected cue direction θ is given in radians.

Circular statistics. All statistical methods were implemented in R and R-shiny. We have applied the statistical tests from the CircStats package (31) for directional and axial data. For measures on a linear scale, we applied the DABEST ('data analysis with bootstrap-coupled estimation') method from (51).

Availability, code, and issues. The pipeline was developed in Python and is available through PyPi(72). The code for the R-shiny(73) application was written in R (https://www.r-project.org) and Rstudio (https://www.rstudio.com). The Polarity-JaM app can be used online via (www.polarityjam.com) without the installation procedure. Both the Polarity-JaM pipeline and the app can also be used offline. Additionally, both can be installed and used separately through album (69), a framework for scientific data processing with software solutions of heterogeneous tools. The link can found https://album-app.gitlab.io/catalogs/helmholtzbe imaging/de.mdc-berlin/polarityjam. The code for pipeline, app, and Napari plugin is published under the MIT licence and is available through GitHub (https://github.com/polarityjam). Additional documentation and information can be found at readthedocs (https://polarityjam.readthedocs.io). Issues and requests are tracked on GitHub issues. Collaboration and extension are possible and welcome. Instructions and best practices can be found in the documentation.

Bibliography

- 1. Ira Mellman and W James Nelson. Coordinated protein sorting, targeting and distribution in polarized cells. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*, 9(11):833–845, 2008.
- Danelle Devenport. The cell biology of planar cell polarity. Journal of Cell Biology, 207(2): 171–179, 2014.
- Uwe Schmidt, Martin Weigert, Coleman Broaddus, and Gene Myers. Cell detection with star-convex polygons. In Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention– MICCAI 2018: 21st International Conference, Granada, Spain, September 16-20, 2018, Proceedings, Part II 11, pages 265–273. Springer, 2018.
- Carsen Stringer, Tim Wang, Michalis Michaelos, and Marius Pachitariu. Cellpose: a generalist algorithm for cellular segmentation. *Nature methods*, 18(1):100–106, 2021.
- Noah F Greenwald, Geneva Miller, Erick Moen, Alex Kong, Adam Kagel, Thomas Dougherty, Christine Camacho Fullaway, Brianna J McIntosh, Ke Xuan Leow, Morgan Sarah Schwartz, et al. Whole-cell segmentation of tissue images with human-level performance using large-scale data annotation and deep learning. *Nature biotechnology*, 40(4):555–565, 2022.
- Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Segment anything.
- Anwai Archit, Sushmita Nair, Nabeel Khalid, Paul Hilt, Vikas Rajashekar, Marei Freitag, Sagnik Gupta, Andreas Dengel, Sheraz Ahmed, and Constantin Pape. Segment anything for microscopy. *bioRxiv*, pages 2023–08, 2023.
- Carsen Stringer and Marius Pachitariu. Cellpose3: one-click image restoration for improved cellular segmentation. *bioRxiv*, pages 2024–02, 2024.
- Megan E Brasch, Giuseppe Passucci, Anushree C Gulvady, Christopher E Turner, M Lisa Manning, and James H Henderson. Nuclear position relative to the golgi body and nuclear orientation are differentially responsive indicators of cell polarized motility. *PLoS One*, 14 (2):e0211408, 2019.
- Claudio A Franco, Martin L Jones, Miguel O Bernabeu, Ilse Geudens, Thomas Mathivet, Andre Rosa, Felicia M Lopes, Aida P Lima, Anan Ragab, Russell T Collins, et al. Dynamic endothelial cell rearrangements drive developmental vessel regression. *PLoS biology*, 13 (4):e1002125, 2015.
- 11. Pedro Barbacena, Maria Dominguez-Cejudo, Catarina G Fonseca, Manuel Gomez-Gonzalez, Laura M Faure, Georgia Zarkada, Andreia Pena, Anna Pezzarossa, Daniela Ramalho, Ylenia Giarratano, et al. Competition for endothelial cell polarity drives vascular morphogenesis in the mouse retina. *Developmental Cell*, 57(19):2321–2333, 2022.
- 12. Keiichiro Tanaka, Divyesh Joshi, Sushma Timalsina, and Martin A Schwartz. Early events in endothelial flow sensing. *Cytoskeleton*, 78(6):217–231, 2021.
- Miguel O Bernabeu, Martin L Jones, Rupert W Nash, Anna Pezzarossa, Peter V Coveney, Holger Gerhardt, and Claudio A Franco. Polnet: A tool to quantify network-level cell polarity and blood flow in vascular remodeling. *Biophysical journal*, 114(9):2052–2058, 2018.

- Francois Chesnais, Juliette Le Caillec, Errin Roy, Davide Danovi, and Lorenzo Veschini. High content image analysis to study phenotypic heterogeneity in endothelial cell monolayers. *bioRxiv*, pages 2020–11, 2021.
- Laia Gifre-Renom, Margo Daems, Aernout Luttun, and Elizabeth AV Jones. Organ-specific endothelial cell differentiation and impact of microenvironmental cues on endothelial heterogeneity. International journal of molecular sciences, 23(3):1477, 2022.
- Nandini Deb, Mir S Ali, Ashley Mathews, Ya-Wen Chang, and Carla MR Lacerda. Shear type and magnitude affect aortic valve endothelial cell morphology, orientation, and differentiation. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 246(21):2278–2289, 2021.
- Anne-Clémence Vion, Tijana Perovic, Charlie Petit, Irene Hollfinger, Eireen Bartels-Klein, Emmanuelle Frampton, Emma Gordon, Lena Claesson-Welsh, and Holger Gerhardt. Endothelial cell orientation and polarity are controlled by shear stress and vegf through distinct signaling pathways. *Frontiers in physiology*, page 1743, 2021.
- Siân Culley, Alicia Cuber Caballero, Jemima J Burden, and Virginie Uhlmann. Made to measure: an introduction to quantifying microscopy data in the life sciences. *Journal of Microscopy*, 2023.
- Su Ee Tan, Weijie Tan, Katherine H Fisher, and David Strutt. Quantifypolarity, a new tool-kit for measuring planar polarized protein distributions and cell properties in developing tissues. *Development*, 148(18):dev198952, 2021.
- Helena Brezovjakova, Chris Tomlinson, Noor Mohd Naim, Pamela Swiatlowska, Jennifer C Erasmus, Stephan Huveneers, Julia Gorelik, Susann Bruche, and Vania MM Braga. Junction mapper is a novel computer vision tool to decipher cell–cell contact phenotypes. *Elife*, 8:e45413, 2019.
- Gustave Ronteix, Andrey Aristov, Valentin Bonnet, Sebastien Sart, Jeremie Sobel, Elric Esposito, and Charles N Baroud. Griottes: a generalist tool for network generation from segmented tissue images. *BMC biology*, 20(1):178, 2022.
- Yohalie Kalukula, Andrew D Stephens, Jan Lammerding, and Sylvain Gabriele. Mechanics and functional consequences of nuclear deformations. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 23(9):583–602, 2022.
- Paul T Conduit, Alan Wainman, and Jordan W Raff. Centrosome function and assembly in animal cells. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*, 16(10):611–624, 2015.
- Maud Martin, Alexandra Veloso, Jingchao Wu, Eugene A Katrukha, and Anna Akhmanova. Control of endothelial cell polarity and sprouting angiogenesis by non-centrosomal microtubules. *Elife*, 7:e33864, 2018.
- Yamini Ravichandran, Bruno Goud, and Jean-Baptiste Manneville. The golgi apparatus and cell polarity: Roles of the cytoskeleton, the golgi matrix, and golgi membranes. *Current* opinion in cell biology, 62:104–113, 2020.
- Wolfgang Giese, Martin Eigel, Sebastian Westerheide, Christian Engwer, and Edda Klipp. Influence of cell shape, inhomogeneities and diffusion barriers in cell polarization models. *Physical biology*, 12(6):066014, 2015.
- Wolfgang Giese, Gregor Milicic, Andreas Schröder, and Edda Klipp. Spatial modeling of the membrane-cytosolic interface in protein kinase signal transduction. *PLoS computational biology*, 14(4):e1006075, 2018.
- Michael Potente and Taija Mäkinen. Vascular heterogeneity and specialization in development and disease. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 18(8):477–494, 2017.
- Catarina G Fonseca, Pedro Barbacena, and Claudio A Franco. Endothelial cells on the move: dynamics in vascular morphogenesis and disease. *Vascular Biology*, 2(1):H29–H43, 2020.
- Joana R Carvalho, Isabela C Fortunato, Catarina G Fonseca, Anna Pezzarossa, Pedro Barbacena, Maria A Dominguez-Cejudo, Francisca F Vasconcelos, Nuno C Santos, Filomena A Carvalho, and Claudio A Franco. Non-canonical wnt signaling regulates junctional mechanocoupling during angiogenic collective cell migration. *Elife*, 8:e45853, 2019.
- S Rao Jammalamadaka and Ashis SenGupta. *Topics in circular statistics*, volume 5. world scientific, 2001.
- Geoff Cumming. Understanding the new statistics: Effect sizes, confidence intervals, and meta-analysis. Routledge, 2013.
- Lukas Landler, Graeme D Ruxton, and E Pascal Malkemper. Circular data in biology: advice for effectively implementing statistical procedures. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology*, 72: 1–10, 2018.
- Raphaela Geßele, Jacob Halatek, Laeschkir Würthner, and Erwin Frey. Geometric cues stabilise long-axis polarisation of par protein patterns in c. elegans. *Nature communications*, 11(1):539, 2020.
- Florian Thüroff, Andriy Goychuk, Matthias Reiter, and Erwin Frey. Bridging the gap between single-cell migration and collective dynamics. *Elife*, 8:e46842, 2019.
- Elena Kassianidou, Dimitri Probst, Julia Jäger, Stacey Lee, Anne-Lou Roguet, Ulrich Sebastian Schwarz, and Sanjay Kumar. Extracellular matrix geometry and initial adhesive position determine stress fiber network organization during cell spreading. *Cell reports*, 27 (6):1897–1909, 2019.
- Nafsika Chala, Silvia Moimas, Costanza Giampietro, Xinyu Zhang, Tomaso Zambelli, Vasileios Exarchos, Timo Z Nazari-Shafti, Dimos Poulikakos, and Aldo Ferrari. Mechanical fingerprint of senescence in endothelial cells. *Nano Letters*, 21(12):4911–4920, 2021.
- Shannon McCue, Dorota Dajnowiec, Feng Xu, Ming Zhang, Moira R Jackson, and B Lowell Langille. Shear stress regulates forward and reverse planar cell polarity of vascular endothelium in vivo and in vitro. *Circulation research*, 98(7):939–946, 2006.
- Xiaomin Cai, Kuei-Chun Wang, and Zhipeng Meng. Mechanoregulation of yap and taz in cellular homeostasis and disease progression. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 9:673599, 2021.
- Dapeng Bi, JH Lopez, Jennifer M Schwarz, and M Lisa Manning. A density-independent rigidity transition in biological tissues. *Nature Physics*, 11(12):1074–1079, 2015.
- Xavier Trepat and Erik Sahai. Mesoscale physical principles of collective cell organization. Nature Physics, 14(7):671–682, 2018.
- Steven J Altschuler, Sigurd B Angenent, Yanqin Wang, and Lani F Wu. On the spontaneous emergence of cell polarity. *Nature*, 454(7206):886–889, 2008.
- 43. Wolfgang Giese, André Rosa, Elisabeth Baumann, Olya Oppenheim, Emir B Akmeric, Santiago Andrade, Irene Hollfinger, Eireen Bartels-Klein, Silvanus Alt, and Holger Gerhardt. Reconstructing stochastic cell population trajectories reveals regulators and heterogeneity

of endothelial flow-migration coupling driving vascular remodelling. *bioRxiv*, pages 2023-05, 2023.

- Debraj Ghose, Timothy Elston, and Daniel Lew. Orientation of cell polarity by chemical gradients. *Annual review of biophysics*, 51:431–451, 2022.
- Natalie M. Kofler, Carrie J. Shawber, Thaned Kangsamaksin, Hasina Outtz Reed, Josephine Galatioto, and Jan Kitajewski. Notch Signaling in Developmental and Tumor Angiogenesis. *Genes & Cancer*, 2(12):1106–1116, December 2011. ISSN 1947-6019. doi: 10.1177/1947601911423030.
- Julia J Mack, Thiago S Mosqueiro, Brian J Archer, William M Jones, Hannah Sunshine, Guido C Faas, Anais Briot, Raquel L Aragón, Trent Su, Milagros C Romay, et al. Notch1 is a mechanosensor in adult arteries. *Nature communications*, 8(1):1–19, 2017.
- Helen Strutt, Jessica Gamage, and David Strutt. Robust Asymmetric Localization of Planar Polarity Proteins Is Associated with Organization into Signalosome-like Domains of Variable Stoichiometry. *Cell Reports*, 17(10):2660–2671, December 2016. ISSN 2211-1247. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.021.
- Robert G Endres and Ned S Wingreen. Accuracy of direct gradient sensing by single cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(41):15749–15754, 2008.
- G Brandon Atkins and Mukesh K Jain. Role of kruppel-like transcription factors in endothelial biology. *Circulation research*, 100(12):1686–1695, 2007.
- Catarina Gonçalves Fonseca, Vânia Silvério, David Barata, Wolfgang Giese, Holger Gerhardt, Susana Cardoso, and Claudio Areias Franco. A 96-wells fluidic system for highthroughput screenings under laminar high wall shear stress conditions. *Microsystems & Nanoengineering*, 9(1):114, 2023.
- Joses Ho, Tayfun Tumkaya, Sameer Aryal, Hyungwon Choi, and Adam Claridge-Chang. Moving beyond p values: data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nature methods*, 16(7): 565–566, 2019.
- Anna Szymborska and Holger Gerhardt. Hold me, but not too tight—endothelial cell–cell junctions in angiogenesis. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 10(8):a029223, 2018.
- Linden E Craig, Jeffrey P Spelman, John D Strandberg, and M Christine Zink. Endothelial cells from diverse tissues exhibit differences in growth and morphology. *Microvascular* research, 55(1):65–76, 1998.
- Joachim Goedhart. Plottwist: A web app for plotting and annotating continuous data. PLoS biology, 18(1):e3000581, 2020.
- Robert Haase, Elnaz Fazeli, David Legland, Michael Doube, Siân Culley, Ilya Belevich, Eija Jokitalo, Martin Schorb, Anna Klemm, and Christian Tischer. A Hitchhiker's guide through the bio-image analysis software universe. *FEBS Letters*, 596 (19):2472–2485, 2022. ISSN 1873-3468. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.14451. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/1873-3468.14451.
- Fernando Perez and Brian E. Granger. IPython: A System for Interactive Scientific Computing. Computing in Science & Engineering, 9(3):21–29, May 2007. ISSN 1558-366X. doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.53. Conference Name: Computing in Science & Engineering.
- 57. Jannis Ahlers, Daniel Althviz Moré, Oren Amsalem, Ashley Anderson, Grzegorz Bokota, Peter Boone, Jordão Bragantini, Genevieve Buckley, Alister Burt, Matthias Bussonnier, Ahmet Can Solak, Clément Caporal, Draga Doncila Pop, Kira Evans, Jeremy Freeman, Lorenzo Gaitas, Christoph Gohlke, Kabilar Gunalan, Hagai Har-Gil, Mark Harfouche, Kyle I. S. Harrington, Volker Hilsenstein, Katherine Hutchings, Talley Lambert, Jessy Lauer, Gregor Lichtner, Ziyang Liu, Lucy Liu, Alan Lowe, Luca Marconato, Sean Martin, Abigail McGovern, Lukasz Migas, Nadalyn Miller, Hector Muñoz, Jan-Hendrik Müller, Christopher Nauroth-Kreß, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, Constantin Pape, Kim Pevey, Gonzalo Peña-Castellanos, Andrea Pierré, Jaime Rodríguez-Guerra, David Ross, Loic Royer, Craig T. Russell, Gabriel Selzer, Paul Smith, Peter Sobolewski, Konstantin Sofiiuk, Nicholas Sofroniew, David Stansby, Andrew Sweet, Wouter-Michiel Vierdag, Pam Wadhwa, Melissa Weber Mendonça, Jonas Windhager, Philip Winston, and Kevin Yamauchi. napari: a multi-dimensional image viewer for Python.
- Mark D Wilkinson, Michel Dumontier, IJsbrand Jan Aalbersberg, Gabrielle Appleton, Myles Axton, Arie Baak, Niklas Blomberg, Jan-Willem Boiten, Luiz Bonino da Silva Santos, Philip E Bourne, et al. The fair guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific data*, 3(1):1–9, 2016.
- Anne Margarete Merks, Marie Swinarski, Alexander Matthias Meyer, Nicola Victoria Müller, Ismail Özcan, Stefan Donat, Alexa Burger, Stephen Gilbert, Christian Mosimann, Salim Abdelilah-Seyfried, et al. Planar cell polarity signalling coordinates heart tube remodelling through tissue-scale polarisation of actomyosin activity. *Nature communications*, 9(1):1–15, 2018.
- 60. Filipa Neto, Alexandra Klaus-Bergmann, Yu Ting Ong, Silvanus Alt, Anne-Clemence Vion, Anna Szymborska, Joana R Carvalho, Irene Hollfinger, Eireen Bartels-Klein, Claudio A Franco, et al. Yap and taz regulate adherens junction dynamics and endothelial cell distribution during vascular development. *elife*, 7:e31037, 2018.
- Ralf Jungmann, Maier S Avendaño, Johannes B Woehrstein, Mingjie Dai, William M Shih, and Peng Yin. Multiplexed 3d cellular super-resolution imaging with dna-paint and exchange-paint. *Nature methods*, 11(3):313–318, 2014.
- 62. Dmitry Ershov, Minh-Son Phan, Joanna W Pylvänäinen, Stéphane U Rigaud, Laure Le Blanc, Arthur Charles-Orszag, James RW Conway, Romain F Laine, Nathan H Roy, Daria Bonazzi, et al. Trackmate 7: integrating state-of-the-art segmentation algorithms into tracking pipelines. *Nature Methods*, 19(7):829–832, 2022.
- 63. Tommaso Ristori, Raphael Thuret, Erika Hooker, Peter Quicke, Kevin Lanthier, Kalonji Ntumba, Irene Maria Aspalter, Marina Uroz, Shane P Herbert, Christopher S Chen, et al. Bmp9 regulates notch signaling and the temporal dynamics of angiogenesis via lunatic fringe. *bioRxiv*, pages 2023–09, 2023.
- Ashkan Tabibian, Siavash Ghaffari, Diego A Vargas, Hans Van Oosterwyck, and Elizabeth AV Jones. Simulating flow induced migration in vascular remodelling. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 16(8):e1007874, 2020.
- 65. Lowell T Edgar, Hyojin Park, Jessica R Crawshaw, James M Osborne, Anne Eichmann, and Miguel O Bernabeu. Traffic patterns of the migrating endothelium: How force transmission regulates vascular malformation and functional shunting during angiogenic remodelling. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 10:840066, 2022.

- Christoph Schmal, Jihwan Myung, Hanspeter Herzel, and Grigory Bordyugov. Moran's i quantifies spatio-temporal pattern formation in neural imaging data. *Bioinformatics*, 33(19): 3072–3079, 2017.
- Haiqian Yang, Adrian F Pegoraro, Yulong Han, Wenhui Tang, Rohan Abeyaratne, Dapeng Bi, and Ming Guo. Configurational fingerprints of multicellular living systems. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(44):e2109168118, 2021.
- Dominic J Skinner, Hannah Jeckel, Adam C Martin, Knut Drescher, and Jörn Dunkel. Topological packing statistics of living and nonliving matter. *Science Advances*, 9(36):eadg1261, 2023.
- Jan Philipp Albrecht, Deborah Schmidt, and Kyle Harrington. Album: a framework for scientific data processing with software solutions of heterogeneous tools, October 2021. arXiv:2110.00601 [cs, q-bio].
- Stefan Van der Walt, Johannes L Schönberger, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, François Boulogne, Joshua D Warner, Neil Yager, Emmanuelle Gouillart, and Tony Yu. scikit-image: image processing in python. *PeerJ*, 2:e453, 2014.
- Ming-Kuei Hu. Visual pattern recognition by moment invariants. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 8(2):179–187, February 1962. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT. 1962.1057692.
- 72. PyPI · The Python Package Index, 2003.
- 73. Winston Chang, Joe Cheng, JJ Allaire, Carson Sievert, Barret Schloerke, Yihui Xie, Jeff Allen, Jonathan McPherson, Alan Dipert, and Barbara Borges. *shiny: Web Application Framework for R*, 2023. https://shiny.posit.co/, https://github.com/rstudio/shiny.
- 74. Thomas Kluyver, Benjamin Ragan-Kelley, Pé, Fernando Rez, Brian Granger, Matthias Bussonnier, Jonathan Frederic, Kyle Kelley, Jessica Hamrick, Jason Grout, Sylvain Corlay, Paul Ivanov, Damiá Avila, n, Safia Abdalla, Carol Willing, and Jupyter Development Team. Jupyter Notebooks a publishing format for reproducible computational workflows. In *Positioning and Power in Academic Publishing: Players, Agents and Agendas*, pages 87–90. IOS Press, 2016. doi: 10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87.
- Charles R Harris, K Jarrod Millman, Stéfan J Van Der Walt, Ralf Gommers, Pauli Virtanen, David Cournapeau, Eric Wieser, Julian Taylor, Sebastian Berg, Nathaniel J Smith, et al. Array programming with numpy. *Nature*, 585(7825):357–362, 2020.
- Philipp Berens. Circstat: a matlab toolbox for circular statistics. Journal of statistical software, 31(1):1–21, 2009.
- Lukas Landler, Graeme D Ruxton, and E Pascal Malkemper. Advice on comparing two independent samples of circular data in biology. *Scientific reports*, 11(1):1–10, 2021.
- Benoit Aigouy, Daiki Umetsu, and Suzanne Eaton. Segmentation and quantitative analysis of epithelial tissues. *Drosophila: Methods and Protocols*, pages 227–239, 2016.
- Erick Moen, Dylan Bannon, Takamasa Kudo, William Graf, Markus Covert, and David Van Valen. Deep learning for cellular image analysis. *Nature methods*, pages 1–14, 2019.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the IT department of the MDC, especially Frank Büttner for his help and support in deploying the R-shiny web application on the MDC server. In questions of data protection and the legal framework for the online application, we were supported by Ulrike Ohnesorge and thank her for her commitment. For this bioRxiv, we used the https://henriqueslab.github.io/ resources/bioRxivTemplate/templateby Ricardo Henriques from the Francis Crick Institute. The authors also thank Christoph Karg for valuable feedback.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Wolfgang Giese: Conceptualisation, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualisation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Jan Philipp Albrecht: Conceptualisation, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualisation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Olya Oppenheim: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Emir Akmerig: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing; Julia Kraxner: Investigation, Visualisation, Writing – Review & Editing; Yeborah Schmidt: Funding Acquisition, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing; Kyle Harrington: Conceptualisation, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Visualisation, Writing – Review & Editing; Holger Gerhardt: Conceptualisation, Funding Acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Deutsches Zentrum für Herz-Kreislaufforschung, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft by the CRC1366 and grant number 329389797, CRC1444 and CRC1470. Furthermore, the project benefited from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 414984028 – CRC 1404 FONDA. This project has also received funding through a grant from the Fondation Leducq (17 CVD 03) and part of this work was funded by HELMHOLTZ IMAGING, a platform of the Helmholtz Information & Data Science Incubator.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Note 1: Figures



Supplementary Figure S1. Segmentation visualizations. **A:** Channel information **B:** Cellpose cell and nuclei segmentation, otsu threshold on organelle channel (here: Golgi) **C:** View on a single cell, together with the membrane, junction, nuclei, organelle (here: golgi) and cytosol mask.



Supplementary Figure S2. Feature visualizations. A: Circularity, B: Elongation, C: Shape orientation, D: Marker nucleus and nucleus displacement, E: Intensity information, F: Feature of interest (here: area) information, G: Marker ratio method, H: Junction ratio method, I: Polarity information for organelle(here: golgi), junction and marker channel, J: Cell corners based on the Douglas-Peucker-Algorithm.



Supplementary Figure S2 cont. Feature visualisations continued. **K:** Junction features, including channel, interface occupancy, intensity per interface area, and cluster density, **L:** Segmentation masks after applying a threshold for cell, nuclei, and organelle size.



Supplementary Figure S3. Circular statistics data graphics with suggested statistical measures for A directional data and B axial data.



Supplementary Figure S4. Circular statistics data graphics that compare polarity index (R) and signed polarity index (V) for all conditions shown in Fig. 2. Black bars indicate 95 % confidence intervals of the mean difference.



Supplementary Figure S5. Circular correlations of nuclei-Golgi polarity and nuclei displacement for different flow and media conditions corresponding to Fig. 2.



Supplementary Figure S6. Visual depiction of the python API. After loading the image, the user would first define parameters describing the details of the analysis. Next step would be the instance segmentation. Currently three algorithms, Cellpose, DeepCell, and microSam are supported. After the feature extraction process the visualization functionality of Polarity-JaM could be used to asses data quality.

Supplementary Note 2: Data input and structure

Often, analysts are challenged not only with the problem of actually performing the analysis, but also how and where to store the data. Iterative acquisition of images as well as various experimental settings sometimes require complex folder structures and naming scheme to organise data. Frequently, researchers face the problem of data being distributed over several physical devices, leaving them with the problem of how to execute a certain tool on a dedicated subset of images. Often a large amount of time is spent organizing data before the analysis can finally be conducted. Moreover, performing analysis steps on several experimental conditions often requires repeating the entire analysis pipeline several times to get the desired output. Another problem researchers often face is keeping track of the configuration that was used for a particular execution of software at a certain time. Sometimes this even requires some form of manual work by writing down the configuration. Polarity-JaM avoids these unnecessary chores and manual processes for the user and minimizes the time spent for reorganizing data by providing a) three run cases to cover most usage scenarios, b) a parameters yml file containing all options used for the analysis, and c) a log file fully capturing the output of the analysis.

Parameters. The parameters file is the most important argument that needs to be passed to an execution call as it specifies what analysis steps are performed and hence what output will be created. The file additionally is copied to the output folder and hence allows the user to fully reproduce and comprehend the analysis at a later time-point. An overview of all possible keywords is given in Table S2. This includes configuration parameters describing the image (image parameter), analysis (runtime parameter), and visualisation (plot parameter). Please note that the parameters necessary for the segmentation algorithm are listed separately in Table S3.

Run Options. To tackle the problem of various examples of use, Polarity-JaM offers three run options. The mode *run* can be used for a single image and only requires an input image, and an output path. *run-stack* should be chosen if a set of images in a folder needs to be processed. Instead of a single image, a folder can be specified. Lastly, the *run-key* option needs a csv file describing the storage structures and experimental conditions and must be passed as an argument. The structure of the csv is deliberately kept simple and is shown in table S4. Paths are relative to an input path that can be passed separately as an argument to the execution call. Hence, the data can be copied to a different physical device and the analysis can be repeated without altering the csv file as long as the underlying data structure remains untouched.

Supplementary Note 3: Features

The feature extraction pipeline is the process of extracting all relevant features from an input image. This is a complex process that can be separated in three major parts. First, the image is segmented, segregating each cell such that in the second step its features can be extracted. In the third and last step, a graph structure is build and a neighborhood analysis performed. To the time writing the manuscript, the user can choose between three segmentation algorithms: Cellpose(8), microSAM(7) a fine-tuned model based on sam(6), and DeepCell(5).

The pipeline produces various outputs, depending on the parameter configuration and input provided. In general, generated output can be differentiated in the two categories a) features, and b) visualization. Features are gathered in a csv file containing the individual cells as rows and their corresponding feature values as columns. Visualizations for the extracte features can be optionally created and written to disk. These plots should be used for quality control before continuing downstream analysis of the extracted features. An example of all available visualizations is shown in figure S2.

Categories. The features can be structured in several categories which will be explained shortly. Depending on the configuration, the categories will be included or excluded in the extraction process. The categories are shown in table S5. Each cell has various pieces of general information such as area, perimeter and elongation, which are summarised in the *single cell features* that are shown in table S6. The category *neighborhood features* contains all properties that can be addressed to the surrounding of a cell. An overview is found in table S7. Given a feature of interest (e.g. cell size) the Morans I correlation analysis can be performed. *group_features* are depicted in table S8. Please note that their values address an entire image and not a single cell and should be interpreted accordingly. Optionally, *nucleus features, organelle features, marker features*, and *junction features* can be gathered and are explained in tables S9, S10, S11 and S12 respectively. Nucleus features mainly comprise morphological features, such as their size, position, orientation. Organelle features contain positional, but also distance information to the nucleus, whereas marker features include besides positional, also mean expression values of several cell regions. (e.g. membrane, nucleus and cytosol). Junction features mainly comprise ratio values between different areas of the junction region of the cell membrane (20), but also mean intensity information and polarity.

Supplementary Note 4: Visualizations

Beside features, several visualizations are created during the analysis. They mainly serve as quality control. To the point of manuscript writing Polarity-JaM provides 18 different visualizations:

- image channel intensity information
- segmentation masks
- · threshold segmentation masks
- single cell closeup
- cell corners
- feature of interest
- cell and nuclei elongation
- cell and nuclei circularity
- cell and nuclei shape orientation

- nucleus displacement orientation
- organelle polarity
- nucleus marker orientation
- marker polarity
- marker expression
- marker cue intensity ratio
- junction features
- junction polarity
- junction cue intensity ratio

Quality control of the segmentation output is a crucial step for downstream analysis. For this purpose, the feature extraction pipeline creates for every input image a plot showing the given channel configuration used for segmentation together with the segmentation outcome. (Figure S1 A,B)). Optionally, a closeup view for each cell can be plotted as shown in figure S1 C. Feature visualizations are shown in figure S2 and highly depend on the quality of the segmentation. We highly recommend to perform a quality control of the segmentation result before continuing downstream analysis for example with the Polarity-JaM web app.

Supplementary Note 5: API Usage

Polarity-JaM offers the possibility to completely run an analysis within a Jupyter Notebook (74). Several example notebooks can be found in the GitHub repository https://github.com/polarityjam/polarityjam/tree/main/docs/notebooks focusing on various aspects of an analysis. This includes the basic usage of Polarity-JaM to perform a feature extraction to using Polarity-JaM as a python library for enhanced image analysis. We now shortly describe a basic analysis in chronological order. First, the user loads the image. Second, the user sets up the parameters for i) the image(s), ii) the runtime (analysis procedure), including the choice of the segmentation algorithm, and optionally iii) the visualizations. Additionally, parameters will be saved on disk in yml format to support replicability. Third, the previously set segmentation algorithm is loaded, initially with its default parameters. At this point the user has the option to alter these before performing the segmentation. Any segmentation algorithm that is currently supported in Polarity-JaM requires two steps for the user to perform: i) preparing the image for segmentation, and ii) using the prepared image to perform the segmentation. The division in two steps is specifically designed to facilitate visual quality inspection by using the visualization functionality of Polarity-JaM. Additional segmentation algorithms (other than Cellpose) are implemented with the help of Album (69), a decentralized distribution platform where solutions (in this case implementations of segmentation algorithms) are distributed with their execution environment. This allows to easily switch to a different segmentation algorithm when performance is poor. The overhead of installing the algorithm in its correct environment is taken from the user. Regardless of the used algorithm the analysis steps follow the same semantic (e.g. the same function call) such that usage of an unknown algorithm is facilitated. Output of a segmentation procedure is always an instance segmentation mask in numpy (75) format. We provide detailed information in a Jupyter Notebook that can be found under the following link: https://github.com/polarityjam/polarityjam/blob/main/docs/notebooks/polarityjam-notebook_seg.ipynb To improve downstream analysis, instance masks for nuclei and organelle should additionally be calculated whenever the corresponding information is present in the image. To facilitate the process for the user, every segmentation algorithm supported in Polarity-JaM has a mode that can be specified in the segmentation step. Currently, there are three modes available: "nucleus", "cell", and "organelle". Please note that not every algorithm supports all modes. The user is however free to entirely skip any segmentation step and provide instance segmentation masks elsewhere produced. As a fourth step, the user performs the actual feature extraction by first setting up an initially empty collection that can be then passed to the routine performing the extraction, together with the instance mask(s), the original image, and the parameter describing the image. Gathering features in a collection allows the user to potentially collect features of various images for example by looping over images in a given folder. Last step should always be to use the visualization functionality of Polarity-JaM to assess quality and get a first impression of selected features before moving on to the downstream analysis of the features in the Polarity-JaM Web-App. The entire API is depicted in Figure S6 and shows the workflow the user performs when working in a Jupyter Notebook.

Supplementary Note 6: Circular statistics

A broad range of scientific studies involve taking measurements on a circular, rather than a linear scale (often variables related to orientations or circadian times). However, their analysis is not straightforward and requires special statistical tools. All features in our study were classified into periodic and linear features. Among periodic features, we further distinguish directional features with values in a full circular scale, meaning that the data repeat after 360 degrees (or 2π in radians) and axial features that repeat after 180 degrees (or π in radians). Axial data refer to an axis, in our case the long axis of the cell or nucleus shape, rather than to a direction.

Circular data presents some unique challenges for statistical analysis because traditional statistical methods may not be appropriate for this type of data. For example, computing the average or mean of circular data by summing the values and dividing by the number of observations can produce incorrect results. Therefore, specialised statistical methods have been developed to analyse circular data, such as circular statistics and directional statistics. These methods take into account the periodic nature of the data and can provide a more accurate result. All the different features such as nuclei-Golgi polarity, cell shape orientation, cell elongation, or junction properties can be correlated amongst each other compared and correlated to linear read-outs such as cell size or cell elongation on a single cell level.

Several of the extracted polarity features are periodic and require different means of statistical comparison. The polarity index is defined as follows

$$\vec{r_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_i \\ \sin \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

and the average resultant vector

$$\vec{r} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{r_i}$$
 (14)

Although the polarity index describes the concentration of the distribution with respect to the mean direction, we may also be interested in a measure that quantifies the degree of orientation towards a given direction μ_0 , which is referred to as the polar direction (31). This is described by the V-score, which is computed from

$$V = cR,$$
(15)

with
$$c = \cos(\bar{\alpha} - \mu_0)$$
. (16)

Therefore, V is equal to the polarity index, V = R if aligned with the given direction and takes the negative value if aligned with the given direction V = -R.

These statistical measures can also be applied to axial data, converting these data to directional data by doubling all values $\theta_i = 2\alpha_i$. The mean direction was calculated from $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\theta}{2}$, where $\bar{\theta}$ is the common circular mean of the directional data θ_i . Similarly, the polarity index (PI) was calculated as the length of the mean resulting vector of directional values θ_i . Again, a PI value varies between 0 and 1 and indicates how much the distribution is concentrated around the mean. A value of PI close to 1 implies that the data are concentrated around the mean direction, while a value close to 0 suggests that the data are evenly distributed or random. The axial V-score is computed from

$$V = cR,\tag{17}$$

with
$$c = \cos(\theta - 2\mu_0)$$
. (18)

Note, that in this case, the V-score is not the projection of the mean resultant \vec{r} . However, we can compute the following relationship. We assume

$$\tilde{\mu}_0 = \mu_0 \mod \pi \tag{19}$$

and compute

$$c_p = \cos(\bar{\alpha} - \tilde{\mu}_0). \tag{20}$$

With the commonly known trigonometric identity $\cos(2\alpha) = 2\cos^2(\alpha) - 1$, we obtain:

$$c = \cos(\bar{\theta} - 2\mu_0) \tag{21}$$

$$=\cos(2\bar{\alpha}-2\mu_0) \tag{22}$$

$$=\cos^{2}(\alpha-\mu_{0})-1 \tag{23}$$

$$=\cos^2(\bar{\alpha}-\tilde{\mu}_0)-1\tag{24}$$

$$=c_p^2 - 1.$$
 (25)

The projection c_p takes values between 0 and 1, with 1 in the case of perfect alignment with the given polar direction and zero for random or perpendicular alignment with the polar direction.

Our web application provides the most common statistical tests for different scenarios, including the Rayleigh test, the V-test, the Rao spacing test and the Watson test applied to directional and axial data (transformed as above). For further discussion of statistical analysis of circular data and extension to comparative statistical analysis of circular data, we refer to (31, 76) and also consider more recent studies (33, 77).

Supplementary Note 7: Experimental methods and materials

Antibody name	Host species	Dilution	Product number/manufacturer
Anti-KLF4 antibody	rabbit	1:500	HPA002926 Sigma Aldrich
Human VE-Cadherin antibody	goat	1:1000	AF938 R&D Systems
Anti-GM130	mouse	1:500	610822 BD Biosciences
Notch1 (D1E11) XP®	rabbit	1:250	3608 Cell Signaling
Anti-Rabbit-Alexa-647	donkey	1:400	A31573 Invitrogen
Anti-Goat-Alexa-568	donkey	1:400	A11057 Invitrogen
Anti-Mouse-Alexa-488	donkey	1:400	A21202 Invitrogen
Anti-Rabbit-Alexa-488	donkey	1:400	A21206 Invitrogen

Supplementary Table S1. Table of primary and secondary antibodies.

Category	Parameter	Options	Description
	channel_junction	-1,0,1,2,3,	Specifies which channel in the input image(s) holds informa-
			tion about the junction signals1 to indicate there is no chan-
image		10102	nel.
	channel_nucleus	-1,0,1,2,3,	specifies which channel in the input image(s) holds informa-
	channel organelle	-1.0.1.2.3	Specifies which channel in the input image(s) holds informa-
		-,-,-,-,-,-	tion about the organelle (e.g golgi apparatus)1 to indicate
			there is no channel.
	channel_expression_marker	-1,0,1,2,3,	Specifies which channel in the input image(s) holds informa-
			tion about the expression marker1 to indicate there is no
	pixel to micron ratio	float	Channel. Specifies the resolution of the image
	min cell size	integer	Minimal expected cell size in pixel. Threshold value for the
			analysis. Cells with a smaller value will be excluded from the
			analysis.
	min_organelle_size	integer	The minimal diameter of the organelle. Threshold value for
			the analysis. Cells with an organelle with a smaller value will be excluded from the analysis
	min nucleus size	integer	The minimal diameter of the nucleus size. Threshold value
runtime			for the analysis. Cells with a nucleus with a smaller value
			will be excluded from the analysis.
	membrane_thickness	integer	Expected membrane thickness in pixel.
	junction_threshold	float	Threshold for junction intensity mask. If not set, automati-
	feature of interest	area	Name of the feature for which a neighborhood statistics
		ureu	should be calculated. Any feature can be used here. Look
			at [the output section](#fep_out) to see all available options.
	dp_epsilon	integer	Epsilon value for the Douglas-Peucker corner detection al-
			gorithm. Determines the maximal perpendicular distance be-
	cue direction	integer	The cue direction along which singaling gradients are calcu-
	euc_uncenton	integer	lated. Defined in degree. Default is 0 meaning cue direction
			is from left to right along the x-axis.
	connection_graph	true,false	Whether to use a connection graph or not.
	segmentation_algorithm	string	Which segmentation algorithm to use. Default is Cellpos-
			see documentation
	remove_small_objects_size	integer	Threshold for removing small objects from the instance seg-
		U	mentation mask.
	clear_border	true, false	If true, removes any segmentation that is not complete be-
	traufile condition colo	atuina	cause the cell protrude beyond the edge of the image.
	keyme_condition_cois	string	holds the experiment condition information
	save_sc_image	true,false	Whether to additionally plot the single cell images.
	extract_group_features	true,false	Whether to extract group features.
	plot_junctions	true, false	Indicates whether to create the junction polarity plot.
	plot_polarity	true, false	Indicates whether to create the polarity plot.
	plot_elongation	true, false	Indicates whether to create the circularity plot
	plot_marker	true, false	Indicates whether to create the marker polarity plot.
	plot_ratio_method	true, false	Indicates whether to create the ratio plot.
	plot_shape_orientation	true, false	Indicates whether to create the shape orientation plot.
	plot_foi	true, false	Indicates whether to create the feature of interest plot.
	plot_sc_inage	true, false	Indicates whether to create a plot showing threshold masks
	plot_sc_partitions	true, false	Indicates whether to plot individual partitioned cells in
			closeup.
plot	show_statistics	true, false	Add circular statistics to plot title.
1	show_polarity_angles	true, false	Indicates whether to additionally add the polarity angles to
	show graphics axis	true, false	Indicates whether to additionally add the axis to the plots.
	show_scalebar	true, false	Indicates whether to show a scalebar in visualizations or not.
	outline_width	integer	Outline width of a cell. Only affects visualization, not fea-
	langth scalab	9	tures. Default 2.
	graphics output format	noat	Length of the scalebar in microns. The output format of the plot figures. Several can be speci
	Supriso_output_totiliat	svg, tif	fied. Default is png .
	dpi	integer	Resolution of the plots. Specifies the dots per inch. Default
			is 300.
	graphics_width	integer	The width of the output plot figures. Default 5.
	fontsize text appotations	integer	Size of text appotations in the plot
	font_color	string	Font color. matplotlib font abbreviation.
	marker_size	true, false	Specify the marker size of all plots.
	alpha	true, false	Specify the alpha value of overlay masks.
	alpha_cell_outline	true, false	Specify cell outline alpha values.

Supplementary Table S2. All options and their input specification that can be specified in the parameters file sorted by category.

Algorithm	Parameter	Options	Description
	manually_annotated_mask	string	Naming suffix for (manually) annotated masks. Combine
	store segmentation	trua falca	with use_given_mask. Flag to allow storage of segmentation in the results folder
	use given mask	true, false	Flag to load a segmentation mask or not. Segmentation mask
			should be in the same folder as the image to segment.
	model_type	string	Allowed Cellpose model types. ("cyto", "cyto2", "custom").
Cellpose	model_type_nucleus	string	Allowed Cellpose model types for nucleus segmentation.
	model noth	string	("nuclei", custom").
	model_paul	sung	"custom".
	estimated_cell_diameter	int	Estimated cell diameter in pixels. Default 100.
	estimated_nucleus_diameter	int	Estimated nuclei diameter in pixels. Default 30.
	flow_threshold	float	Cellpose flow threshold value. Default 0.4.
	use gpu	noat true false	Indicates whether to use GPU or not
	channel cell segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for segmentation. De-
	0	U	fault is "channel_junction".
	channel_nuclei_segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for nuclei segmenta-
	accuration mode	atrina	tion. Default is "channel_nuclei".
	segmentation_mode	sunig	"nuclear".
	save_mask	true, false	Stores masks on disk in numpy format.
	maxima_threshold	float	To finetune specific and consistent errors in your data, this
			argument can be used during postprocessing. Lower values
DeepCell			result in fewer cells being detected. Frigher values will
	maxima_smooth	float	Controls what the model considers a unique cell. Lower val-
			ues will result in more separate cells being predicted, whereas
	interior threshold	float	higher values will result in fewer cells.
	Interior_uneshold	noat	is a cell vs what is background. Lower values will result
			in larger cells, whereas higher values will result in smaller
		•	smalls.
	small_objects_threshold	integer	Minimal volume size in pixel before an object is detected as
	fill_holes_threshold	integer	Filling any holes that are contained in the predicted object up
		_	to a certain size.
	pixel_expansion	integer	Apply a manual pixel expansion after segmentation.
	channel_cen_segmentation	sunig	fault is "channel junction".
	channel_nuclei_segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for nuclei segmenta-
			tion. Default is "channel_nuclei".
	model_url	string	URL to a specific model.
SAM	model_name	sunig	https://github.com/facebookresearch/segment-anything
51111			for information.
	channel_cell_segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for segmentation. De-
	sharest model and star		fault is "channel_junction".
	channel_nuclei_segmentation	sung	tion. Default is "channel nuclei"
	channel_organelle_segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for organelle segmen-
			tation. Default is "channel_organelle".
	model_name	string	Model name to use. See https://computational-cell-
			tion.
microSAM	checkpoint_path	string	Path to a checkpoint file that should be used.
	embedding_path	string	Path to the embedding file that should be used.
	pred_iou_thresh	float	Custom IO threshold.
	cnannel_cell_segmentation	string	specify a concrete channel that is used for segmentation. De- fault is "channel junction"
	channel_nuclei_segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for nuclei segmenta-
			tion. Default is "channel_nuclei".
	channel_organelle_segmentation	string	Specify a concrete channel that is used for organelle segmen-
			ation. Default is channel_organelle.

Supplementary Table S3. Segmentation parameters for the supported segmentation algorithms. Most parameters are taken from the corresponding publication and/or github repository.

folder_name	condition_name
folder_1	condition_1
folder_2	condition_2

Supplementary Table S4. Structure of the *key_file* that can be used to specify experimental conditions and data structure. Note that given folder_names are relative to a root folder passed with the argument named *in_path*.

Category	Configuration	Explanation
single cell features	always	The general features extracted from
		the image.
neighborhood features	optional	Statistical properties of a feature of
		interest (FOI) specified. Includes
		neighborhood statistics. FOI must
		be specified. Default is "area".
group features	optional	Group properties. Image wise. In-
		cludes Morans I correlation analy-
		sis. Feature of interest (FOI) must
		be specified. Default is "area".
nucleus features	nucleus channel	All features belonging to the nu-
		cleus of the cell.
organelle features	organelle channel	All features belonging to the or-
		ganelle of a cell.
junction features	junction channel	All features belonging to the junc-
		tions of a cell.
marker features	expression marker	All features belonging to the ex-
	channel	pression marker. If a nucleus chan-
		nel is given, marker nucleus fea-
		tures and marker cytosol features
		are automatically appended.

Supplementary Table S5. Categories of features that can be extracted from the image together with their corresponding configuration and explanation.

Feature	Explanation
filename	The filename where the cell was found.
img_hash	The shal hexadecimal hash of the image content.
label	The Cellpose segmentation label of the particular cell.
cell_x	The X coordinate (horizontal axis) of the center of the
	cell.
cell_y	The Y coordinate (vertical axis) of the center of the cell.
cell_shape_orientation	Long axis of ellipsoid fit of the cell.
cell_major_axis_length	Length of the major axis of the cell.
cell_minor_axis_length	Length of the minor axis of the cell.
cell_eccentricity	Value for the elongation of the cell. Between 0 and 1,
	where 0 correspond to a perfect circular cell and
	1 for a strongly elongated cell.
cell_major_to_minor_ratio	Ratio between the major and the minor axis of the cell.
cell_area	The area of the cell.
cell_perimeter	The perimeter of the cell.

Supplementary Table S6. Single cell features features that are extracted from a given image.

Feature	Explanation
neighbors_cell	The absolute number of neighbors of the cell.
neighbors_mean_dif_1st	Mean difference of the feature of interest to all first neigh-
	bors.
neighbors_median_dif_1st	Median difference of the feature of interest to all first neighbors.
neighbors_stddev_dif_1st	Standard derivation of the difference of the feature of in-
	terest to all first neighbors.
neighbors_range_dif_1st	Maximal range of difference of the feature of interest to
	all first neighbors.
neighbors_mean_dif_2nd	Mean difference of the feature of interest to all second
	neighbors.
neighbors_median_dif_2nd	Median difference of the feature of interest to all second
	neignbors.
neighbors_stddev_dif_2nd	Standard derivation of the difference of the feature of in-
	terest to all second neighbors.
neighbors_range_dif_2nd	Maximal range of difference of the feature of interest to
	all second neighbors.

Supplementary Table S7. Neighborhood features for each cell.

Feature	Explanation
morans_i	Statistical correlation analysis
morans_p_norm	P-norm of the correlation analysis.

Supplementary Table S8. Morans I group statistic performed on a feature of interest (FOI). This is an image wise statistic and is not reported cell wise.

Feature	Explanation
nuc_x	X position (horizontal axis) of the cell nucleus.
nuc_y	Y position (vertical axis) of the cell nucleus.
nuc_disp_orientation_rad	The displacement orientation of the nucleus
	from the center of the cell in rad
nuc_disp_orientation_deg	The displacement orientation of the nucleus
	from the center of the cell in deg
nuc_shape_orientation	Long axis of ellipsoid fit of the nucleus.
nuc_major_axis_length	The length of the major axis of the nucleus.
nuc_minor_axis_length	The length of the minor axis of the nucleus.
nuc_area	The area of the nucleus.
nuc_perimeter_nuc	The perimeter of the nucleus.
nuc_eccentricity	Value for the elongation of the nucleus. Between 0 and
	1, where 0 corresponds to a perfect circular nucleus and
	1 to a strongly elongated nucleus.
nuc_major_to_minor_ratio	Ratio between the major and the minor axis of the nu-
	cleus.

Supplementary Table S9. All nucleus features that can be extracted. Note that a nucleus channel must be configured in the parameters file.

Feature	Explanation
organelle_x	The X coordinate (horizontal axis) of the center of the
	cell organelle.
organelle_y	The Y coordinate (vertical axis) of the center of the cell
	organelle.
organelle_distance	Distance from cell organelle to the nucleus.
organelle_orientation_rad	The orientation in rad of the organelle to the nucleus
organelle_orientation_deg	The orientation in deg of the organelle to the nucleus

Supplementary Table S10. Organelle features that can be extracted from a given image. Note that an organelle channel must be specified.

Feature	Explanation
marker_mean_expr	Mean expression of the channel with the marker.
marker_sum_expr	The absolute sum of the expression of the marker.
marker_centroid_x	The X coordinate (horizontal axis) of the center of
	the marker expression.
marker_centroid_y	The Y coordinate (vertical axis) of the center of
	the marker expression.
marker_polarity_rad	Intrinsic asymmetry of the cell, reported in radian.
marker_polarity_deg	Intrinsic asymmetry of the cell, reported in degree.
marker_mean_expression_mem	Mean membrane expression.
marker_sum_expression_mem	The absolute sum of the membrane expression.
marker_mean_expression_nuc	The mean expression of the nucleus.
marker_sum_expression_nuc	The absolute sum of the nucleus expression.
marker_mean_expression_cyt	The mean expression of the cell cytosol.
marker_sum_expression_cyt	The absolute sum of the cell cytosol expression.
marker_nucleus_orientation_rad	The orientation in rad of the marker centroid to the
	nucleus.
marker_nucleus_orientation_deg	The orientation in rad of the marker centroid to the
	nucleus.
marker_cue_intensity_ratio	The ratio of the left vs. right cell membrane inten-
	sity in cue direction.
marker_axial_cue_intensity_ratio	The ratio of the sum of cell membrane quarters in
	cue direction and the total membrane intensity.

Supplementary Table S11. Features that can be gathered if a marker channel is configured.

Feature	Explanation
junction_centroid_x	The X coordinate (horizontal axis) of the
	centre of the junction expression.
junction_centroid_y	The Y coordinate (vertical axis) of the cen-
	tre of the junction expression.
junction_perimeter	The perimeter of the junction area.
junction_protein_area	The area with junction protein expression.
junction_mean_intensity	The mean junction intensity value.
junction_protein_intensity	The mean protein intensity by area.
junction_interface_linearity_index	The linearity index of the junction.
junction_interface_occupancy	The ratio between junction area and junc-
	tion protein area.
junction_intensity_per_interface_area	The ratio between the junction protein in-
	tensity and the junction area.
junction_cluster_density	The ratio of junction protein intensity and
	junction protein area
junction_centroid_orientation_rad	The orientation in rad of the junction inten-
	sity area centroid to the centre of the cell.
junction_centroid_orientation_deg	The orientation in deg of the junction in-
	tensity area centroid to the centre of the
	cell.
junction_cue_intensity_ratio	The ratio of the left vs. right cell mem-
	brane intensity in cue direction.
junction_axial_cue_intensity_ratio	The ratio of the sum of cell membrane
	quarters in cue direction and the total mem-
	brane intensity.

Supplementary Table S12. Features that can be gathered if a junction channel is configured.

	Polarity-JaM	Quantify-	Junction-	Chesnais et al.
		Polarity	Mapper	2020
segmentation	flexible, Cell-	specific water-	Filter-based	Cell Profiler
	pose (Deep	shed algorithm		workflow
	Learning	(78)		
	model) native			
organelle	nuclei-Golgi	no	no	no
asymmetries	orientation,			
	polarity index			
shape asym-	size, shape,	size, shape, ec-	no	area, perimeter,
metry	eccentricity,	centricity, orien-		shape descrip-
	orientation,	tation, topology		tors and cell
	topology			neighbours
signaling	mean intensity	no	no	Notch intensity
gradients	in the cytosol,			
& marker	nuclei, and on			
expression	the cell mem-			
	brane as well as			
	their ratios			
junction mor-	intensity of	intensity of	morphology	unsupervised
phology	junctional	junctional	and intensi-	classification
	markers	markers	tyfeatures	of junctional
				morphology
				of arterial,
				venous, and
				microvascular
				endothelial cell
polarity mag	2 avial no	nuclei Golgi:	20	populations
sures	2-axiai po-	nuclei-Goigi,	lio	110
suics	on intensity	cytosolic and		
	of junctional	iunctional mark-		
	markers	ers 2-axial cell		
		and nuclei shape		
		orientation		
		and nuclei shape orientation		

Supplementary Table S13. Comparison of available tools QuantifyPolarity (19), JunctionMapper (20) and Chesnais et al. (14) and our tool.

Deep learning	A family of machine-learning methods, based on deep neural networks (DNN), which are ca- pable of learning representations from data with increasing levels of abstraction (79)
Deep Neural Network (DNN)	Computing system inspired by the biological neural networks consist- ing of multiple (deep) layers be-
Instance segmentation	tween the input and output layers. Instance segmentation is a com- puter vision task that involves iden- tifying and separating individual objects (e.g. biological cells or or- ganelles) within an image, includ- ing detecting the boundaries of each object and assigning a unique la- bels. The result is a pixel-wise map of the image, where each pixel is associated with a specific object in- stance.
Polarity index	Length of the mean resultant vector computed by summing up all single orientation vectors and dividing by the number of measurements. The direction of the mean resultant vec- tor mean angle of the circular distri- bution and its longth the spread
V-score	Similar to the polarity index, but also takes a pre-defined direction into account.
Application Programming Interface (API)	Generally, an API describes the connection between different com- puter programs or tools. It can also refer to everything an application programmer (e.g. python user of Polarity-JaM) needs to know about a piece of code and how to use if
YAML, yaml or yml	A human-readable data serialisa- tion language. Mainly used for con- figuration files and whenever data need to be stored or transmitted.

Supplementary Table S14. Glossary