Repository of the Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine (MDC) in the Helmholtz Association

http://edoc.mdc-berlin.de/15800

Inactivation and anion selectivity of volume-regulated VRAC channels depend on carboxy-terminal residues of the first extracellular loop

Ullrich, F. and Reincke, S.M. and Voss, F.K. and Stauber, T. and Jentsch, T.J.

This is a copy of the original article.

This research was originally published in *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. Ullrich, F. and Reincke, S.M. and Voss, F.K. and Stauber, T. and Jentsch, T.J. Inactivation and anion selectivity of volume-regulated VRAC channels depend on carboxy-terminal residues of the first extracellular loop. *J Biol Chem*. 2016; 291: 17040-17048. © 2016 by The American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc.

Journal of Biological Chemistry 2016 AUG 12 ; 291(33): 17040-17048 Doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.739342

American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

Inactivation and Anion Selectivity of Volume-regulated Anion Channels (VRACs) Depend on C-terminal Residues of the First Extracellular Loop^{*}

Received for publication, May 20, 2016, and in revised form, June 10, 2016 Published, JBC Papers in Press, June 20, 2016, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M116.739342

Florian Ullrich^{#§1}, **S. Momsen Reincke**^{#1}, **Felizia K. Voss**[#], **Tobias Stauber**^{#2}, **and Thomas J. Jentsch**^{#¶3} From the [‡]Leibniz-Institut für Molekulare Pharmakologie (FMP) and Max-Delbrück-Centrum für Molekulare Medizin (MDC), D-13125 Berlin, the [§]Graduate Program, Freie Universität Berlin, D-14195 Berlin, and [¶]Neurocure, Charité Universitätsmedizin, D-10117 Berlin, Germany

Canonical volume-regulated anion channels (VRACs) are crucial for cell volume regulation and have many other important roles, including tumor drug resistance and release of neurotransmitters. Although VRAC-mediated swelling-activated chloride currents $(I_{Cl,vol})$ have been studied for decades, exploration of the structure-function relationship of VRAC has become possible only after the recent discovery that VRACs are formed by differently composed heteromers of LRRC8 proteins. Inactivation of I_{Cl.vol} at positive potentials, a typical hallmark of VRACs, strongly varies between native cell types. Exploiting the large differences in inactivation between different LRRC8 heteromers, we now used chimeras assembled from isoforms LRRC8C and LRRC8E to uncover a highly conserved extracellular region preceding the second LRRC8 transmembrane domain as a major determinant of $I_{Cl,vol}$ inactivation. Point mutations identified two amino acids (Lys-98 and Asp-100 in LRRC8A and equivalent residues in LRRC8C and -E), which upon charge reversal strongly altered the kinetics and voltage dependence of inactivation. Importantly, charge reversal at the first position also reduced the iodide > chloride permeability of $I_{Cl,vol}$. This change in selectivity was stronger when both the obligatory LRRC8A subunit and the other co-expressed isoform (LRR8C or -E) carried such mutations. Hence, the C-terminal part of the first extracellular loop not only determines VRAC inactivation but might also participate in forming its outer pore. Inactivation of VRACs may involve a closure of the extracellular mouth of the permeation pathway.

Volume-regulated anion channels (VRACs)⁴ are an important part of the molecular machinery involved in cellular volume homeostasis. They open upon cell swelling and allow for the extrusion of intracellular chloride and osmolytes during

regulatory volume decrease (1-3). The current ascribed to VRACs, termed volume-activated anion current (I_{CLvol}) , is found in all vertebrate cell types (4). Besides their well described role in cell volume regulation, VRACs have been implicated in many physiological processes, such as cell migration and proliferation, apoptosis, cell cycle maintenance, and release of extracellular signaling molecules (1, 4-6). Further evidence linked VRACs to several pathologies, such as cancer and ischemic stroke (5, 7). However, the lack of knowledge of the underlying protein(s) forming these channels has greatly hampered further exploration and verification of their suggested physiological and pathophysiological roles. Only recently, LRRC8 (leucine-rich repeat-containing 8) family proteins, which display four putative transmembrane domains and a large C terminus with up to 17 leucine-rich repeats, have been identified as essential components of VRACs (8, 9), and LRRC8 heteromers very likely form the channel pore (6, 8, 10). The physiological importance of VRACs is underscored by the drastically increased lethality of constitutive Lrrc8a KO mice that display multiple tissue abnormalities (11). Moreover, recent studies revealed a role of VRACs in the uptake of certain antibiotics (12) and platinum-based anticancer drugs like cisplatin and in tumor drug resistance (6).

The biophysical properties of $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ have been described extensively (4, 5, 13). VRACs are closed under isotonic conditions and open slowly upon hypotonic cell swelling (4). Its permeability sequence (SCN⁻ > I⁻ > NO₃⁻ > Br⁻ > Cl⁻ > F⁻ > gluconate⁻ > aspartate⁻) corresponds to an Eisenmann type I sequence and has been an important criterion to distinguish $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ from other anion currents (4, 13). $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ displays a weak outward rectification, predominantly a property of the pore, as suggested by single channel recordings (14–16). Time- and voltage-dependent current inactivation at membrane potentials above 40 mV is a hallmark of $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ (4, 17). This process is distinct from the spontaneous closure of VRACs upon prolonged hypotonicity and has been ascribed to a decrease in channel open probability (14, 16). Recovery from inactivation is facilitated by negative membrane potentials (18).

Although inactivation could be observed for almost all currents that have been referred to as $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$, vastly different kinetics and voltage dependences were reported in different tissues and cell lines, yet the characteristic selectivity sequence remained unchanged (4, 17). This observation has led to the proposal that differently inactivating currents might be carried

^{*} This work was supported by European Research Council (ERC) Advanced Grant (FP/2007–2013) 294435 "Cytovolion" and the DFG (Exc 257 "Neurocure") (to T. J. J.). The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

¹ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

² Present address: Institut für Chemie und Biochemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Thielallee 63, D-14195 Berlin, Germany.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed: FMP/MDC, Robert-Rössle-Strasse 10, D-13125 Berlin, Germany. Fax: 49-30-9406-2960; E-mail: Jentsch@fmp-berlin.de.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: VRAC, volume-regulated anion channel; *I*_{Cl,vol}, volume-activated anion current; TM, transmembrane; ECL, extracellular loop; Δ*E*_{rev}, shift in reversal potential.

by distinct channel isoforms (4). The recent discovery that $I_{Cl,vol}$ requires heteromerization of LRRC8A with at least one other LRRC8 isoform and that the subunit composition of VRACs determines their inactivation kinetics and voltage dependence (8) provided compelling vindication of this hypothesis. Co-expression of LRRC8A with LRRC8E in $LRRC8^{-/-}$ cells (in which all five LRRC8 genes are disrupted) yielded currents with fast inactivation kinetics, whereas LRRC8A and LRRC8C elicited slowly inactivating currents. Differences in endogenous expression levels of LRRC8C and LRRC8E correlated well with differences in $I_{Cl,vol}$ inactivation kinetics (6, 8). Based on a weak but significant homology of LRRC8 proteins to pannexins (19), it has been proposed that they might assemble into hexamers (8), which, however, remains to be confirmed experimentally. A hetero-hexameric assembly from up to five different LRRC8 isoforms would easily allow for the observed complexity of VRAC inactivation properties.

Here, we sought to elucidate the molecular basis for the differences in VRAC inactivation kinetics. Using chimeras between different LRRC8 isoforms, we identified residues in the first extracellular loop as main determinants for differential inactivation properties. Importantly, charge-reversing mutations in this region significantly reduced I⁻ > Cl⁻ selectivity of $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$, suggesting that the highly conserved C-terminal part of the first extracellular loop of LRRC8 proteins contributes to the outer mouth of the VRAC pore.

Results

In LRRC8^{-/-} HCT116 cells in which all five LRRC8 genes are disrupted, I_{Cl,vol} can be rescued by co-expressing LRRC8A together with at least one other LRRC8 isoform (8). When LRRC8A is combined with either LRRC8C or LRRC8E, the differences in current inactivation are striking (8); whereas LRRC8A/C yielded currents that did not inactivate at potentials more negative than ~60 mV, LRRC8A/E-mediated currents showed pronounced inactivation already at 40 mV (Fig. 1A). We used the ratio of current after 2 s and peak current (I_{2s}/I_{max}) to assess the voltage dependence of inactivation. This yielded a ~40-mV difference in the potential of half-maximal inactivation (V₅₀) between LRRC8A/C- and LRRC8A/E-mediated currents (Fig. 1B). Inactivation conferred by LRRC8E saturated after 2 s at potentials of 50 mV or higher (with currents decreased to $\sim 15\%$ of initial value), whereas the inactivation mediated by LRRC8C apparently did not reach steady state at positive potentials when examined by 2-s pulses (Fig. 1, A and B). However, when studied with much longer depolarizing pulses, I_{CLvol} mediated by LRRC8A/C inactivated, just like LRRC8A/E currents, to around 15% of peak current at steady state (Fig. 1, *D* and *E*). Inactivation kinetics was quantified by fitting exponentials to decaying I_{Cl,vol} currents at different voltages. In contrast to wild-type $I_{
m Cl,vol}$, which is probably mediated by a complex mixture of different LRRC8 heteromers, the current decay of fixed LRRC8 combinations could be adequately described by monoexponential functions (Fig. 1A). The time constants of inactivation ($\tau_{inactivation}$) were voltage-dependent and generally much smaller for LRRC8A/E than LRRC8A/C heteromers. $\tau_{\text{inactivation}}$ of LRRC8A/E reached steady-state at

voltages more positive than \sim 80 mV, whereas LRRC8A/C currents did not reach similar inactivation time constants even at 120 mV (Fig. 1*C*).

We next asked whether also hyperpolarization-facilitated recovery from inactivation differs between LRRC8A/C- and LRRC8A/E-mediated currents. Directly after inactivating channels to near steady state with 1-s (LRRC8A/E) or 4-s pulses (LRRC8A/C) to 100 mV, 1-s pulses to voltages from -120 to -60 mV in 20-mV increments were applied. These pulses elicited rapidly increasing inward currents (Fig. 1*F*), which reflect the reopening of inactivated VRACs and can be fitted by double exponentials (14). Such fits (Fig. 1*F*) yielded voltage-dependent time constants that were only slightly different between LRRC8A/C and LRRC8A/E (Fig. 1*G*). Hence, whereas inactivation is drastically faster in LRRC8A/E than in LRRC8A/C channels, both heteromers recover from inactivation on a similar time scale.

To exclude artifacts that might occur upon heterologous overexpression of LRRC8 isoforms in $LRRC8^{-/-}$ cells, we used genomic editing to generate HCT116 cells in which LRRC8B, -D, and -E (*LRRC8*(*B*,*D*,*E*)^{-/-}) or LRRC8B, -C and -D $(LRRC8(B,C,D)^{-/-})$ were disrupted in parallel (Tables 1 and 2). These cells can only express LRRC8A/C and LRRC8A/E heteromers, respectively. Both cell lines gave robust swelling-activated currents, but current densities were \sim 50% lower in $LRRC8(B,D,E)^{-/-}$ than in WT cells (data not shown). This may relate to relatively high LRRC8E expression levels in HCT116 cells (8). Current inactivation in those triple knock-out cells was strikingly similar to that observed in the corresponding overexpression experiments (Fig. 1, A-C), with $I_{Cl,vol}$ of $LRRC8(B,C,D)^{-/-}$ and $LRRC8(B,D,E)^{-/-}$ cells inactivating fast and at mildly positive potentials or slow and at strongly depolarized potentials, respectively (Fig. 1, *B* and *C*). However, there was a left shift of the voltage dependence of inactivation parameters in both cell lines when compared with the equivalent overexpression experiments (Fig. 1, B and C). This might be due to different LRRC8A/LRRC8X (with X = B, C, D, or E) stoichiometries in overexpressing cells compared with cells expressing the subunits from endogenous promoters.

To identify regions determining $I_{Cl,vol}$ inactivation, we constructed chimeric proteins based on LRRC8C and LRRC8E (Fig. 2A). These chimeras were co-expressed with LRRC8A in *LRRC8*^{-/-} HCT116 cells. Chimeras containing the N terminus and the first transmembrane (TM) segment of LRRC8E in LRRC8C-background (chimera 1: LRRC8E(1-42)/ LRRC8C(43-830)) or the N terminus, first TM segment, and first extracellular loop (ECL1) of LRRC8C (chimera 2: LRRC8C(1-125)/LRRC8E(117-796)) in an LRRC8E background mediated currents that were indistinguishable from wild-type LRRC8A/C currents when co-expressed with LRRC8A (Fig. 2, B and C). Because ECL1 was the only LRRC8Cderived segment that was common to both chimeras, this loop is probably a major determinant of I_{CLvol} inactivation. A chimera in which only the C-terminal one-third of ECL1 of LRRC8E was substituted by the homologous LRRC8C segment (chimera 3: LRRC8E(1-84,117-796)/LRRC8C(94-125)) also mediated LRRC8A/C-like slow inactivation (Fig. 2, B-D). Remarkably, the converse chimera, in which these residues





Molecular Determinants of VRAC Gating and Permeation



FIGURE 1. **Differences in inactivation of** $I_{CI,vol}$ **mediated by LRRC8A/C and LRRC8A/E heteromers.** *A*, representative swelling-activated current traces of *LRRC8^{-/-}* HCT116 cells transfected with LRRC8A and the indicated isoform in response to 2-s voltage steps between -100 and 100 mV in 20-mV increments (*top*) or to the voltages indicated (*bottom*). Cells were held at -80 mV for 500 ms before and after voltage steps to allow for complete recovery from inactivation. In the bottom panels, only the outward component of the current is shown. Light lines are from monoexponential fits to the current decay. *B*, *left*, $I_{CI,vol}$ inactivation assessed by ratio of current at end (I_{2s}) and beginning (I_{max}) of 2-s pulse. *Solid lines*, Boltzmann fits. *Right*, V_{50} values from Boltzmann fits. *C*, inactivation time constants ($\tau_{inactivation}$) obtained from monoexponential fits to $I_{CI,vol}$ current traces in response to 2-s pulses. WT currents could not be adequately fitted by monoexponentials and are thus absent. *D*, representative $I_{CI,vol}$ traces. Cells were held at the indicated voltages until steady-state inactivation. Currents were inactivated to near steady state with 4-s (LRRC8C) or 1-s pulses (LRRC8E) to 100 mV (not shown) and recovered during 1-s pulses to -120, -100, -80, and -60 mV. *Light lines* are double-exponential fits to the currents. *G*, recovery time constants from double exponential fits to currents as shown in *F*. All experiments were repeated in 5–10 cells. *Error bars*, S.E.

were replaced in LRRC8C by the corresponding LRRC8E residues (chimera 4: LRRC8C(1–93,126–803)/LRRC8E(85–116)) mediated fast inactivation when co-expressed with LRRC8A, very similar to that observed in LRRC8A/E-mediated currents (Fig. 2, B-D). Hence, LRRC8E residues 85–116 largely account for fast inactivation.

To identify single residues in this highly conserved region (Fig. 3*A*) that may be responsible for the differences in inactivation, we introduced point mutations into LRRC8C that

changed the respective residues to those found in LRRC8E. These mutants were expressed together with LRRC8A in $LRRC8^{-/-}$ cells (Fig. 3, B-D). Whereas the LRRC8C-to-LRRC8E mutations T101N (Fig. 3, B-D) as well as M96V, M114L, and R118T (data not shown) resulted in inactivation indistinguishable from LRRC8A/C, the D102N mutant exhibited faster inactivation and a substantial left shift of V₅₀ (Fig. 3, B-D). Although T101N had no effect by itself, it further accelerated inactivation in the LRRC8C-to-LRRC8E double-mutant



Clone Construct Cell line Genetic modification Protein modification name used LRRC8-/-BC+DE 3A, 2B, (KO)D5+ 1B[§], 1C A: Δ2g out of 6g (g124-A: G43D-fs in TMD1 (HCT116) g129) 1D, 1E 2B-G4 B1: E150R-fs after TMD2 B as used in a1: duplication of t446 B2: E150I-fs after TMD2 Ref 8 a2: ∆4nt (c447-g450) C: F41V-fs in TMD1 C: duplication of t119 D: duplication of a325 D: I109N-fs between TMD1 and TMD2 E: T32N-fs in TMD1 E: duplication of a94 LRRC8(B/C/D) BCD-C5 2B, 1C, B: duplication of t446 B: E150R-fs after TMD2 (HCT116) 1D C: duplication of t119 C: F41V-fs in TMD1 D: I109N-fs between TMD1 D: duplication of a325 and TMD2 LRRC8(B/D/E)-/ BDE-B8 2B, 1D, B: duplication of t446 B: E150R-fs after TMD2 (HCT116) 1F D: duplication of a325 D: I109N-fs between TMD1 and TMD2 E: duplication of a94 E: T32N-fs in TMD1 For targeted guide sequences, see Table 2.

LRRC8C Asp-102 was corroborated by the converse LRRC8E-

Clonal cell lines with disrupted LRRC8 genes

nucleotide position within the ORF

Targeting with construct 1B in LRRC8-/- cell line resulted in a duplication of a1043, which would lead to A349G-fs after TMD4. However, the mutations by the 2B targeting (given in Table 2) truncate LRRC8B already after TMD2.

TABLE 2

TABLE 1

Guide sequences used for the generation of knock-out cell lines with the CRISPR/Cas9 system

PAM sequences are underlined. aa, amino acids; TMD, transmembrane domain.

Target gene Construct Guide sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$ Targeting strand Target location in protein aa 320-328 (beginning of TMD4) LRRC8A 1A ggctgatgtagaaggacgccagg aa 36-43 (in TMD2) 3A tgatgattgccgtcttcgggggg 4A tcctgcaatgattcgttccgggg aa 64-71 (between TMD1 and TMD2) LRRC8B 1Baa 346-353 (after TMD4) tttttctcttaacgcctcaaagg 2B ggccacaaaatgctcgagcctgg aa 147-354 (between TMD2 and TMD3) LRRC8C 1Caa 35-42 (in TMD1) atgctcatgatcggcgtgtttgg LRRC8D 1D aa 107-114 (between TMD1 and TMD2) gtggctctgagaggtatgtcagg LRRC8E 1E aa 27-34 (inTMD1) gctggccgagtacctcaccgtgg

Molecular Determinants of VRAC Gating and Permeation

to-LRRC8C mutation N93D in LRRC8E, which exhibited slow inactivation indistinguishable from that of LRRC8C (Fig. 3, E-G) when co-expressed with LRRC8A. Lack of an effect of the LRRC8E-to-LRRC8C mutation N92T alone or within the double-mutant N92T,N93D suggested a negligible role of this

For clarity, we will from now on refer to the position that had the larger influence on inactivation (LRRC8C 102 and LRRC8E 93) as position 0 (p0, Fig. 3A). We next investigated the role of LRRC8A in inactivation. LRRC8A contains an Asp at p0 (Fig. 3A), which in LRRC8C was associated with slow inactivation. We suspected that LRRC8A-to-LRRC8E mutations at this position should accelerate inactivation independent of the co-expressed isoform. Because only the double mutant LRRC8C(T101N,D102N) led to LRRC8E-like fast inactivation (Fig. 3, B-D), we first generated the LRRC8A-to-LRRC8E double mutant LRRC8A(Y99N,D100N) and co-expressed it with LRRC8E in LRRC8^{-/-} HCT116 cells. Indeed, LRRC8A(Y99N,D100N)/E inactivated even faster than LRRC8A/E, with inactivation apparent already at \sim 20 mV (Fig. 3, H–J) and a slight shift of V_{50} to less positive voltages (Fig. 3I). Likewise, currents mediated by LRRC8A(Y99N,D100N)/C inactivated much faster and at less positive potentials than LRRC8A/C (Fig. 3, H-J). In accord with LRRC8A/



FIGURE 2. Part of the first extracellular loop determines differences in inactivation between LRRC8C and LRRC8E. A, scheme of LRRC8C/E chimeric constructs. Light blue, LRRC8E. Red, LRRC8C. B, inactivation of I_{CL,vol} mediated by the indicated LRRC8 combinations, assessed by the ratio of current at the end/beginning of a 2-s pulse. Solid lines, Boltzmann fits. C, V₅₀ values from Boltzmann fits shown in *B*. The number of cells is shown in *parentheses*. *D*, inactivation time constants ($\tau_{inactivation}$) obtained from monoexponential fits to I_{CI,vol} current traces in response to 2-s pulses. All experiments were repeated in 5–8 cells. Error bars, S.E.





FIGURE 3. Two non-conserved residues in the C-terminal part of ECL1 affect I_{cl.vol} inactivation. A, protein sequence alignment of the second half of ECL1 of LRRC8A/C/E. The segment swapped in chimeras 3 and 4 is highlighted in red and blue, respectively. The beginning of predicted TM2 is indicated. According to the UniProt database, TM1 in LRRC8A ends at Leu-45, whereas TM2 begins at Tyr-124. LRRC8A residue Asp-102 and the homologous residues in LRRC8C/E are designated as position 0 (indicated above the alignment together with p-1 and p-2). Residues differing between LRRC8C and -E are indicated by asterisks below. B-J, effects of point mutations on inactivation. Shown is inactivation of I_{CLVOI} mediated by LRRC8C mutants (*B–D*) or LRRC8E mutants co-expressed with WT LRRC8A (*E–G*) and LRRC8A mutants co-expressed with WT or mutant LRRC8C/E (H-J) in LRRC8 HCT116 cells. B, E, and H, I_{Cl,vol} inactivation assessed by ratio of current at the end/beginning of a 2-s pulse. Solid lines, Boltzmann fits. C, F, and I, V₅₀ values from Boltzmann fits shown in B, E, and H. D, G, and J, inactivation time constants ($\tau_{\rm inactivation}$) obtained from monoexponential fits to I_{CI,vol} current traces in response to 2-s pulses. All experiments were repeated in 4-12 cells. Error bars, S.E.

C(T101N,D102N) showing LRRC8A/E-like fast inactivation (Fig. 3, B–D), LRRC8A(Y99N,D100N)/C(T101N,D102N) inactivated like LRRC8A(Y99N,D100N)/E channels (Fig. 3, H–J). LRRC8A(D100N) and LRRC8A(Y99N,D100N) displayed almost equal inactivation in heteromers with either LRRC8C or -E (Fig. 3, H–J), revealing the dominant role of the p0 residue.

We next mutated the p0 residue in both LRRC8E and LRRC8A to amino acids that are not present at this position in any LRRC8 isoform and co-expressed both mutants in

LRRC8^{-/-} cells. Substitution by Ala drastically accelerated inactivation between 30 and 70 mV, an effect that was even more pronounced when positively charged Lys or Arg were inserted (Fig. 4, A-D). Substitution for negatively charged Glu resulted in slow inactivation comparable with that observed with LRRC8A/C or LRRC8A/E(N93D) (Figs. 4 (A-D) and 3 (E-G), respectively). Qualitatively similar but generally less pronounced effects were obtained when mutants of LRRC8A or LRRC8E were co-expressed with the corresponding wild-type partners or when equivalent mutations in LRRC8A and LRRC8C were co-expressed (data not shown). We conclude that residues at p0 are central determinants of $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ inactivation. Positive and, to a lesser extent, uncharged amino acids in this position led to inactivation that rapidly reached steady state even at mildly positive membrane potentials. In contrast, negative charges caused inactivation to set in only at strongly depolarized potentials, as observed with the LRRC8A/C combination. Similar inactivation properties in Lys and Arg or in Ala and Asn mutants, respectively, indicate that side chain volume is less important (Fig. 4, A-D).

Given the dramatic effects of charged amino acids at p0, we next investigated the role of the conserved Lys at p-2 (Fig. 3*A*). Charge reversal or neutralization of this residue in LRRC8A and LRRC8E strongly altered inactivation when both mutants were co-expressed in LRRC8^{-/-} cells, whereas a charge-conserving mutation to Arg exerted only a minor decelerating effect (Fig. 4, E-H). In Ala and Asn substitutions, inactivation appeared to be almost absent save for a very fast component that was detected only at the most strongly depolarized potentials (Fig. 4, E-H). Very fast inactivation was also observed when negatively charged Glu was introduced (Fig. 4, E-H). The inactivation of currents from p-2 mutants displayed a very shallow voltage dependence and appeared to saturate at larger remaining currents than observed with WT combinations (>40% of current left versus \sim 15% for WT). Co-expression of LRRC8A wild type or p-2 mutants with LRRC8C wild type or p-2 mutants gave results indistinguishable from those obtained with the equivalent LRRC8A/E combinations (data not shown).

We next asked whether p-2 mutations alter the characteristic $I^- > Cl^-$ selectivity of VRACs. Cells in which $I_{Cl vol}$ was maximally activated were superfused with solutions in which Cl⁻ was substituted by I⁻, and the resulting shifts in reversal potential (ΔE_{rev}) were used to calculate relative permeabilities. Whereas the mutant combinations LRRC8A(K98A)/E(K91A) and LRRC8A(K98N)/E(K91N) did not alter ΔE_{rev} compared with the WT combination, ΔE_{rev} was significantly smaller for the charge reversal mutants LRRC8A(K98E)/E(K91E), reducing the relative permeability $(P_{\rm I}/P_{\rm CI})$ from 1.25 to 1.12 (Fig. 5, A-C). None of these mutations significantly changed the NO₃, gluconate, or Na⁺ permeability of VRACs (Fig. 5B). When only one of the two co-expressed LRRC8 subunits contained the p=2 Lys-to-Glu mutation, ΔE_{rev} was only significantly altered in LRRC8A/E(K91E), and not in LRRC8A(K98E)/E, suggesting a larger contribution of LRRC8E to the selectivity of the pore (Fig. 5D). Introduction of p-2 Lys-to-Glu mutations also altered ΔE_{rev} in the LRRC8A + C combination (Fig. 5D). As reported by ourselves as well as others (8, 10), ΔE_{rev} upon iodide



Downloaded from http://www.jbc.org/ at Max-Delbrück-Centrum für Molekulare Medizin on August 17, 2016

FIGURE 4. **Charged side chains of residues at p0 and p – 2 drastically affect** $I_{Cl,vol}$ **inactivation.** Shown are the effects of p0 (A–D) and p – 2 (E–H) mutations on $I_{Cl,vol}$ inactivation. A and E, example $I_{Cl,vol}$ currents at the indicated voltages mediated by the indicated LRRC8A/LRRC8E mutants co-expressed in LRRC8^{-/-} HCT116 cells. B and F, $I_{Cl,vol}$ inactivation assessed by ratio of current at the end/beginning of a 2-s pulse. Solid lines, Boltzmann fits. C and G, inactivation time constants ($\tau_{inactivation}$) obtained from monoexponential fits to $I_{Cl,vol}$ current traces in response to 2-s pulses. D and H, current densities of maximally activated $I_{Cl,vol}$ (at –60 mV) mediated by the indicated p0 (D) or p – 2 (H) mutant combinations. All p0 and p – 2 mutants tested gave rise to robust swelling-activated currents of a magnitude similar to that observed in WT. All experiments were repeated in 6–12 cells. Error bars, S.E. n.t., not transfected.

substitution was not significantly different between LRRC8A/C and LRRC8A/E heteromers (Fig. 5D). We also investigated whether p0 mutations affect $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ selectivity but did not find significant changes in $P_{\rm I}/P_{\rm Cl}$ or $P_{\rm NMDG}/P_{\rm Na}$ (Fig. 5E).

Discussion

Voltage-dependent inactivation, defined as spontaneous decay of currents after the establishment of a certain transmembrane voltage, is a hallmark of many ion channels. It is most often due to a closure of the channel pore. Whereas fast inactivation is crucial for certain cation channels involved in action potential generation, its biological role is less clear for ion channels like VRACs whose functions are normally not related to fast voltage changes. Voltage-dependent inactivation has been studied in great detail over several decades for various voltage-dependent K⁺ and Na⁺ channels. Fast N-type inactivation of K, channels involves a cytoplasmic N-terminal blocking particle that binds to the intracellular opening of the pore (20, 21), whereas the mechanism of the slower C-type inactivation is less clear but may involve a constriction of the channel pore (22). In contrast, nothing was known about the structural basis of the inactivation of VRACs, the molecular composition of which remained unknown until recently. We now identified amino acids in the highly conserved extracellular stretch preceding the second transmembrane domain as key determinants of inactivation. Mutations in one of these residues also changed the ion selectivity of VRACs, suggesting that this stretch forms part of the extracellular opening of the ion-conducting pore that might constrict with channel inactivation.

We previously attributed differences in voltage-dependent inactivation of native $I_{Cl,vol}$ (4) to tissue-specific expression patterns of LRRC8 isoforms (8). Analysis of cultured cells expressing the obligatory LRRC8A together with particular other LRRC8 isoforms showed that differences in inactivation were largest between channels containing LRRC8C or -E, which induced the slowest and fastest inactivation of $I_{Cl,vol}$, respectively (8). Co-expression of different LRRC8C/E chimeras with LRRC8A now identified the C-terminal half of the first extracellular loop (ECL1) as a major determinant of VRAC inactivation. In this stretch, we identified the negatively charged Asp-102 of LRRC8C, which is replaced by uncharged Asn at this position (p0) in LRRC8E, as a key determinant of channel inactivation. Mutations at p-1 modulated the effect of the p0 mutation on inactivation in LRRC8C but not in the other subunits





FIGURE 5. **Charge reversal of the conserved Lys in p**-2 **affects** $I_{Cl,vol}$ **anion selectivity.** *A*, example $I_{Cl,vol}$ current traces in response to voltage ramps. *B*, *D*, and *E*, relative ΔE_{rev} upon substitution of NaCl with the indicated substances. The number of cells is indicated (*B* and *E*), or single experiments are plotted (*D*). *Error bars*, S.E. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ****, p < 0.001; one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's test. *C*, relative iodide permeability as calculated from ΔE_{rev} .

tested. The charge, rather than size, of the residue at p0 strongly influenced the rate and voltage dependence of $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ inactivation. Likewise, the charge at p-2 (Lys in all LRRC8 isoforms but LRRC8B) markedly affected the voltage dependence and kinetics of inactivation but surprisingly also the ion selectivity of VRAC.

The strong influences of charges at positions p-2 and p0 on voltage-dependent inactivation raise the question of whether they directly act as voltage sensors. A *positive* charge at p0 accelerated channel inactivation and shifted its voltage dependence to the left, whereas *negative* charges at p-2 shifted the voltage dependence to the right but also accelerated inactivation. The similar effect of positive charges at positions p-2 and p0 on the voltage dependence evokes the hypothesis that a putative, and possibly pore-forming, re-entrant loop in the

stretch delimited by the glycosylation site and the predicted extracellular end of the second transmembrane span (Asn-83 and Tyr-126, respectively, in LRRC8A) dips into the electric field of the outer mouth of the channel and causes inactivation by an electrostatically induced movement in response to depolarization. However, the observation that neutralization of the positive charge at p-2 leads to a more pronounced right shift of the voltage dependence of inactivation than charge reversal is difficult to reconcile with this hypothesis, as is the similar effect of opposite charges at p0 and p-2 on inactivation kinetics.

Inactivation of $I_{\rm Cl,vol}$ was not complete, but saturated, depending on the particular channel composition, at maximum values of 80-95% that were asymptotically reached at long times and strong depolarization. Even less complete inactivation was observed with p-2 mutants. Previous single-channel recordings of native I_{Cl,vol} currents suggested that voltage-dependent inactivation involves the stepwise closure of single VRACs that display a single-channel conductance of 50-80 picosiemens at positive potentials (14, 16, 18). Therefore, the current remaining after maximal inactivation may represent the spontaneous flickering of such channels with a low average open probability. However, the observation that LRRC8A/C and LRRC8A/E channels display similar steady-state inactivation while showing markedly different time constants for entering, but not for leaving inactivated states, argues against the possibility that steady-state inactivation represents an equilibrium of direct transitions between an open and an inactivated state. Moreover, Syeda et al. (10) recently reported that bona fide single VRACs display a spectrum of single channel conductance levels even in cells expressing LRRC8A and only one of the other LRRC8 isoforms. Although not observed in any of the previous studies on VRAC single-channel properties (14, 16, 18), we cannot exclude the possibility that inactivation may also lead to a partial closure of the pore that would result in a reduced single channel conductance.

It is instructive to compare our results with those obtained for pannexins and connexins. LRRC8 proteins display weak but significant sequence homology to pannexin channels (19), which in turn display structural similarity, but no sequence homology, to gap junction-forming connexins. The highest degree of homology between pannexins and LRRC8 proteins is found in TM1 and TM2 (19), which in connexins line the pore, as revealed by high resolution structures (24). The homology between pannexins and LRRC8 channels extends about 10 residues beyond the predicted end of TM1 into ECL1. By contrast, there is no significant homology between both channel classes in the C-terminal part of ECL1 (19), and pannexin hemichannel currents lack conspicuous inactivation (25). Structure-function studies have indicated that besides TM1, ECL1 is important for the permeation of both pannexins (26, 27) and connexins (28, 29). For instance, a change from anion- to cation-preferring hemichannels was observed when ECL1 of connexin32 was replaced by that from connexin46 (29). Moreover, the crystal structure of connexin26 showed that ECL1 contributes to the formation of the outer pore funnel that contacts the hemichannel from the other cell (24). Cysteine-scanning mutagenesis of LRRC8A performed on parts of all transmembrane domains of LRRC8A (9) had identified Thr-44 close to the end of TM1,

which, when mutated to cysteine, moderately increased the I⁻ > Cl⁻ permeability of VRACs (9, 10). However, charge-introducing mutations at this position had only negligible effects, and the low currents observed with the T44C mutant (9) increase the risk of a contamination by background currents. By contrast, the Lys to Glu mutations at p-2 described here did not reduce current densities and decreased rather than increased the $I^- > Cl^-$ permeability of VRACs. As expected for a pore-lining residue, the change in selectivity was larger when both co-expressed subunits carried the mutation. When also considering the permeation of organic substrates, the largest changes in selectivity observed so far were between VRACs containing or lacking the LRRC8D subunit (6). Cells expressing only LRRC8A/D channels displayed a >10-fold higher cisplatin/Cl⁻ transport ratio than LRRC8A/C channels, and LRRC8D also increased the permeation of the mostly zwitterionic sulfo-amino acid taurine, an important osmolyte (6). In comparison with LRRC8A/C channels, LRRC8A/D channels were recently reported to have lower single channel conductances and, conflicting with our previous work (8), a somewhat lower $I^- > Cl^-$ permeability (10). Although we cannot attribute these changes to the residue at p-2, which is Lys in LRRC8A, -C, and -D, the most salient difference between LRRC8D and the other LRRC8 isoforms is the insertion of a 41-residue-long stretch between the conserved parts of ECL1 in LRRC8D. It is therefore tempting to speculate (23) that the long ECL1 of LRRC8D plays a role in shaping the rather exceptional permeation properties of LRRC8D-containing VRACs.

Our work has revealed that the portion of the first extracellular loop that directly precedes TM2 and that is highly conserved over a length of 30 amino acids in all mammalian LRRC8 isoforms plays a pivotal role in the voltage-dependent inactivation of VRACs. Unexpectedly, mutations in this region also change the ion selectivity of VRAC and hence suggest that it is in contact with the permeation pathway. Hence, VRAC inactivation might involve a constriction of the pore close to its extracellular opening. However, high resolution VRAC structures are necessary to test the feasibility of this and other hypotheses.

Experimental Procedures

Expression Constructs—Human LRRC8A (RefSeq accession number NM_019594; in pcDNA3.1), LRRC8C (RefSeq accession number NM_032270; in pEGFP-N1), and LRRC8E (RefSeq accession number NM_025061; in pEGFP-N1) were used as described previously (8). Mutants and chimeras were generated using PCR-based strategies. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing the complete ORF.

Cell Culture and Transfection—HCT116 cells were maintained in McCoy's 5A medium (PAN Biotech) supplemented with 10% FBS (PAN Biotech) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. For experiments, cells were plated onto gelatin-coated coverslips and transfected using the Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Inc.) transfection reagent. Cells were transfected with plasmids encoding LRRC8A and LRRC8C-GFP or LRRC8E-GFP and with identical CMV-based promotors at 1:1 ratios to ensure similar expression levels. Because LRRC8A is required for trafficking of LRRC8B through LRRC8E to the plasma membrane, plasma membrane fluorescence indicated correct expression of the untagged LRRC8A (8).

Electrophysiology—Whole-cell voltage clamp experiments were performed as described (6, 8). The hypotonic bath solution used to elicit $I_{Cl,vol}$ contained 105 mM NaCl, 6 mM CsCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, with NaOH (240 mosM). The pipette solution contained 40 mM CsCl, 100 mM cesium methanesulfonate, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.9 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM EGTA, 4 mM Na₂ATP, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, with CsOH (290 mosM). Currents were recorded with an EPC-10 USB patch clamp amplifier and PatchMaster software (HEKA Elektronik). Relative anion permeabilities (P_X/P_{Cl}) were calculated from the shifts in reversal potential using a modified Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation as described previously (8). Time constants of inactivation (τ_i or $\tau_{inactivation}$) were obtained by approximating the current decay over 2 s versus time with an exponential function,

$$I = I_{SS} + I_i e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_i}}$$
(Eq. 1)

where $I_{\rm ss}$ is the steady-state current after inactivation and $I_{\rm i}$ is the inactivating current. Time constants of recovery from inactivation ($\tau_{\rm slow}$ or $\tau_{\rm fast}$) were obtained by fitting the recovering current *versus* time with a double-exponential function,

$$I = I_{\max} + I_{slow} e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_{slow}}} + I_{fast} e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_{tast}}}$$
(Eq. 2)

where $I_{\rm max}$ is the fully recovered current, and $I_{\rm slow}$ and $I_{\rm fast}$ are the currents recovered through the slow and fast components, respectively.

Generation of Monoclonal Knock-out Cell Lines—Cell lines with disruptions in *LRRC8* genes were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 as described previously (8). Briefly, target single guide RNAs were cloned into the px330 vector and co-transfected with GFP into HCT116 cells using the Fugene HD transfection reagent. 2–5 days post-transfection, single GFP-positive cells were FACS-sorted into 96-well plates containing McCoy's 5A medium. Monoclonal cell lines were raised and tested for sequence alterations using target site-specific PCR on genomic DNA followed by Sanger sequencing. To generate multiple KOs of several genes, the respective plasmids were transfected together, or cell lines already carrying *LRRC8* gene disruptions were targeted again for other *LRRC8* genes.

Author Contributions—F. U., S. M. R., and T. J. J. designed the study. F. U., S. M. R., and T. J. J. wrote the paper. F. U. and S. M. R. designed, performed, and analyzed electrophysiological experiments. F. K. V. generated and validated knockout cell lines. F. U., S. M. R., and T. S. designed and cloned mutant and chimeric constructs. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgment—We thank J. Liebold for technical assistance.

References

- Hoffmann, E. K., Lambert, I. H., and Pedersen, S. F. (2009) Physiology of cell volume regulation in vertebrates. *Physiol. Rev.* 89, 193–277
- Pasantes-Morales, H., Lezama, R., Ramos-Mandujano, G., and Tuz, K. (2006) Mechanisms of cell volume regulation in hypo-osmolality. *Am. J. Med.* 119, S4–S11



Molecular Determinants of VRAC Gating and Permeation

- 3. Jentsch, T. J. (2016) VRACs and other ion channels and transporters in the regulation of cell volume and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **17**, 293–307
- 4. Nilius, B., Eggermont, J., Voets, T., Buyse, G., Manolopoulos, V., and Droogmans, G. (1997) Properties of volume-regulated anion channels in mammalian cells. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **68**, 69–119
- Okada, Y., Sato, K., and Numata, T. (2009) Pathophysiology and puzzles of the volume-sensitive outwardly rectifying anion channel. *J. Physiol.* 587, 2141–2149
- Planells-Cases, R., Lutter, D., Guyader, C., Gerhards, N. M., Ullrich, F., Elger, D. A., Kucukosmanoglu, A., Xu, G., Voss, F. K., Reincke, S. M., Stauber, T., Blomen, V. A., Vis, D. J., Wessels, L. F., Brummelkamp, T. R., Borst, P., Rottenberg, S., and Jentsch, T. J. (2015) Subunit composition of VRAC channels determines substrate specificity and cellular resistance to Pt-based anti-cancer drugs. *EMBO J.* 34, 2993–3008
- Okada, Y., Shimizu, T., Maeno, E., Tanabe, S., Wang, X., and Takahashi, N. (2006) Volume-sensitive chloride channels involved in apoptotic volume decrease and cell death. *J. Membr. Biol.* 209, 21–29
- Voss, F. K., Ullrich, F., Münch, J., Lazarow, K., Lutter, D., Mah, N., Andrade-Navarro, M. A., von Kries, J. P., Stauber, T., and Jentsch, T. J. (2014) Identification of LRRC8 heteromers as an essential component of the volume-regulated anion channel VRAC. *Science* 344, 634–638
- Qiu, Z., Dubin, A. E., Mathur, J., Tu, B., Reddy, K., Miraglia, L. J., Reinhardt, J., Orth, A. P., and Patapoutian, A. (2014) SWELL1, a plasma membrane protein, is an essential component of volume-regulated anion channel. *Cell* 157, 447–458
- Syeda, R., Qiu, Z., Dubin, A. E., Murthy, S. E., Florendo, M. N., Mason, D. E., Mathur, J., Cahalan, S. M., Peters, E. C., Montal, M., and Patapoutian, A. (2016) LRRC8 proteins form volume-regulated anion channels that sense ionic strength. *Cell* 164, 499–511
- Kumar, L., Chou, J., Yee, C. S. K., Borzutzky, A., Vollmann, E. H., von Andrian, U. H., Park, S.-Y., Hollander, G., Manis, J. P., Poliani, P. L., and Geha, R. S. (2014) Leucine-rich repeat containing 8A (LRRC8A) is essential for T lymphocyte development and function. *J. Exp. Med.* 211, 929–942
- Lee, C. C., Freinkman, E., Sabatini, D. M., and Ploegh, H. L. (2014) The protein synthesis inhibitor blasticidin s enters mammalian cells via leucine-rich repeat-containing protein 8D. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 17124–17131
- Pedersen, S. F., Klausen, T. K., and Nilius, B. (2015) The identification of a volume-regulated anion channel: an amazing Odyssey. *Acta Physiol.* 213, 868–881
- Voets, T., Droogmans, G., and Nilius, B. (1997) Modulation of voltage-dependent properties of a swelling-activated Cl⁻ current. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 110, 313–325

- Jackson, P. S., and Strange, K. (1996) Single channel properties of a volume sensitive anion channel: lessons from noise analysis. *Kidney Int.* 49, 1695–1699
- Okada, Y., Petersen, C. C., Kubo, M., Morishima, S., and Tominaga, M. (1994) Osmotic swelling activates intermediate-conductance Cl⁻ channels in human intestinal epithelial cells. *Jpn. J. Physiol.* 44, 403–409
- Okada, Y. (1997) Volume expansion-sensing outward-rectifier Cl⁻ channel: fresh start to the molecular identity and volume sensor. *Am. J. Physiol.* 273, C755–C789
- Jackson, P. S., and Strange, K. (1995) Characterization of the voltage-dependent properties of a volume-sensitive anion conductance. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **105**, 661–676
- Abascal, F., and Zardoya, R. (2012) LRRC8 proteins share a common ancestor with pannexins, and may form hexameric channels involved in cell-cell communication. *Bioessays* 34, 551–560
- Hoshi, T., Zagotta, W. N., and Aldrich, R. W. (1990) Biophysical and molecular mechanisms of Shaker potassium channel inactivation. *Science* 250, 533–538
- Hoshi, T., Zagotta, W. N., and Aldrich, R. W. (1991) Two types of inactivation in Shaker K⁺ channels: effects of alterations in the carboxy-terminal region. *Neuron* 7, 547–556
- 22. Cuello, L. G., Jogini, V., Cortes, D. M., and Perozo, E. (2010) Structural mechanism of C-type inactivation in K⁺ channels. *Nature* **466**, 203–208
- Voets, T., Nilius, B., and Vennekens, R. (2015) VRACs swallow platinum drugs. *EMBO J.* 34, 2985–2987
- Maeda, S., Nakagawa, S., Suga, M., Yamashita, E., Oshima, A., Fujiyoshi, Y., and Tsukihara, T. (2009) Structure of the connexin 26 gap junction channel at 3.5 Å resolution. *Nature* 458, 597–602
- 25. Barbe, M. T., Monyer, H., and Bruzzone, R. (2006) Cell-cell communication beyond connexins: the pannexin channels. *Physiology* **21**, 103–114
- Wang, J., and Dahl, G. (2010) SCAM analysis of Panx1 suggests a peculiar pore structure. J. Gen. Physiol. 136, 515–527
- Qiu, F., Wang, J., and Dahl, G. (2012) Alanine substitution scanning of pannexin1 reveals amino acid residues mediating ATP sensitivity. *Purinergic Signal.* 8, 81–90
- Trexler, E. B., Bukauskas, F. F., Kronengold, J., Bargiello, T. A., and Verselis, V. K. (2000) The first extracellular loop domain is a major determinant of charge selectivity in connexin46 channels. *Biophys. J.* 79, 3036–3051
- Kronengold, J., Trexler, E. B., Bukauskas, F. F., Bargiello, T. A., and Verselis, V. K. (2003) Single-channel SCAM identifies pore-lining residues in the first extracellular loop and first transmembrane domains of Cx46 hemichannels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **122**, 389–405



Inactivation and Anion Selectivity of Volume-regulated Anion Channels (VRACs) Depend on C-terminal Residues of the First Extracellular Loop

Florian Ullrich, S. Momsen Reincke, Felizia K. Voss, Tobias Stauber and Thomas J. Jentsch

J. Biol. Chem. 2016, 291:17040-17048. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.739342 originally published online June 20, 2016

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.739342

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 29 references, 12 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/291/33/17040.full.html#ref-list-1