Crystal Structures of the Single PDZ Domains from GRASP65 and their Interaction with the Golgin GM130
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Abstract: Among the major components of the Golgi apparatus are the GRASP family proteins, including GRASP65 on the cis-Golgi side. With its GRASP domain, GRASP65 is involved in Golgi stacking and ribbon formation. Interaction of GRASP65 with the Golgi marker protein GM130 is important for the docking of vesicles to the Golgi membrane. We present here structures of the two individual PDZ domains comprising the GRASP domain in human GRASP65. We use isothermal titration calorimetry to probe the interaction between GRASP65 and GM130. Additionally, we present evidence for the limited sequence conservation of the PDZ fold by describing the PDZ domain structure of the GRASP65 homolog Grh1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
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INTRODUCTION

As part of the endomembrane system of eukaryotic cells, the Golgi apparatus is traversed by proteins originating from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) as they translocate to their final destination in or beyond the plasma membrane. It is composed of cisternal membrane structures arranged in Golgi stacks which are further organized into a larger ribbon structure.[1] The Golgi apparatus has three primary compartments, known as “cis” (cisternae nearest the endoplasmic reticulum), “medial” (central layers of cisternae), and “trans” (cisternae farthest from the endoplasmic reticulum). The cis-Golgi network is the entry area at the convex side of the Golgi stack which faces to the ER whereas the trans-Golgi network is the concave side that directs newly synthesized proteins to different subcellular destinations.

Proteins of the GRASP (Golgi reassembly stacking protein) and golgin families are part of the structural organization of the Golgi apparatus.[2,3] Two proteins involved in mammalian Golgi stacking and laterally linking the Golgi cisternae to form Golgi ribbons are GRASP65 and GRASP55.[4,5] GRASP55, anchored to the medial Golgi membrane by myristoyl and palmitoyl groups, interacts with the golgin protein Golgin-45.[6] GRASP65, differing in localization, is N-terminally associated with the membranes of the cis Golgi through a myristoyl group. Interaction with the C-terminus of the Golgi marker protein GM130 recruits GRASP65 to the cis-Golgi membrane where it is involved in the docking of transport vesicles to the Golgi membrane.[5,7,8]

The human GRASP65 (hGRASP65) protein contains two PDZ domains (PDZ1, PDZ2) at the N-terminus, which, together, represent the entire GRASP domain (Figure 1A). The PDZ domains of GRASP65, especially the PDZ2 domain, are known to be essential for self-association of the protein and for initiating the linking of Golgi cisternae into mini stacks.[9–11] Initial biochemical studies suggested that the binding motif for GM130 is found within the second PDZ domain of GRASP65, but recent crystallographic studies of...
the hGRASP65 GRASP domain bound to the C-terminal tail of GM130 showed that both PDZ domains are involved in GM130 binding.\[12\] The C-terminal region of GRASP65 consists of an SPR (serine/proline rich) domain which is a target for multiple phosphorylation during mitosis.\[13\]

PDZ domains comprise 80–100 residues and are often found in multi-domain proteins used as scaffolds, especially in signal transduction complexes. PDZ domains were initially characterized in the post-synaptic density protein PSD95, the Drosophila disc large tumor suppressor (Dlg1) and zona occludens-1 protein (ZO-1), and their name is derived from these three proteins. Almost all PDZ domains share a β-sandwich fold containing six β-strands framed by two α-helices.\[14,15\] A common feature of PDZ
domains is their ability to bind the C-terminus of protein ligands in a hydrophobic binding pocket in addition to the binding by a highly conserved carboxylate-binding loop, which is also involved in the binding of non-peptide inhibitors.\textsuperscript{[14]} Binding of internal sequences in the peptide-binding pocket has also been reported.\textsuperscript{[15,17]}

To understand the binding of GM130 by GRASP65 in more detail, we studied GRASP65 binding of GM130 derived peptides by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) in conjunction with structural analysis. For these studies, we used different GRASP65 constructs, comprising the individual PDZ domains, the entire GRASP domain or an N-terminally truncated GRASP domain. We present here the crystal structures of the single PDZ domains of GRASP65 as well the first PDZ domain structure of the GRASP65 yeast homolog, Grh1.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Protein Expression and Purification**

Fragments of the human \textit{grasp65} gene (gene ID: 64689) encoding amino acid residues (aa) 1-118 (GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1}), aa 108-204 (GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2}) and aa 1-204 (GRASP65\textsuperscript{1-204}), and of the \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} gene \textit{grh1} (gene ID: 852129) encoding the fragment aa 67-144 (GRH1) were inserted into a pET-46 Ek/LIC vector using the ligation independent cloning (LIC) technique\textsuperscript{[18]} according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Novagen). Additionally, a PreScission protease recognition sequence (LEVLFQGP) was introduced at the 5’-end of the construct. Template cDNA of human \textit{grasp65} was kindly provided by Erich Wanker (MDC Berlin-Buch, Germany). Yeast cDNA, used as a template, was kindly provided by Thomas Sommer (MDC Berlin-Buch, Germany).

For recombinant protein expression, \textit{E. coli} T7 Express Rosetta 2 cells (New England Biolabs) were transformed with the pET-46 Ek/LIC plasmid harboring the \textit{grasp65} or \textit{grh1} constructs. All constructs were expressed as fusion proteins with an N-terminal His\textsubscript{6} tag. Cells were grown in Superior Broth (SB) medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics at 37 °C to an OD\textsubscript{600} (optical density at 600 nm) of 1. Cells were then cooled down to 20 °C, and gene expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). 16 h after induction, cells were harvested, resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 10 mM imidazole) and lysed with a Sonoplus sonifier (BANDELIN electronic).

Proteins were purified by affinity chromatography with an Äkta (GE Healthcare) purification system using a HisTrap\textsuperscript{TM} FF (GE Healthcare) column. After the wash step (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole), protein was eluted with 250 mM imidazole and incubated with PreScission protease to cleave off the His\textsubscript{6} tag overnight while dialyzing against a 100 fold excess of 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl and 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol. For tag and protease removal, a second affinity chromatography step was used. Finally, size exclusion chromatography on a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) was performed in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. Peak fractions were concentrated to 10-15 mg/ml. In contrast to the GRASP65 constructs, the tag was not removed for Grh1\textsuperscript{PDZ2} due to subsequent protein instability. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange\textsuperscript{®}Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Crystallization**

Crystals were grown by vapor diffusion using the sitting drop method with a semi-automated dispensing system (Hydra II, Matrix). GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1} crystals were obtained within 24 h in 24% PEG1500 and 20% glycerol at 20 °C. GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} crystals appeared in 2-3 weeks in a condition containing 1.4 M Na/K phosphate, pH 8.2, also at 20 °C. Grh1\textsuperscript{PDZ2} crystals were obtained within 3-4 weeks in 3.0 M NaCl and 100 mM Bis-Tris, pH 5.5 at 20 °C. The best crystals of GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} and Grh1\textsuperscript{PDZ2} were flash frozen in mother liquor containing 20% PEG400 or 20% glycerol, respectively.

**Data Collection and Structure Determination**

Diffraction data were collected at a temperature of 100 K at beamline 14.1 at BESSY II (Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, HZB) in Germany.\textsuperscript{[19]} GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} data from a crystal in space group \textit{C}222\textsubscript{1} containing one protein molecule per asymmetric unit (AU) were collected at 100 K to a resolution of 2.7 Å, and GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1} data were obtained up to 2.1 Å resolution from a space group \textit{C}2 crystal with two protein molecules per asymmetric unit. Data were processed using XDS.\textsuperscript{[20,21]} Phases were obtained by molecular replacement with Phaser\textsuperscript{[22]} from the CCP4 suite\textsuperscript{[23,24]} using the coordinates of the matching GRASP55 PDZ domain (PDB entry code: 3RLE) as search model. Subsequently, an atomic model was built and refined using COOT and REFMACS\textsuperscript{[25–28]}.

A 1.4 Å native dataset was collected at a wavelength of 0.91841 Å from a Grh1\textsuperscript{PDZ2} crystal at beamline MX14.2 at BESSY. Additionally, a 1.75 Å data set collected at 1.77122 Å from a crystal obtained under the same crystallization condition was used for an S-SAD experiment. Both data sets were processed using XDS, with the keyword ‘FRIEDEL’S_LAW=FALSE’ for the 1.75 Å data set.\textsuperscript{[20,21]} Both crystals belonged to space group \textit{P}3\textsubscript{1}21. Based on the
anomalous scattering from three sulfur atoms present in two methionine residues and one cysteine residue, we could solve the phase problem by using the S-SAD method\cite{29,30} of Auto-Rickshaw, an automated crystal structure determination platform.\cite{31} The polypeptide backbone could be traced automatically by ARP/wARP.\cite{32} Subsequently, an atomic model was built and refined against the native dataset using COOT\cite{25,26} and REFMAC5.\cite{27,28} All data collection and refinement statistics are given in Table 1. The final structures were validated using MolProbity.\cite{33} The atomic coordinates and structure factors for GRASP65\cite{20} (code 6G8T), GRASP65\cite{21} (code 6G8W) and Grh1\cite{22} (code 6G8Y) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (http://wwpdb.org/).

### Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1}</th>
<th>GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2}</th>
<th>Native Grh1\textsuperscript{PDZ1Δβ}</th>
<th>S-SAD Grh1\textsuperscript{PDZ1Δβ}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.91841</td>
<td>0.91841</td>
<td>0.91841</td>
<td>1.77122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C222.1</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>P3:21</td>
<td>P3:21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, b, c (Å)</td>
<td>46.4, 63.2, 80.8</td>
<td>50.8, 82.6, 49.2</td>
<td>53.4, 53.4, 50.9</td>
<td>53.5, 53.5, 51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>90.0, 90.0, 90.0</td>
<td>90.0, 110.8, 90.0</td>
<td>90.0, 90.0, 120.0</td>
<td>90.0, 90.0, 120.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)*</td>
<td>33.93–2.67</td>
<td>26.85–2.12</td>
<td>26.72–1.40</td>
<td>34.28–1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R\textsubscript{merge} (°)*</td>
<td>(2.74–2.67)</td>
<td>(2.18–2.12)</td>
<td>(1.50–1.40)</td>
<td>(1.84–1.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R\textsubscript{merge} (°)*</td>
<td>6.2 (75.5)</td>
<td>7.8 (62.8)</td>
<td>4.2 (61.5)</td>
<td>5.3 (70.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average I/σ I*</td>
<td>20.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>15.8 (3.0)</td>
<td>22.96 (3.4)</td>
<td>36.55 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)*</td>
<td>99.8 (100.0)</td>
<td>99.3 (100.0)</td>
<td>99.7 (99.6)</td>
<td>98.9 (93.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. reflections</td>
<td>3394</td>
<td>10222</td>
<td>16072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R\textsubscript{work}/R\textsubscript{free} (%)</td>
<td>20.9/25.8</td>
<td>18.6/23.5</td>
<td>16.3/21.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. atoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligands</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall mean (Å&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s. deviation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran map</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most favored (%)</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition allowed</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed (%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values in parentheses refer to outer resolution shell.

\( R\text{merge} = \text{redundancy-independent } R\text{merge}, R\text{merge} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{hkl} \sum_i (|I_i(hkl)| - <|I(hkl)|>/\sum_i |I_i(hkl)|), \text{ sum } i \text{ is over all separate measurements of unique reflection hkl.}

\( R\text{free} = R\text{work}, \text{ but summed over a 5% test of randomly chosen reflections.}

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)**

For ITC measurements, different GRASP65 constructs comprising the entire GRASP domain (aa 1-204) and the single PDZ domains were prepared as described above. Peptides of the C-terminus of GM130 were purchased in lengths of six and twelve amino acids from Peptide Specialty Laboratories (PSL, Heidelberg). To avoid any buffer mismatch between protein and peptide, all constructs and peptides were extensively dialyzed (20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl). Prior to the ITC measurements the samples were degassed under vacuum with stirring using a ThermoVac (Microcal). The ITC measurements were run in a VP-ITC microcalorimeter (Microcal). The cell was loaded with 2 ml of 75 μM protein.
solution, and 1.5 mM peptide solution was placed in the syringe. About 60 injections were performed with a volume of 2.5 µl for the first and 5 µl for the remaining injections. Time between injections was 240 s. All experiments were conducted at 15 °C with a stirring speed of 307 rpm. Raw data were baseline fitted with NITPIC (version 1.2.2) and integrated using ORIGIN (version 7.0, OriginLab).

RESULTS
Structures of the Single PDZ Domains of GRASP65

The first PDZ domain of the GRASP domain comprises amino acid residues 1-104 (Figure 1A). The N-terminal amino acids 1-12 in the crystallized GRASP65 PDZ1 construct were not explained by electron density and could not been modeled. The globular structure, as shown in Figure 1B, consists of six β-strands (βA-βF) and three α-helices (αA, αB and αL) arranged in a partially opened β-sandwich typical of PDZ domains. Helix αL represents the linker connecting the first with the second PDZ domain of GRASP65. The structure exhibits, as other PDZ domains do, a ligand-binding pocket formed by strand βB and helix αB, exposing positively charged residues (Figure 1D).

The second PDZ domain, GRASP65 PDZ2, was also crystallized (Figure 1C) and also exhibited the typical PDZ fold consisting of five β-strands (βA-βD and βF) and two α-helices (αA and αB). In contrast to PDZ1, here the ligand-binding pocket shows a more hydrophobic character (Figure 1E).

As shown in the superposition of GRASP65 PDZ1 and GRASP65 PDZ2 (Figure 2A) both domains reveal a similar structure with an elongated carboxylate-binding loop between strands βA and βB. The Cα atom r.m.s. deviation is 0.78 Å (calculated with PyMOL, DeLano Scientific, USA) despite a low sequence match of 35 %, shown by the sequence alignment (Figure 2B).

Dimerization of the Single GRASP65 PDZ Domains

Crystal structures of both monomeric PDZ domains of GRASP65 are illustrated in Figure 1. Size-exclusion chromatography, however, reveals formation of mainly tetramers for GRASP65 PDZ1 and dimers for GRASP65 PDZ2 in solution (Figure 3A, B). Insight into the geometry of the arrangements may be obtained from the crystal packing. In both crystal structures, two protein molecules are forming a dimer via the peptide binding pocket. In GRASP65 PDZ1, which crystallized in space group C222₁ with one protein molecule per AU, the dimer is formed via the twofold axis along the crystallographic b axis. A tetrameric arrangement as observed in solution could not be found in the GRASP65 PDZ2 crystal. The two molecules per AU, present in the space group C2 crystals of GRASP65 PDZ2, form a dimer via non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS). In both structures the C-terminus of molecule 1 form hydrogen bonds with the carboxylate binding loop of molecule 2, thus mimicking the classical PDZ-ligand interaction. For GRASP65 PDZ1 the C-terminal carboxylate group of Val118 of molecule 2 (Figure 3C) forms four hydrogen bonds with the backbone of residues Leu95, Leu96, Gly97 and Ala98 in the carboxylate binding loop of molecule 1. An additional hydrogen bond is formed with the carbonyl oxygen of Ala98 and the backbone nitrogen of Val118 (Figure 3C).

In GRASP65 PDZ2 the carboxylate group of the C-terminal residue Thr204 of molecule 2 also forms four hydrogen bonds with the backbone of residues Ser189, Leu190, Gly191 and Cys192 of molecule 1 with an extra hydrogen bond between the carbonyl oxygen of Cys192 and the backbone nitrogen of Thr204 (Figure 3D).

With 1027 Å², the dimer interface of GRASP65 PDZ1 covers 9.5% of the total solvent-accessible surface (SAS) of both subunits (10850 Å²). The dimer interface of
GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} covers 8.5% of the SAS (832 Å\textsuperscript{2} of 9800 Å\textsuperscript{2} in total) as calculated using PDBe PISA.\textsuperscript{[35]}

**Interaction of the GRASP Domain with GM130**

In order to analyze the binding of GRASP65 to the golgin GM130 in greater detail, different GRASP65 constructs were prepared comprising either the entire GRASP domain (GRASP65\textsuperscript{1-204}) or the single PDZ domains (GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1} and GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2}). The single PDZ domains and GRASP65\textsuperscript{1-204} were titrated in the ITC experiment with the hexapeptide NVKITVIC representing the C terminus of GM130. A dodecameric GM130 peptide (NADENDEVKITVIC) was only titrated to GRASP65\textsuperscript{1-204}. GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} alone showed no binding of

---

**Figure 3.** Oligomerization of the single GRASP65 PDZ domains. (A) Elution profile of GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1} shows a main peak in size exclusion chromatography at ~50 kDa, which corresponds to a tetramer size of GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1} (molecular mass of monomer is 13 kDa). A small portion of higher oligomers is visible at ~80-90 kDa. (B) The elution profile of GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} shows a peak at ~20 kDa, which indicates dimer formation. The size of the molecular weight standards is given above the elution profiles. Protein dimers are found in crystals of GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ1} (C) and of GRASP65\textsuperscript{PDZ2} (D). The packing of the two molecules in the crystal is shown on the left. Molecule 1 is color-coded from the N-terminus (blue) to the C-terminus (red). Molecule 2 is depicted in gray. The ligand-binding pocket is shown in detail in the magnified inset. Residues contributing in the dimer formation are shown as stick model with surrounding 2F\textsubscript{o}−F\textsubscript{c} electron density contoured at 1 sigma. Hydrogen bonds are represented as dashed lines.
VKITVI (Figure 4B), whereas GRASP65PDZ1 did, indeed, show binding of the hexapeptide (Figure 4A). The ITC data could only be fitted by using a 4-site sequential binding model, indicating that four peptides associate with GRASP65PDZ1. Due to our observation that the isolated PDZ1 domain of GRASP65 elutes as tetramer in size exclusion chromatography, we assume that the binding of the peptide may cause a dissociation of the tetramer, possibly triggered by conformational changes in the subunits of the multimeric protein. Therefore we could only fit the data to a sequential binding model with a resulting overall dissociation constant of $K_D = 41.8 \pm 3.0 \mu M$.

It is not possible to obtain a dissociation constant for each binding site for this sequential binding site model, because all possible states of association exist in equilibrium. The titration of the VKITVI hexapeptide to the construct GRASP651-204 revealed a $K_D = 25.1 \pm 0.6 \mu M$ (Figure 4C). The GM130 dodecapeptide ADENDEVKITVI showed binding of the entire GRASP domain (GRASP651-204) with a $K_D = 27.6 \pm 0.6 \mu M$ (Figure 4D). In both cases, the binding stoichiometries (N values) differ from 1:1 and are difficult to explain. In the absence of other evidence, we cannot exclude the possibility that the complex stoichiometries reflect incomplete binding of the ligand peptides to protein domains whose binding sites are partially occupied by terminal peptides from other protein chains, in a similar way as seen in the crystals.

**Crystal Structure of Grh1, the Yeast Homolog of GRASP65**

In addition to the GRASP65 PDZ domain structures, the structure of the first PDZ domain, Grh1PDZ1ΔβB (aa 67-144), of the GRASP65 yeast homolog Grh1[36,37] was determined using the sulfur SAD method.[25,26] The first PDZ domain of Grh1 consists of four β-strands (βA, βC, βD and βF), three α-helices (αA, αB and αP) and an extended loop region connecting strand βD with helix αB (Figure 5A). Although the crystallized fragment lacks the carboxylate-binding loop and the central strand βB, the structure exhibits a PDZ-like fold. These two C-terminal structural elements were omitted from the cloned construct due to issues of low solubility or low level of overexpression encountered with longer protein constructs. This becomes obvious in the superposition of GRASP65PDZ1 and Grh1PDZ1ΔβB (Figure 5B).

In spite of the very low sequence identity of 10%, the PDZ structures superimpose quite well (r.m.s.d. = 1.4 Å for Ca atoms) (Figure 5C). That proves that similar PDZ folds can be adopted by sequentially distant protein domains.

**DISCUSSION**

We present the crystal structures of the two separate PDZ domains of human GRASP65, GRASP65PDZ1 and GRASP65PDZ2. Notably, both structures reveal a variation of the canonical PDZ fold, which is reflected in the sequential order of the secondary structure elements. As already shown for GRASP55,[38] also GRASP65 contains two circularly permuted PDZ domains revealing the secondary structure organization βαβαβββ typical of bacterial PDZ-like domains instead of the βββαββαβ pattern normally found in mammals, such as human PSD-95.[14] We observed this βαβαββ permutation also in Grh1, the yeast homolog.

**Figure 4.** Titration of GRASP65 constructs with the GM130 peptides **VKITVI** and **ADENDEVKITVI**. (A) GRASP65PDZ1 titration with **VKITVI** peptide. The data were fitted to a sequential binding site model with 4 binding sites and revealed a $K_D = 41.8 \pm 2.97 \mu M$, $\Delta H = -369.9 \pm 12.0$ cal/mol, $\Delta S = 18.7$ cal/mol/deg. (B) The GRASP65PDZ2 titration with **VKITVI**-GM130 peptide did not show any binding. (C, D) The titration of the GRASP domain (GRASP651-204) is shown with GM130 **VKITVI** peptide in C) and **ADENDEVKITVI** in D). The following thermodynamic parameters were determined for (C) $K_D = 25.06 \pm 0.63 \mu M$, $\Delta H = -6427 \pm 120.3$ cal/mol, $\Delta S = -1.25$ cal/mol/deg and N = 0.46 sites; for (D) $K_D = 27.62 \pm 0.59 \mu M$, $\Delta H = -4160 \pm 212.4$ cal/mol, $\Delta S = 6.43$ cal/mol/deg and N = 0.17 sites.

DOI: 10.5562/cca3341

of GRASP65, but in slightly incomplete form lacking the last β strand. Despite very low sequence identity of only 10%, Grh1PDZ1Δβ also shows a PDZ folding pattern indicating structural conservation that is not reflected in the amino acid sequence. Circular permutations of protein sequences are frequently observed and may occur in different protein folds.[39,40]

To characterize the binding of GM130 to GRASP65 in detail, we used the isothermal titration calorimetry method. Instead of in vitro translated protein constructs or GST-tagged GM130 fragments,[8,13] we used untagged GM130 peptides for the ITC measurements and combined them with various recombinantly expressed GRASP65 constructs using either the single PDZ domains or the entire GRASP domain. In contrast to earlier observations[11] we could not verify that GRASP65PDZ2 alone is sufficient for GM130 binding. The same observation was recently made by Hu et al. who showed that only the GRASP65 PDZ1 and PDZ2 in tandem are capable of GM130 binding, where the first PDZ domain is the key player in this molecular interaction.[12] Our own ITC experiment reveals a peptide length of six amino acids (VKITVI) of the GM130 C-terminus for sufficient binding to the isolated first PDZ domain and to the entire GRASP domain GRASP 1-204, but not to the isolated second PDZ domain of GRASP65. The GM130 dodecapeptide ADENDEVKITVI bound to the entire GRASP domain with a KD of 27.6 μM, i.e. with a similar affinity as observed with the GM130 hexapeptide (KD = 25.2 μM). That indicates that the additional amino acids more distant from the C-terminus of GM130 do not significantly contribute in binding to the GRASP domain. These additional residues form an exposed loop and are not involved in binding to GRASP65PDZ21.
GRASP65 as observed in the crystal structure of Hu et al. There, a 22-residue peptide "SNCP1FPYRDENVKTVF" is bound to the GRASP domain with a $K_\text{D}$ of 108 nM. This 230 times lower $K_\text{D}$ as compared to the hexa- and dodecapeptides examined in the current study is likely to result from additional hydrophobic residues present in the longer peptide, which are binding into the strongly hydrophobic inter-domain pocket of the GRASP domain. Our measured $K_\text{D}$ reflects the contribution of the conventional PDZ domain recognition mode to the overall GM130-GRASP65 interaction.

**Author Contributions.** C.M.J. designed the biochemical studies and performed cloning, purification and crystallization experiments. C.M.J. and Y.R. collected X-ray diffraction data, solved and refined the structures. C.M.J. and Y.R. wrote the manuscript. U.H. contributed to revising intellectual content, finalized the manuscript, and holds responsibility for the integrity of the data as corresponding author. All authors approved the final manuscript.

**Competing Interests.** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Acknowledgment.** We wish to thank Drs. Harald Striegl, Katja Fälber and Marcel Jurk for scientific discussions and helpful advice and Dr. Ulrich Gohlke for critical reading of the manuscript. Furthermore, we thank Professors Erich Wanker and Thomas Sommer (both from the Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin) for kindly providing us with the cDNA for GRASP65 and Grh1. Diffraction data were collected on BL14.1 at the BESSY II electron storage ring operated by the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Germany. We particularly acknowledge the help and support of Drs. Uwe Mueller and Manfred Weiss during the experiment. This work was funded by the Sonderforschungsbereich 740 (SFB740) of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

**REFERENCES**