Exogenous Administration of Gangliosides Displaces GPI-anchored Proteins from Lipid Microdomains in Living Cells
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Exogenous application of gangliosides to cells affects many cellular functions. We asked whether these effects could be attributed to the influence of gangliosides on the properties of sphingolipid–cholesterol microdomains on the plasma membrane, also termed rafts. The latter are envisaged as lateral assemblies of sphingolipids (including gangliosides), cholesterol, and a specific set of proteins. Rafts have been implicated in processes such as membrane trafficking, signal transduction, and cell adhesion. Recently, using a chemical cross-linking approach with Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells permanently expressing a GPI-anchored form of growth hormone decay accelerating factor (GH-DAF) as a model system, we could show that GPI-anchored proteins are clustered in rafts in living cells. Moreover, this clustering was dependent on the level of cholesterol in the cell. Here we show that incubation of MDCK cells with gangliosides abolished subsequent chemical cross-linking of GH-DAF. Furthermore, insertion of gangliosides into the plasma membrane of MDCK GH-DAF cells renders GH-DAF soluble when subjected to extraction with Triton X-114 at 4°C. Our data suggest that exogenous application of gangliosides displaces GPI-anchored proteins from sphingolipid–cholesterol microdomains in living cells.

INTRODUCTION

Exogenously added gangliosides affect various biological systems. They lead to increased cell adhesiveness, inhibit cell growth, promote cell differentiation, and induce neurotogenesis (Hakomori and Igarashi, 1995). Furthermore, ganglioside treatment is neuroprotective in many in vivo and in vitro models of neuroinjury (Hadjiconstantinou and Neff, 1998). For example, experimental Parkinsonism is partially reversed by ganglioside treatment. Both, GM1 and a lyso-derivative of GM1 given after cortical thrombosis reduce infarct size and associate cognitive deficits (Schneider et al., 1992; Kharlamov et al., 1994). Several clinical trials have supported application of gangliosides for treating neurological disease (Geisler et al., 1991; Lenz et al., 1994). Despite the large number of observed effects, the molecular mechanisms involved in ganglioside action are poorly understood. There is evidence that application of gangliosides alters many phosphorylation systems (Hakomori and Igarashi, 1995). Signaling pathways initiated by receptor-associated tyrosine kinases or mediated by protein kinase C, MAP kinase, and other kinases are affected by gangliosides (Hakomori and Igarashi, 1995).

The wide spectrum of biological effects exerted by gangliosides could be explained by interaction of gangliosides with signaling molecules or by influence of the overall structure of plasma membrane, in particular on the formation of cholesterol–sphingolipid rafts. The latter are envisaged as lateral assemblies of specific lipids and proteins in cellular membranes proposed to function in processes such as membrane transport, signal transduction, and cell adhesion (Simons and Ikonen, 1997). They are thought to be small and highly dynamic structures, which explains the difficulties in resolving them using conventional microscopical techniques. Attractive forces between sphingolipids and cholesterol have been postulated to be responsible for the formation of the lateral lipid assembly. Several proteins have been suggested to associate with rafts, including GPI-anchored proteins (GPI-APs), Src-family kinases, influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA), and caveolin-1 (Simons and Ikonen, 1997).
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An interesting feature of raft-associated proteins is that most of them carry posttranslational lipid modifications: GPI-anchored proteins are anchored in the cell membrane via a lipid moiety, whereas Src-family kinases, NO synthase, HA, and caveolin are acylated. The lipophilic character of raft proteins may explain their common behavior of poor solubility in cold nonionic detergents such as Triton X-100 (Simons and Ikonen, 1997). Triton insoluble complexes have been operationally defined as rafts that are specifically enriched in certain lipids (e.g., sphingolipids, including gangliosides and cholesterol), whereas other lipids (e.g., glycerophospholipids) are selectively depleted from the extracts (Brown and Rose, 1992; Fiedler et al., 1993); however, the existence of rafts in living cells remained elusive. Recently it was possible to demonstrate the existence of cholesterol-dependent microdomains of GPI-anchored proteins in living cells by a chemical cross-linking approach (Friedrichson and Kurzchalia, 1998) and by a novel fluorescent energy-transfer method (Varma and Mayor, 1998). In this article we used our cross-linking approach to investigate how the exogenous application of gangliosides affects rafts in living cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture
Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) growth hormone decay accelerating factor (GH-DAF) cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) GPI-anchored folate receptor (FR-GPI) cells were grown in folate-free Ham’s F-12 medium containing 5% FCS, hydrocortisone (100 μg/ml), penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml). Cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) GPI-anchored folate receptor (FR-GPI) cells were grown in folate-free Ham’s F-12 medium containing 5% FCS, hydrocortisone (100 μg/ml), penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml). Cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics.

Insertion of Lipids, Cholesterol Depletion, and Octylglucoside Treatment of Cells
Stock solutions of bovine brain gangliosides (bbGs) or GM₁ (1–10 mM) were prepared in PBS. Cells were loaded with 10–100 μM gangliosides in DMEM for 1 h at 37°C (Messerini et al., 1990; Saqr et al., 1993). To remove excess lipid, cells were washed extensively with PBS containing 2 mg/ml defatted BSA (PBS/BSA). A 0.5% ethanolic stock solution of 2,6-dinitrobenzeno-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-y)lamine(hexamethylenedecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (NBD-C₂-HPC) was injected under vigorous vortexing into PBS to yield a 1 mM solution. Insertion of NBD-C₂-HPC into membranes was performed with 10–100 μM NBD-C₂-HPC for 1 h at 8°C. To deplete MDCK GH-DAF cells of cholesterol, they were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with 10 mM methyl-β-cyclodextrin (CD). For octylglucoside treatment, MDCK GH-DAF cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with various concentrations of octylglucoside dissolved in DMEM.

Quantification of Ganglioside Association
GM₁ was extracted, purified (Tettamanti et al., 1973), and radio-labeled at C-3 of the long-chain base moiety (Sonnino et al., 1984). The homogeneity of the radioactive compound [³H]GM₁ was >99%, and its specific radioactivity was 1.31 Ci/mmlll. Cells were incubated for 1 h in DMEM containing 1 μCi/ml tryptatin GM₁ (final ganglioside concentration 100 μM), washed with PBS/BSA, and subsequently incubated for 0–45 min with PBS/BSA at 37°C. Cells were then treated for 5 min at 37°C with 1 ml PBS containing 0.1% trypsin. Lysates were analyzed for radioactive ganglioside content with a liquid scintillation counter (Beckmann Instruments, Fullerton, CA).

Detergent Extraction
Cells were washed with cold PBS and extracted with 1 ml TX-114 lysis buffer at 4°C for 30 min. Cells were scraped, and samples were centrifuged for 30 min at 15,000 × g at 4°C. The supernatant (soluble fraction) was removed, and the pellet (insoluble fraction) was re-suspended in 1 ml lysis buffer. Soluble and insoluble fractions were precipitated with 10% TCA for 1 h on ice and centrifuged for 15 min at 15,000 × g at 4°C. The pellets were washed with acetone (−20°C) and subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

Cross-linking, Electrophoresis, and Western Blotting
The cross-linking protocol has been described recently (Friedrichson and Kurzchalia, 1998). Briefly, cells were washed twice with cold PBS. Cross-linking was performed with 0.5 mM bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate (BS3) for 45 min at 4°C. Unreacted cross-linker was quenched with 50 mM glycine for 15 min at 4°C. Cells were lysed for 20 min at 4°C and 10 min at 37°C in TX-114 lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-114, and protease inhibitors). Lysates were briefly chilled on ice and cleared by a 15 min centrifugation at 15,000 × g. Supernatants were subjected to temperature-induced phase separation for 5 min at 37°C. Aqueous and detergent-enriched phases were separated by a 3-min centrifugation at 13,000 rpm at room temperature (RT). Aqueous phases were discarded, and 0.9 ml TX-114 wash buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.06% Triton X-114, and protease inhibitors) was added to detergent phases. After a 15 min centrifugation at 15,000 × g at 4°C, the samples were subjected to another round of phase separation. Finally, detergent phases were precipitated with cold acetone (−20°C) and boiled at 95°C for 5 min in Laemmli sample buffer. Proteins were resolved on a 5–15% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. Polyclonal antibodies against GH and FR followed by the respective secondary antibodies and ECL were used to detect GH-DAF and FR-GPI. Immunoreactive bands were quantified by densitometric scanning of films or luminescent image analyzer LAS-1000 (Fujifilm, Straubenhart, Germany). Data for each condition were averaged, and the variability was expressed as SD. Experiments were performed three to six times. For some gels, corresponding x-ray films were scanned using Photoshop software, and optical density of immunoreactive bands was determined and plotted using MacBas (version 2.0).

Immunofluorescence
Cells grown on coverslips were washed three times with PBS and fixed for 6 min with 3.7% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 8°C and for 10 min with methanol at −20°C. Subsequently, the cells were incubated for 30 min at RT with anti-GH antibody in PBS, followed by incubation with Cy3-conjugated anti-sheep IgG for 30 min at RT. Each of the above incubations was followed by three washes with PBS. The coverslips were mounted with mowiol, and images were captured with a high-resolution digital camera C 4742–95 (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Hamamatsu, Japan), and digital de-convolution was performed using the Openlab (version 1.7.7) digital confocal routine (Coventry, United Kingdom).

Viral Infection and Antibody-induced Patching
For infection with HA influenza virus, virus was diluted in infection medium (MEM, 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, penicillin [100 U/ml]/
streptomycin [100 μg/ml], 0.2% BSA), and virus adsorption was performed for 1 h. Infection was allowed to continue for a further 2.5 h; 100 μM GM₁ diluted in DMEM was added to some cells during the last hour. For antibody-induced patching of HA and GH-DAF, cells were incubated with polyclonal anti-GH antibody and monoclonal anti-HA-PR8 (H17L10) diluted 1:3000 and 1:50 in DMEM, respectively. Incubation was performed for 1 h at 4°C. After they were washed briefly with PBS/0.2% BSA, cells were incubated with the respective FITC and Cy-3–coupled secondary antibodies for 1 h at 4°C. Cells were fixed and mounted, and images were taken as described above. For quantification, 60 randomly selected cells out of two different experiments were stored as digital images. Cells were divided into three different categories according to the degree of overlap between patches: 1) coclustering (>70% overlap); 2) partial coclustering; and 3) random distribution.

RESULTS

Inhibition of Cross-linking of GPI-anchored Proteins by Exogenous Administration of Gangliosides

Our model for analysis of the organization of GPI-anchored proteins on the surface is a MDCK cell line permanently expressing GH fused to the GPI-anchor of DAF (GH-DAF) (Friedrichson and Kurzchalia, 1998). When MDCK GH-DAF cells were subjected to chemical cross-linking with BS³, a prominent 46-kDa band (dimer) and a smear starting from 60 kDa reaching up to 300 kDa were detected by Western blotting (Figure 1A). To investigate the influence of gangliosides on rafts, MDCK GH-DAF cells were loaded with varying concentrations of GM₁ for 1 h in serum-free medium at 37°C and subjected to cross-linking with BS³. As seen in Figure 1A, treatment of MDCK GH-DAF cells with GM₁ reduced significantly the amount of cross-linked GH-DAF. Quantification of immunoreactive bands revealed that 73 ± 6% of GH-DAF formed oligomers in untreated cells, whereas 65 ± 7, 57 ± 7, and 49 ± 7% of GH-DAF were found in cross-linked species in cells treated with 10, 50, and 100 μM GM₁, respectively. Incubation of cells with bbGs also efficiently inhibited the formation of GH-DAF oligomers (Figure 1B for 100 μM bbG cross-linking efficiency was 51 ± 5% compared with 73 ± 6% in untreated cells). To determine whether the ability of gangliosides to resolve GPI-AP–containing rafts is independent of the cell type, CHO cells permanently expressing FR-GPI were subjected to the same treatments. Cross-linking of CHO FR-GPI cells with BS³ led to the appearance of cross-linked products of FR-GPI (cross-linking efficiency 28 ± 3%). When CHO FR-GPI cells were loaded with 100 μM GM₁ or 100 μM bbG before cross-
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Gangliosides inhibit cross-linking of FR-GPI in CHO cells. CHO FR-GPI cells were incubated with 100 μM bbG or with 100 μM GM1 for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were subsequently subjected to cross-linking with BS³. Proteins were resolved on 5–15% SDS-PAGE and after Western blotting were detected with antifolate receptor antibody followed by ECL.

To quantify the amount of GM1 that is incorporated into the plasma membrane, we incubated MDCK GH-DAF cells with 1 μCi/ml tritiated GM1 (final ganglioside concentration 100 μM) for 1 h. Only ~0.7% of exogenously added ganglioside associated with cells. Approximately 35% of total associated GM1 could be removed by incubating cells with BSA solution (Figure 3A). By subsequent treatment of cells with trypsin, two pools of associated GM1 could be detected. Approximately 30% of the remaining GM1 was released by trypsin treatment (trypsin-labile pool), and 70% was resistant (trypsin-stable pool) (Figure 3A). The trypsin-stable pool of GM1 likely corresponds to the molecules inserted into the plasma membrane, whereas the trypsin-labile pool is probably composed of ganglioside interacting with proteins protruding from the plasma membrane (Masserini et al., 1990; Saqr et al., 1993). The trypsin-stable pool of GM1 was shown to be enriched in the fraction resistant to extraction with Triton X-100 at 4°C. After cells were incubated with 100 μM tritiated GM1, 3.74 nmol GM1 (per milligram protein) were found in the detergent-insoluble fraction, whereas 1.26 nmol GM1 were recovered from the soluble fraction, indicating that exogenous GM1 accumulates in rafts.

To rule out that inhibition of cross-linking of GH-DAF is due to the action of ganglioside loosely associated with the surface, cross-linking was performed on GM1-loaded cells, before and after incubation with BSA. The cross-linking efficiency remained the same under the two conditions ([Figure 3B] variation of efficiency was <5% for all conditions). Hence, we conclude that gangliosides tightly associated with the membrane are responsible for this inhibition of cross-linking. Important was our finding that 70% of tightly associated GM1 was incorporated into the bilayer by the criterion used by previous authors (Kanda et al., 1982; Schwarzmann et al., 1983). We cannot completely rule out that the trypsin-labile pool contributes to inhibition of cross-linking, but this must be a minor effect, especially because the solubility of GH-DAF in TX-114 was significantly increased by gangliosides (see below). This latter finding is difficult to explain by ganglioside micelles bound to protruding proteins.

Figure 3. Incorporation of GM1 into the plasma membrane of MDCK GH-DAF cells. (A) MDCK GH-DAF cells were incubated with 1 μCi/ml tritiated GM1 (final ganglioside concentration 100 μM) for 1 h at 37°C and subsequently washed with BSA for 0, 10, 20, 30, or 45 min (open bars). The additive effect of trypsin treatment (0.1% trypsin for 5 min at 37°C) after washing with BSA is shown as solid bars for each time point. (B) Cross-linking was performed after incubating GM1-loaded cells with BSA for 0, 10, 20, 30, or 45 min. SDS-PAGE, Western blotting, and detection were as described in Figure 1.
Gangliosides Change the Detergent Solubility of GH-DAF

How do gangliosides inhibit cross-linking of GH-DAF? One possibility is that GPI-APs are excluded from rafts by gangliosides that are inserted into the lipid bilayer. An alternative explanation is that gangliosides bound to proteins protruding from the surface of the plasma membrane affect cross-linking by sterical hindrance. To distinguish between these two possibilities another experimental strategy was applied. An often used criterion for studying the association of a protein with rafts is its resistance to extraction with nonionic detergents such as Triton X-100 at 4°C (Brown and Rose, 1992). This prompted us to investigate the detergent solubility of GH-DAF after ganglioside loading of cells. We found that solubility of GH-DAF in TX-114 was significantly increased from 51±4 to 83±10 and 76±8% in GM1- and bbG-loaded cells, respectively (Figure 4A).

It is known that depletion of cholesterol from cellular membranes increases the solubility of GPI-APs in nonionic detergent (Cerneus et al., 1993; Hanada et al., 1995; Scheiffele et al., 1997). Confirming earlier reports, the solubility of GH-DAF on TX-114 extraction was shifted to 80±7% after extraction of membrane cholesterol with CD (Figure 4B). In contrast, stabilization of rafts by antibody-induced cross-linking decreases the solubility of GH-DAF to 34±7% (Figure 4B).

These results indicate that manipulating the lipid content of cellular membrane by application of exogenous gangliosides modulates both the clustering behavior of GPI-APs and the solubilization in TX-114 at 4°C. Taking together the data from the chemical cross-linking and detergent-extraction approach, we suggest that gangliosides inserted into the plasma membrane displace GPI-APs from lipid microdomains.

Effect of Gangliosides on Rafts Is Not Due to Solubilization of the Membrane

We have shown that loading of MDCK GH-DAF cells with gangliosides displaces GPI-APs from rafts. To rule out the possibility that these effects are partly due to detergent-like properties of gangliosides, we used n-octyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (OG). This detergent chemically resembles gangliosides (i.e., containing carbohydrate head group) and is known to fully solubilize GPI-APs when used above the critical micellar concentration (20–25 mM). MDCK GH-DAF cells were incubated with different concentrations of OG and subjected to cross-linking with BS3. Using the same concentrations of OG as used for gangliosides (10–100 μM), no effect on cross-linking of GH-DAF was observed. Even when cells were incubated with a 100-fold higher concentration of OG (10 mM), only a slight inhibition of cross-linking was observed (Figure 5) inhibition was 3.5±0.7%). Remarkably, even under this condition membranes remained intact, because caveolin-1, a membrane protein having both N and C termini exposed to cytoplasm, was not cross-linked. Caveolin-1 was found as a high molecular weight cross-linked product only when OG was applied above its critical micellar concentration (20 mM). Treatment of MDCK GH-DAF cells with 100 μM GM1 did not lead to cross-linking of caveolin-1. Taken together, these data show that the inhibition of GH-DAF cross-linking by gangliosides is not due to solubilization of the membrane by detergent-like properties of gangliosides.
Inhibition of GH-DAF Cross-linking with Gangliosides Is Specific and Reversible

We tested whether the clustering of GPI-anchored proteins is a specific property of gangliosides. A lipid expected not to be a constituent of rafts should have no effect on cross-linking and solubility of GH-DAF. Optimally, phosphatidylcholine molecules such as those found in cell membranes should be used for this control; however, these lipids cannot be introduced into the membrane by exogenous addition. We therefore used a partially water-soluble fluorescently labeled analogue of phosphatidylcholine (NBD-C6-HPC). It is known that NBD-C6-lipids are rapidly transferred to the plasma membrane at low temperature, and their accumulation in the plasma membrane is easily monitored by immunofluorescence microscopy (Kok and Hoekstra, 1993). We have tested the detergent insolubility of NBD-C6-HPC. Cells were loaded with NBD-C6-HPC, and detergent extraction was performed at 4°C with Triton X-114. More than 99% of NBD-C6-HPC was recovered from the detergent-soluble fraction, indicating that the lipid does not associate with rafts. As expected, no change in the cross-linking pattern was detected on incubation with various concentrations of NBD-C6-HPC (cross-linking efficiency was 73 ± 5% in cells treated with 100 μM NBD-C6-HPC as compared with 73 ± 6% in control cells) (Figure 6A). Also, solubility of GH-DAF (54 ± 2% soluble) was not changed by NBD-C6-HPC (Figure 4A).

To determine whether inhibition of GH-DAF cross-linking by gangliosides is reversible, MDCK GH-DAF cells were loaded with 100 μM bbG and subsequently incubated for 6 h in serum-containing medium before cross-linking. As shown in Figure 6B, 6 h of incubation with serum-containing medium were sufficient to almost fully restore the cross-linking pattern of GH-DAF (cross-linking efficiency was 6 ± 1% lower than control cells).

The detergent insolubility of GH-DAF in TX-114 was also restored by incubation of bbG-loaded cells with serum-containing medium for 6 h (61 ± 4% soluble) (Figure 4A).

Inhibition of Copatching of Influenza HA and GH-DAF by Gangliosides

Rafts are thought to be small and highly dynamic structures, which explains why they cannot be resolved by conventional microscopical techniques. We wanted to test whether loading of cells with gangliosides leads to a redistribution of GPI-APs. The distribution of GH-DAF on MDCK cells with or without bbG loading was analyzed by immunofluorescence labeling. To avoid post fixation redistribution of GH-DAF we used formaldehyde fixation combined with subsequent methanol fixation (Harder et al., 1998). Incubation of cells with 100 μM bbG for 1 h before fixation and antibody labeling had no effect on the diffuse distribution of GH-DAF on the cell surface (Figure 7, A and B). The intracellular staining is derived from Golgi and/or endosomal localization of the pro-
tein. Depletion of membrane cholesterol with 10 mM CD also did not lead to detectable changes in the distribution of GH-DAF (Figure 7C). In comparison, addition of antibodies to GH-DAF before fixation led to a patched distribution of the immunofluorescence signal (Figure 7D).

Recently, it was shown that incubating living cells simultaneously with antibodies against raft-associated proteins leads to redistribution of the independently cross-linked components into overlapping patches (Harder et al. 1998). Copatching was only observed for pairs of raft markers but not for pairs of raft/non-raft marker, suggesting attractive forces in the common lipid environment of raft proteins (Harder et al. 1998).

We used the same approach to investigate whether exogenous application of gangliosides disturbs the physical connection of GH-DAF to lipid microdomains. As a raft-associated protein marker we expressed the trimeric transmembrane protein influenza HA in MDCK GH-DAF cells.

Cells were incubated simultaneously with monoclonal anti-HA and polyclonal anti-GH antibodies at 4°C, followed by incubation with the respective secondary antibodies. Microscopical analysis showed copatching of HA and GH-DAF in the majority of cells (60% copatching, 35% partial overlap, and 5% random distribution), confirming the previous report by Harder et al. (1998) (Figure 8, A–F). When cells were incubated for 1 h with 100 µM GM₁ before antibody-induced cross-linking, in most cells only partial colooclustering of HA and GH-DAF was observed (31% colooclustering, 50% partial overlap, and 19% random distribution) (Figure 8, G–L). This result shows that attractive forces between patches of HA and GH-DAF are disturbed by application of gangliosides and strengthens

Figure 8. Inhibition of copatching of influenza HA and GH-DAF by gangliosides. MDCK GH-DAF cells were infected with influenza HA virus and then incubated with DMEM (A–F) or 100 µM GM₁ in DMEM (G–L) for 1 h at 37°C. After subsequent treatment with a mixture of monoclonal anti-HA and sheep polyclonal anti-GH antibodies at 4°C, patching was detected using Cy-3-anti-sheep–labeled (red) and FITC-anti-mouse–labeled (green) secondary antibodies. Panels in the left column show distribution of GH, panels in the middle column show distribution of HA, and panels in the right column show the merge of both signals. D–F display a detail of A–C correspondingly, whereas J–L display that of G–I. Bars: F, L, 2 µm; C, I, 8 µm.
our conclusion that GPI-APs are displaced from lipid microdomains.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to investigate how application of gangliosides affects cholesterol–sphingolipid microdomains. Previously, using a chemical cross-linking approach, we have demonstrated that GPI-anchored proteins reside in clusters on the surface of living cells (Friedrichson and Kurzhalia, 1998). Here we show that gangliosides inhibit cross-linking of GPI-anchored proteins and moreover increase their detergent solubility.

How do gangliosides exert these effects? The inhibitory effect of gangliosides on cross-linking could be explained in two ways. Firstly, because of the characteristic property of sphingolipids (gangliosides) to self-aggregate, they could enlarge existing rafts and therefore “dilute” GH-DAF molecules within them. The resulting increase of the distance between neighboring GH-DAF molecules could then interfere with cross-linking. Secondly, it is possible that application of gangliosides displaces GPI-anchored proteins from rafts by disrupting attractive forces between the GPI anchor and surrounding lipids. The GPI-anchored proteins thereby would be deprived of their lipid environment and could escape from rafts. A similar destabilization or disassembly of rafts would occur if gangliosides that do not associate with GPI-AP-containing rafts sequester essential raft lipids, such as cholesterol.

One way to distinguish between the possibilities raised above is to analyze whether addition of exogenous gangliosides leads to changes in the detergent solubility of GH-DAF. It is known that GPI-anchored proteins are to a great extent insoluble in nonionic detergents (Brown and Rose, 1992). A primary requirement for detergent insolubility in vitro is the presence of optimal concentrations of cholesterol, glycosphingolipids, and saturated acyl-chain-containing phospholipids (Schroeder et al., 1998). Accordingly, depleting cells of cholesterol has been shown to increase detergent solubility of GPI-APs (Cerneus et al., 1993; Hanada et al., 1995); however, in the case of gangliosides the opposite is true: loading cells with gangliosides renders GH-DAF detergent soluble. This finding is somewhat unexpected, because increasing the concentration of a raft lipid would be thought to result in raft stabilization. The fact that gangliosides interfere with cross-linking and increase detergent solubility of GH-DAF suggests that they act in a raft-disrupting manner, possibly driving GPI-APs partially out of rafts. This view is supported by the finding that gangliosides disturb the copatching of HA and GH-DAF. Copatching of two raft components is most likely a consequence of the coalescence of a common lipid microdomain.

What are the forces keeping rafts together? Lipid–lipid interactions within rafts are poorly understood. Attractive forces between the GPI-anchor and raft lipids have been inferred from in vitro studies (Schroeder et al., 1994). Interestingly, although GPI-anchored proteins are partially insoluble in most nonionic detergents, octylglucoside completely solubilizes GPI-anchored proteins (Brown and Rose, 1992). This detergent has a polar carbohydrate head group resembling naturally occurring glycolipids that might be involved in disrupting the attractive forces within sphingolipid–cholesterol rafts. The finding of the lectin VIP36 in detergent-insoluble cell extracts led to the proposal that carbohydrate interactions are involved in raft recruitment of proteins (Fiedler et al., 1994). We cross-linked GH-DAF in the presence of structural carbohydrates of the GPI-anchor, but no change in the cross-linking pattern was detected (our unpublished results). This finding does not support a role for carbohydrate interactions in raft assembly. Recently it was demonstrated that rafts are stabilized by antibody-induced cross-linking of a raft protein, which led to enhanced resistance to Triton extraction (Harder et al., 1998).

The findings presented in this article might link effects of exogenously added gangliosides on signaling pathways to raft function (Hakomori and Igarashi, 1995). GPI-anchored proteins were reported to associate with tyrosine kinases of the src family (Brown, 1992). In addition, caveolae, plasma membrane invaginations where cell signaling proteins are concentrated, are involved in sequestering and organizing raft lipid domains. Many signal transduction molecules, which are all modulated by gangliosides, are found to be associated with sphingolipid–cholesterol rafts or caveolae. PDGF, EGF, protein kinase C, and the insulin receptor have been reported to localize to caveolae (Liu et al., 1996; Couet et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1997; Wu et al., 1997). At the same time, signal transduction pathways mediated by these molecules are inhibited by the exogenous addition of gangliosides (Bremer et al., 1984; Bremer et al., 1986; Hannun and Bell, 1987). Therefore, agents causing clustering or unclustering of GPI-AP-containing microdomains might be important tools for modulating these signal transduction pathways. For example, antibody-induced patching of GPI-anchored placentae alkaline phosphatase leads to accumulation of the src-like tyrosine kinase fyn (Harder et al., 1998). Physiological responses induced by raft-clustering have been described in lymphocytes (Brown, 1993). For example, antibody-induced cross-linking of GPI-anchored proteins leads to activation of T lymphocytes (Thomas and Samelson, 1992), and cross-linking of the IgE receptor FceRI mediates an allergic response, including secretion of histamine in mast cells (Holowka and Baird, 1996; Field et al., 1997). Hence, many cellular signal-transduction events might depend on the assembly of raft lipid and protein components. Disassembly of rafts by exogenous application of gangliosides could possibly antagonize raft-dependent signal transduction. Tumor cells might exploit this mechanism to escape immune response. It is well known that gangliosides, which are shed by tumor cells, inhibit T cell activation, which is a raft-mediated process (Lu and Sharon, 1996; Lanzavecchia A. et al. 1999). Interestingly, we detected an increase of autophosphorylation of several protein kinases on addition of ganglioside to cells (our unpublished observation).

In addition, gangliosides might be useful agents for studying the pathogenesis of human diseases, which have been linked to rafts. We have recently shown that cholesterol depletion of hippocampal neurons inhibits secretion of β-amyloid peptide, suggesting that rafts may play a role in the proteolytic processing of the amyloid precursor protein of Alzheimer’s disease (Simons et al., 1998). Transformation of the GPI-anchored prion protein to the
Scrapie protein isoform has also been associated with the cholesterol–sphingolipid microdomains Taraboulos et al., 1995. It will be interesting to see whether gangliosides influence the processing of the amyloid precursor protein or the prion protein.
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