

SorLA restricts TNF α release from microglia to shape a glioma-supportive brain microenvironment

Paulina Kaminska ^(b)^{1,2}, Peter L Ovesen³, Mateusz Jakiel^{1,4}, Tomasz Obrebski¹, Vanessa Schmidt³, Michal Draminski⁴, Aleksandra G Bilska ^(b)^{1,5}, Magdalena Bieniek², Jasper Anink⁶, Bohdan Paterczyk ^(b)¹, Anne Mette Gissel Jensen⁷, Sylwia Piatek ^(b)¹, Olav M Andersen⁷, Eleonora Aronica^{6,8}, Thomas E Willnow ^(b)^{3,7}, Bozena Kaminska ^(b)², Michal J Dabrowski ^(b)⁴ & Anna R Malik ^(b)^{1,2}

Abstract

SorLA, encoded by the gene SORL1, is an intracellular sorting receptor of the VPS10P domain receptor gene family. Although SorLA is best recognized for its ability to shuttle target proteins between intracellular compartments in neurons, recent data suggest that also its microglial expression can be of high relevance for the pathogenesis of brain diseases, including glioblastoma (GBM). Here, we interrogated the impact of SorLA on the functional properties of glioma-associated microglia and macrophages (GAMs). In the GBM microenvironment, GAMs are re-programmed and lose the ability to elicit anti-tumor responses. Instead, they acquire a glioma-supporting phenotype, which is a key mechanism promoting glioma progression. Our re-analysis of published scRNAseq data from GBM patients revealed that functional phenotypes of GAMs are linked to the level of SORL1 expression, which was further confirmed using in vitro models. Moreover, we demonstrate that SorLA restrains secretion of TNF α from microglia to restrict the inflammatory potential of these cells. Finally, we show that loss of SorLA exacerbates the pro-inflammatory response of microglia in the murine model of glioma and suppresses tumor growth.

Keywords VPS10P Domain Receptors; Glioblastoma; Intracellular Sorting; Phenotypic Polarization; Brain Tumors

Subject Categories Cancer; Membranes & Trafficking; Signal Transduction https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00117-6

Received 30 August 2023; Revised 25 February 2024; Accepted 1 March 2024 Published online: 18 March 2024

Introduction

Intracellular protein sorting is essential for maintaining cellular homeostasis and activity (García-Cazorla et al, 2022). Efficient sorting of proteins in the endocytic and exocytic routes not only ensures adequate levels of receptors and transporters on the cell surface but it is also crucial for protein secretion (Yarwood et al, 2020). One of the key mechanisms governing intracellular sorting engages the VPS10P domain receptors. This receptors family entails five members (sortilin, SorCS1-3 and SorLA) which are mainly recognized for their roles in neurons (Malik and Willnow, 2020). However, in a specific pathological context, they can also be expressed in non-neuronal cells of the brain to shape their functional properties. For example, astrocytes activated after ischemic stroke express SorCS2, which is necessary for proper secretion of endostatin and for post-stroke angiogenesis (Malik et al, 2020).

SorLA, in humans encoded by *SORL1* gene, has been implicated in brain pathophysiology on genetic and functional levels. In particular, the protective role of SorLA in Alzheimer's disease (AD) has been a subject of multiple studies, focusing primarily on its neuronal functions (Malik and Willnow, 2020). However, recent data point to the potential context-dependent *SORL1* expression regulation in microglia. Thus, SNPs in *SORL1* previously associated with risk of sporadic AD possibly influence receptor gene expression in microglia rather than neurons (Nott et al, 2019). Microglial expression of *SORL1* was also noted in glioma patients' brains (Abdelfattah et al, 2022). Still, potential microglial activities of SorLA in the diseased brain are unclear.

Microglia are innate immune cells of the brain that become activated under pathological conditions. Of note, in response to particular microenvironmental cues, microglia can enter various modes of activation and acquire diverse functional properties, ranging from pro-inflammatory to immunosuppressive (Colonna and Butovsky, 2017). Although protecting the brain from insults seems to be the purpose of such activation, microglia can paradoxically also support disease progression. This is the case in glioblastoma (GBM), the common and most malignant primary brain tumor in adults (Ostrom et al, 2022). In GBM, massive accumulation of resident microglia, as well as of peripheral macrophages, is observed in the tumor microenvironment. These cells, collectively called glioma-associated microglia/macrophages (GAMs), share many functional properties, including their tumor-

¹Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, 02-096 Warsaw, Poland. ²Nencki Institute of Experimental Biology, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland. ³Max-Delbrueck Center for Molecular Medicine, 13125 Berlin, Germany. ⁴Institute of Computer Science, 01-248 Warsaw, Poland. ⁵Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Sciences, 00-679 Warsaw, Poland. ⁶Department of (Neuro)Pathology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, 1105AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁷Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus, Denmark. ⁸Stichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland, 2103 SW Heemstede, The Netherlands. ^{\infermedicateree}

supporting phenotype. GAMs account for up to 30% of tumor mass in human GBMs and in experimental gliomas (Gieryng et al, 2017; Gabrusiewicz et al, 2016; Ochocka et al, 2021) and their role in glioma progression and immunosuppression has been shown in various glioma models (Ellert-Miklaszewska et al, 2016; Wang et al, 2012; Platten et al, 2003). Although they constitute a promising target in GBM therapy, the mechanisms shaping the functional properties of GAMs are not fully understood.

Here, we explored if SorLA plays a role in regulating the activities of GAMs by governing the intracellular sorting and secretion of its target proteins. Our in silico analysis indeed showed that *SORL1* expression in human GAMs is linked to their transcription profiles, likely reflecting diverse functional properties. Using cell models, we further demonstrate differential regulation of SorLA expression by pro-inflammatory cues and by the glioma cells. Finally, we show that SorLA acts as a sorting receptor for TNFa to limit its release from microglia. Along these lines, tumor microenvironment in SorLA-deficient mice shows enhanced pro-inflammatory properties which likely contribute to limiting glioma growth seen in these mice.

Results

SorLA expression levels in glioma-associated microglia/ macrophages are linked to their activation mode

The activity of SorLA has been especially well documented in neurons, yet recent data suggest that it might also be expressed in other brain cell types in a specific pathological context. In particular, Abdelfattah et al reported high expression levels of the SORL1 gene in GAMs in human samples (Abdelfattah et al, 2022). In line with this notion, we could indeed detect SorLA in Iba1+ cells in human glioma sections, although not in all studied patient samples (Fig. 1A; Appendix Table S1). Intrigued by the fact that not all Iba1+ cells in patients specimens stained positive for SorLA, we analyzed the proportions of AIF1 (encoding for Iba1) expressing cells that also express SORL1 in several scRNA-seq datasets from GBM samples (Data Ref.: Sankowski et al, 2019; Abdelfattah et al, 2022; Chen et al, 2021; Neftel et al, 2019; Pombo Antunes et al, 2021; Wang et al, 2022b). In all 6 datasets, SORL1 was expressed in AIF1+ cells. The percentage of SORL1+ cells among AIF1+ cells was variable and ranged from 17.2% to 97.0% depending on the dataset (Appendix Table S2). Induction of Sorl1 expression in GAMs was recapitulated in a murine model of glioma (Szulzewsky et al, 2015). Twenty days after GL261 glioma cells implantation, Sorl1 expression in GAMs (CD11b+ cells) isolated from brain tumors increased more than three times compared to the control cells. At the same time, SorCS2, another VPS10P receptor detected in this dataset, did not show such an induction (Appendix Table S3). Taken together, these data point to the hypothesis that SorLA levels in microglia/macrophages might be upregulated during activation of these cells toward a tumor-supporting phenotype.

To further investigate *SORL1* expression patterns in GAMs, we re-analyzed scRNA-seq data from human glioma samples (Data Ref.: Abdelfattah et al, 2022), focusing on newly diagnosed GBM samples (ndGBM). From this dataset, we selected 7 clusters that we classified as GAMs based on the expression of marker genes (*AIF1, CD68, ITGAM, P2RY12, TMEM119, CX3CR1*; Fig. EV1A–C; Appendix Table S4; Dataset EV1). As reported by the authors of

the study before, SORL1 expression was limited to this GAMs population (Fig. EV1B; Appendix Fig. S1A). The only other cluster with noticeable expression of SORL1 was characterized by expression of interferon-inducible genes (IFI6, IFI27, IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3) as well as endothelial markers (EDN1, ABCG2, FLT1, PLVAP, PECAM1) (Appendix Fig. S1A; Dataset EV1).

In all subsequent steps, we focused on the population of selected glioma-associated microglia/macrophages (GAMs). These cells were pooled for further analysis to yield 23 GAMs clusters in which SORL1 expression levels were evaluated (Fig. 1B). To shed light on the potential links between SorLA levels and the functional properties of GAMs, we next investigated the marker genes of five clusters with the highest and five clusters with the lowest SORL1 levels (Fig. 1C; Dataset EV2). Among "high-SORL1" clusters, cluster 7 was characterized by the expression of immediate early genes, SPP1, a gene associated with tumor-promoting GAMs (Szulzewsky et al, 2015), and ID2 involved in pro-tumorigenic polarization of myeloid cells (Huang et al, 2017). Cluster 22 showed high expression levels of several microglia/macrophages genes including TREM2, which was linked before to the pro-tumorigenic properties of tumor-associated macrophages in various cancers (Khantakova et al, 2022). At the same time, among "low-SORL1" clusters, cluster 9 was characterized by expression of TLR genes as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines IL1A and TNF. Clusters 11 and 17 showed relatively high expression of a pro-inflammatory factor MIF and several glycolysis-related genes (PGK1, ENO1, GAPDH, LDHA, PKM). Importantly, a metabolic switch towards glycolysis is a hallmark of pro-inflammatory activation of microglia/macrophages (Lauro and Limatola, 2020).

Furthermore, to provide a more global characteristics of GAMs, we implemented Natural Language Processing (NLP) approach, which allows to identify keywords describing functionally related groups of genes. In doing so, we analyzed marker genes of all GAMs clusters (Datasets EV2 and EV3). NLP revealed that genes enriched in "high-*SORL1*" clusters were associated with terms as "cancer" (clusters 2, 7, 8, 22) and "angiogenesis" (cluster 12). Concurrently, genes expressed in "low-*SORL1*" clusters were described with words like "glycolysis" (clusters 11 and 17), "toll-like receptor" (clusters 9 and 18) or "phagocytosis" (cluster 3). Taken together, based on the results obtained thus far we hypothesized that high and low SorLA levels might be associated with pro-tumorigenic and pro-inflammatory phenotypes of microglia/macrophages, respectively.

To gain deeper insights into the functional relevance of SorLA's presence in GAMs, we performed Monte-Carlo Feature Selection (MCFS-ID) analysis (Dramiński et al, 2008; Dramiński and Koronacki, 2018) on a single-cell level in the same dataset. MCFS-ID allows to determine features (here expression levels of a given gene) predicting the behavior of another feature (here, SORL1 expression levels categorized to low, medium, or high). Among 25 top genes from the MCFS-ID, differential levels of gene expression in the context of discretized values of SORL1 were assessed (Fig. 1D; Dataset EV4). This analysis highlighted microglia signature genes (CX3CR1, A2M, C3) and TREM2 among the best predictors of high-SORL1 expression levels in GAMs. Moreover, high expression of a gene coding for transcription factor MEF2C, known for its role in restraining microglial inflammatory response (Deczkowska et al, 2017), was also linked to high-SORL1 transcript levels. Interestingly, we also noted a similar positive association between SORL1 and GPR34, coding for a microglial receptor



Figure 1. SORL1 expression in human GAMs is linked to their functional properties.

(A) SorLA is present in Iba1+ cells in some patients (#1) but absent from these cells in other cases (#2). White arrowheads indicate selected Iba1+ cells. Scale bars, 25 µm. (B) UMAP plot showing *SORL1* expression levels normalized with SCT, in clusters of human GAMs. (C) Selected marker genes of 5 GAMs clusters with the highest and 5 clusters with the lowest *SORL1* expression levels. CL#, cluster number; FC, *SORL1* expression fold change, mean cluster expression against mean expression in remaining clusters. (D) Hierarchical clustering of the most significant genes based on the mean values of standardized gene expression data. These genes were returned by MCFS-ID with the highest RI values and showed differential expression in the context of discretized values of *SORL1* gene expression.

required to maintain microglia in the homeostatic phenotype (Schöneberg et al, 2018). Finally, we identified *LGALS1*, *S100A10* and *MIF* as predictors of low *SORL1* expression in GAMs. While *MIF* is a well-established marker of pro-inflammatory activation of microglia/macrophages, the roles of *LGALS1* and *S100A10* in these cells are not entirely clear. *LGALS1* expression in tumor cells has been linked to immunosuppression (Chen et al, 2019), but its induction in microglia might be relevant for inflammatory responses. Thus, increased *LGALS1* expression was observed in microglia after stimulation with LPS (Kiss et al, 2023) and in a subpopulation of disease-associated microglia in multiple sclerosis (Masuda et al, 2019). S100A10 has been mostly studied in other cell types; in macrophages, it seems to be involved in their recruitment upon inflammation (O'Connell et al, 2010).

To further characterize the potential functional interactions between various cell types present in the tumor microenvironment in the context of SORL1 levels in GAMs, we implemented the CellChat analysis. First, we defined several cell types in the ndGBMs (Data Ref.: Abdelfattah et al, 2022) based on the expression of marker genes (Dataset EV1 and Appendix Tables S4-7). As a result, in addition to GAMs population subdivided into low-, medium- and high-SORL1 expressing cells, we defined the populations of tumor cells (clusters 0, 7, 8, 9, 15, expressing CDK4, MT1X, ATRX, CCND2, MDM2, SOX4, CD9, CDK6, S100B), lymphocytes (clusters 5, 17, 19; GZMK, CD3E, PTPRC, CCL5, IL32, CD69, CD52), smooth muscle cells (cluster 11; ACTA2, TAGLN), endothelial cells (cluster 14; EDN1 PECAM1 ANGPT2), oligodendrocytes (cluster 12, MBP, CNP) and other cells whose classification was dubious (clusters 4, 18, 20). Cell-cell interaction analysis revealed distinct communication patterns specific for each of these populations (Figs. 2A,B and EV2A). Tumor cells appeared to be the major contributors to outgoing



Figure 2. CellChat analysis reveals distinct interaction networks between cell populations in newly diagnosed GBM tumors.

(A, B) Dot plots showing relative contributions of signaling pathways to the outgoing signaling patterns of secreting cells (A) and incoming signaling patterns of target cells (B) for distinct cell populations inferred from ndGBM in Abdelfattah et al. The dot size is proportional to the strength of the contribution score. The higher contribution score, the higher enrichment of the signaling pathways in the corresponding cell group. Tumor cells (tumor), endothelial cells (endoth), smooth muscle cells (sm_muscle), GAMs, oligodendrocytes (oligod) and lymphocytes (lymph) were selected based on expression of marker genes (Appendix Tables S4-S7; Dataset EV1). GAMs with low, medium and high-*SORL1* expression levels (GAMs_low, GAMs_med, GAMs_hi) were separated prior to analysis. (C) Chord diagrams indicating selected ligand-receptor pairs mediating interaction between cell populations. The width of chords is proportional to signal strength of a given ligand-receptor pair.

communication, which at the same time was almost missing for the lymphocytes that mostly exhibited incoming patterns. Of note, GAMs tended to show different communication patterns depending on their *SORL1* expression levels (Figs. 2A,B and EV2A).

More detailed analysis of the ligand-receptor pairs contributing to these communication patterns revealed several interactions specific for the GAMs with high-SORL1 expression. This population received CCL5-CCR1 signals from the lymphocytes and ICAM2-(ITGAM + ITGB2) from the endothelial cells, as well as HLA-F-LILRB1 from the lymphocytes (Fig. 2C). These interactions may be associated with enhanced tissue infiltration (Schenkel et al, 2004; Pham et al, 2012) and induction of immunosuppressive GAMs phenotype (Zeller et al, 2023), respectively. In turn, high-SORL1 GAMs sent pro-tumorigenic signals to the tumor cells (Fig. 2C). In particular, noncanonical Wnt signaling (WNT5A-FZD3) might promote glioma invasion (Pukrop et al, 2010, 2006) and glutamatergic signaling ((SLC1A3 + GLS)-GRIA2) is known to stimulate tumor cells growth, proliferation, and survival (Prickett and Samuels, 2012). Other ligand-receptor pairs revealed by this analysis included TNF-TNFRSF1A sent by medium-SORL1 expressing GAMs, TNFSF12-TNFRSF12A (high-SORL1 GAMs to tumor cells), PDGFB-PDGFRA (medium- and high-SORL1 GAMs to several populations), and THY1-(ITGAM+ITGB2) received by high-SORL1 GAMs (Fig. EV2B). In conclusion, CellChat analysis further substantiated our notion that high expression of SORL1 is one of the features characterizing a distinct GAMs population whose transcriptional profiles point to their pro-tumorigenic potential.

Finally, we repeated key analyzes on an independent dataset published by Neftel et al, (Data Ref.: Neftel et al, 2019). Here, SORL1 expression was also seen predominantly in GAMs that we selected based on the expression of the marker genes as before for the Abdelfattah et al data (Dataset EV5; Fig. EV1D,F; Appendix Fig. S1B; Appendix Table S8), but it appeared more uniform and shifted towards higher levels compared to the dataset from Abdelfattah et al (Appendix Fig. S1C,D). In the next step, GAMs were further grouped into 5 clusters, for which SORL1 expression levels and the marker genes were analyzed (Appendix Fig. S1E-H; Dataset EV6). Marker genes of the two GAMs clusters with the highest SORL1 expression included CX3CR1, P2RY12, AIF1, ITGAM, TMEM119, TREM2, CCL3, and CCL4, while GAMs cluster with the lowest SORL1 levels was characterized by LGALS1, GAPDH, PGK1, ENO1, LDHA, MIF, FTH1, HMOX1, and TLR4 marker genes (Appendix Fig. S1H). Moreover, the genes that we previously linked to SORL1 expression on a single-cell level (Abdelfattah et al data, Fig. 1D) showed similar expression patterns associated with SORL1 in this additional scRNA-seq dataset (Appendix Fig. S1I). In conclusion, our key findings on SORL1 expression patterns in GAMs were recapitulated in this independent analysis.

Taken together, we propose a functional link between the activation status of microglia/macrophages and *SORL1* expression levels. In particular, our results point to the scenario where high *SORL1* expression occurs in tumor-supportive GAMs, while low *SORL1* expression is associated with pro-inflammatory phenotypes of microglia/macrophages.

Loss of SorLA promotes $\text{TNF}\alpha$ release from cultured microglia

Since *SORL1* expression appeared related to the functional properties of GAMs, we tested whether SorLA levels might be specifically regulated by the cues triggering diverse microglial phenotypes. To study this phenomenon, we used primary murine microglia treated with LPS or co-cultured with GL261 glioma cells, an in vitro model to mimic pro-inflammatory stimulation and the impact of glioma-secreted factors, respectively. In line with our hypothesis, *Sorl1* expression increased in the presence of glioma cells, while it dramatically decreased upon LPS treatment (Fig. 3A; Appendix Fig. S2A,B).

Distinct changes in microglial Sorl1 expression seen upon activation by LPS and in the presence of glioma cells suggested that SorLA might be an active player in shaping functional properties of microglia. SorLA controls the intracellular sorting of target proteins defining plasma membrane transport and secretion properties (Schmidt et al, 2017). As cytokines release from activated microglia is crucial for their activity and response to disease (Colonna and Butovsky, 2017), we profiled cytokines released by WT and SorLAdeficient (SorLA-KO, SLKO) murine microglia upon PMA stimulation to uncover potential factors secreted in a SorLAdependent manner. We did not observe any global alterations in cytokines secretion from SorLA-KO microglia as compared to WT cells. Several cytokines were released in similar amounts in both genotypes, including IL-9, MCP1, MIP1a, MIP2, and MIP3 (Fig. EV3). Secretion of MIP1 β tended to be decreased in SorLA-KO cells. However, the most remarkable difference was seen in the secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNFa, which was released in higher amounts from SorLA-deficient microglia (Fig. 3B). This enhanced secretion of TNFa was not due to its increased expression, as mRNA levels were not changed in SorLA-KO cells (Fig. 3C; Appendix Fig. S2C). These results indicated that the alterations in TNFa release occur post-transcriptionally and might result directly from SorLA-dependent sorting mechanisms present in WTs, but absent in SorLA-KO microglia.

To further establish the relevance of our findings for human cells, we used microglia derived from induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells (Fig. 3D,E) either wild-type or genetically deficient for *SORL1* (SLKO). As expected, expression of pluripotency markers (*SOX2, NANOG, OCT4*) dropped during differentiation, while microglia markers (*P2RY12, TREM2, AIF1, CX3CR1, ITGAM*)



Figure 3. SorLA is differentially regulated by pro- and anti-inflammatory cues and restricts TNFα release from microglia.

(A) Sorl1 mRNA levels in primary murine microglia co-cultured with glioma cells (left) or stimulated with LPS (right) as assessed by qRT-PCR (relative to *Hprt1* or $\beta 2M$, respectively). n = 6-7 biological replicates. (B) TNF α levels as determined by ELISA in cell culture medium from primary WT and SorLA-KO microglia either untreated (ctrl) or treated with PMA for 24 h. TNF α levels were normalized to the protein content in the respective cell lysates. n = 6 biological replicates. (C) *Tnfa* mRNA levels in primary murine microglia stimulated with PMA as assessed by qRT-PCR (relative to *Hprt1*). n = 5-7 biological replicates. (D) Outline of the iPSC-to-microglia (iMG) differentiation protocol. (E) Phase contrast images of iPSCs, HPs, and iMG at different stages of the microglia differentiation. Scale bar, 1000 µm (iPSC, day 3, day 11); 200 µm (day 23, day 38). (F) Expression levels of marker genes for pluripotent stem cells (*SOX2*) and microglia (*P2RY12*, *TREM2*, *AIF1*) in iPSCs, HPs and iMG during microglia differentiation as assessed by qRT-PCR (relative to *GAPDH*). n = 5 biological replicates. (G) Representative images of human iMG stimulated with LPS as assessed by qRT-PCR (relative to *GAPDH*). n = 5 biological replicates. (G) Representative images of human iMG stimulated with LPS as assessed by qRT-PCR (relative to $\beta 2M$). n = 3 biological replicates. (I) TNF α levels as determined by ELISA in cell culture medium from WT and SorLA-KO iMG either untreated (ctrl) or treated with LPS for 24 h. n = 4-5 biological replicates. Data information: (A-C, F, H, I) Data are presented as mean ± SEM. In so tsignificant; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 in one-sample t test compared to 1 (**A**, **F**, **H**) or in two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons (**B**, **C**, 1). Source data are available online for this figure.

levels increased (Fig. 3F; Appendix Figs. S2D and S3A). Immunodetection of Iba1 and P2RY12 additionally confirmed the microglial identity of generated cells (Fig. 3G). Differentiation was unaltered by SorLA deficiency, as expression levels of marker genes *AIF1, ITGAM* and *P2RY12* were comparable for WT and SorLAdeficient human-induced microglia (iMG, Appendix Figs. S2E and S3B). As anticipated, SorLA protein was completely lost from SLKO iMG (Appendix Fig. S3C). Using this model, we confirmed that LPS stimulation drives a remarkable decrease in *SORL1* expression in WT iMG (Fig. 3H; Appendix Fig. 2F). Moreover, loss of SorLA activity led to an increased TNFα release from iMG (Fig. 3I), indicating that SorLA-dependent control of TNFα secretion is conserved between the species and highlighting its potential relevance for disease pathogenesis.

SorLA binds TNF α to control its intracellular trafficking

Typically, SorLA exerts its functions by binding target proteins and directing their intracellular trafficking. For example, this sorting activity of SorLA was documented for protein sorting between the TGN, endosomes and lysosomes, as well as in the recycling route via the Rab11+ compartment (Schmidt et al, 2016, 2007; Caglayan et al, 2014). To corroborate the potential role of SorLA in TNFa sorting, we first tested the colocalization of the two proteins in microglial cells. Indeed, immunostaining of PMA-stimulated BV2 cells revealed partial overlap of SorLA and TNFa signals (Fig. 4A,B). Next, we examined the interaction of SorLA with TNFa in coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays. Towards this end, we overexpressed SorLA and GFP-tagged TNFa (or GFP alone) in HEK293 cells and pulled down the GFP tag. SorLA was present in the immunoprecipitate containing TNFa-GFP, while it was not visible in the control GFP-IP (Fig. 4C), supporting our notion that TNFa is a SorLA ligand.

As the structure of SorLA entails several domains capable of cargo binding (Fig. 4D), we sought to identify the domain responsible for the interaction with TNFa. Using deletion mutants lacking particular SorLA domains (Fig. EV4A) in our co-IP experiments, we observed that removing EGF-type repeat and the β -propeller (Δ EGF/ β P mutant) tended to weaken SorLA binding to TNFa, although these results did not reach statistical significance (Fig. EV4B,C). Of note, these experiments did not rule out additional binding sites outside the EGF-type repeat and the β -propeller for TNFa in SorLA. Using more stringent co-IP conditions (300 mM NaCl) in order to increase the specificity of

our results led to loss of binding of TNF α by full-length SorLA (Appendix Fig. S4). As an alternative approach, we used myctagged mini-receptors composed exclusively of particular SorLA domains (Fig. EV4A; Appendix Table S9). In line with our prior observations, the most efficient co-IP with TNF α was noted for the mini-receptor encompassing the EGF-type repeat and β -propeller (EGF/ β P, Fig. 4E,F). In summary, it is plausible that SorLA binds TNF α predominantly via an extracellular motif containing EGFtype repeat and β -propeller.

Finally, we elucidated the impact of SorLA on the intracellular trafficking of TNFa. We analyzed its colocalization with the markers of subcellular compartments in WT and SorLA-deficient primary microglia stimulated with PMA. We did not observe remarkable TNFa presence in lysosomes (stained with anti-Lamp1) in any of the genotypes (Appendix Fig. S5). Rather, TNFa was colocalizing with the Golgi (GM130-positive structures), Rab7+ late-endosomes, Rab11+ recycling endosomes, as well as with Vti1b, known for its important role in the TNFa secretory route (Murray et al, 2005). SorLA deficiency did not affect the presence of TNFa in the GM130+, Lamp1+ and Rab7+ compartments (Appendix Fig. S5), but it increased the colocalization of TNFa with Vti1b and caused a concurrent loss of TNFa from Rab11+ endosomes (Fig. 4G). These results indicated that loss of SorLA shifts the trafficking of TNFa toward the secretory pathway, which could explain the increased TNFa release from SorLA-KO microglia.

Loss of SorLA limits glioma growth, promotes inflammation and necroptosis

SorLA emerged as a critical factor controlling the functional properties of microglia, restricting their pro-inflammatory activities. Moreover, in glioma patients, *SORL1* expression levels in GAMs were related to their transcription profiles. We further speculated that the presence of SorLA might have an impact on the functional properties of GAMs and, consequently, on tumor microenvironment and glioma progression.

Thus, we asked whether SorLA presence in the host cells has an impact on glioma progression in a murine model. Glioma GL261 cells carrying luciferase and tdTomato transgenes were implanted to the striata of WT and SorLA-KO mice, and the tumor growth was followed for 21 days. Using this model, we showed that glioma growth is limited in SorLA-deficient mice (Fig. 5A,B), supporting our notion that the presence of this sorting receptor in



Figure 4. SorLA interacts with $TNF\alpha$ to regulate its subcellular distribution.

(A) Representative image of SorLA and TNF α immunostaining in BV2 microglial cells stimulated with PMA. Cells were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar: 25 µm. (B) Results of colocalization analysis performed for SorLA and TNF α signals exemplified in (A) calculated as thresholded Manders coefficients (tM). n = 10 fields of view. (C) Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of SorLA with GFP-tagged TNF α overexpressed in HEK293 cells after GFP-IP. GFP serves as a negative control. (D) Scheme of SorLA protein structure indicating its functional domains. VPS10P VPS10P domain, EGF/ β P EGF-type repeat/ β -propeller domain, CR complement-type repeat, FN3 fibronectintype III domain. (E) TNF α preferentially binds the EGF/ β -propeller SorLA mini-receptor. Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of myc-tagged SorLA mini-receptors with TNF α -GFP overexpressed in HEK293 cells after GFP-IP. GFP serves as a negative control. (F) Ratios of myc signals in co-IP and input samples (IP/IN) calculated for each transfection variant as in (E). n = 3 biological replicates. (G) Immunostaining for TNF α and the markers of secretory vesicles (Vtilb) and recycling endosome (Rab11) in WT and SorLA-KO microglia. Cells were counterstained with DAPI. Representative images (left) and the results of colocalization analysis (right) calculated as thresholded Manders coefficients (tM) are shown. n = 55-64 cells. Scale bar, 25 µm. Data information: (B, F, G) Data are shown as mean ± SEM. **P < 0.001; ***P < 0.001 in one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (compared to EGF/ β P; F) or in unpaired two-tailed t test (G). No statistical analysis was performed for (B) as we did not intend to directly compare tM1 and tM2. Source data are available online for this figure.

host cells is critical for establishing a tumor-promoting microenvironment.

To evaluate the combined impact of the developing glioma and SorLA loss from host cells on the tumor microenvironment, we first assayed the levels of selected cytokines (TNF α , MIP2, CCL5, CXCL1, IL1 β , IL2, IL6, and IL10) in the tissue lysates derived from tumor-bearing and tumor-free hemispheres. At 14 days postimplantation, we did not document any major induction of the cytokines (Appendix Fig. S6A). Twenty-one days after implantation, induction of all cytokines in glioma-bearing hemispheres was visible and comparable between genotypes, except for MIP2, which was decreased in the SLKO tumor samples as compared to WTs (Appendix Fig. S6B).

Next, we evaluated the properties of microglia in the glioma model in WT and SLKO mice. The microglia activation status is reflected by the changes in their morphology (Morrison et al, 2017; Franco-Bocanegra et al, 2021; Kvisten et al, 2019). In essence, the tumor-supportive phenotype is characterized by ramified morphology with longer and more branched processes. By contrast, proinflammatory microglia present compact morphology and shorter extensions. These features can be evaluated by Sholl analysis, which quantifies the number of processes crossing the spheres of increasing radius, centered at the cell soma. This analysis performed on the tumor-surrounding Tmem119+ cells revealed remarkable differences between WT and SorLA-KO microglia (Fig. 5C,D). In detail, SorLA-KO microglia showed a compact morphology with shorter processes, which can be attributed to its more pro-inflammatory phenotype, while a branched morphology of microglia observed in WTs can correspond to a homeostatic or tumor-supporting state. These differences between the genotypes were also reflected by an apparent global decrease in Tmem119 signal in the tumor-surrounding tissue in SorLA-KO mice (Appendix Fig. S7A,B). Of note, in the contralateral gliomafree hemisphere, we did not observe any genotype-dependent alterations in microglia morphology (Appendix Fig. S7C,D).

To further verify the hypothesis that the pro-tumorigenic activities are blunted in the SorLA-KO animals, we focused on the phosphorylation status of STAT3 in the glioma-bearing brains. STAT3 is a transcription factor, which, when phosphorylated, activates the expression of multiple genes related to protumorigenic properties of GAMs (De Boeck et al, 2020; Dumas et al, 2020). It was demonstrated that inhibition of STAT3 enhances pro-inflammatory potential of these cells, which results in suppression of tumor growth in a murine model of glioma (Zhang et al, 2009). In line with our hypothesis, the levels of p-STAT3 were remarkably reduced in glioma-bearing hemispheres of SorLAdeficient mice as compared to WTs (Fig. 5E,F).

An important consequence of the inflammatory response is the influx of peripheral immune cells into the affected tissue. Locally released factors attract circulating leukocytes and promote their migration, eventually driving their infiltration into the inflamed area. In GL261 gliomas, the infiltration of galectin-3+ macrophages and CD8 + T lymphocytes into the tumor mass was similar for both WT and SorLA-KO mice (Fig. 6A-C). Also the staining for the common GAMs marker Iba1 did not reveal any genotypedependent differences in terms of glioma infiltration (Appendix Fig. S8). However, we noted a striking genotype-dependent difference in the neutrophil influx into the glioma. Thus, infiltration of the MPO+ neutrophils was evident in the gliomas in SorLA-KO brains, while it did not occur in the WTs and in the contralateral hemispheres (Fig. 6D,E). This was not due to the overall increase in neutrophil amounts in the circulating blood of SorLA-KO mice, as the numbers of circulating neutrophils, as well as of erythrocytes, monocytes, lymphocytes, basophils, and eosinophils, were comparable in glioma-bearing WT and SorLA-KO mice (Appendix Fig. S9). We propose that this massive neutrophil infiltration is a direct consequence of a proinflammatory milieu promoting their migration into the brain parenchyma in SorLA-deficient mice. One of the key mechanisms facilitating neutrophils influx into the tissue involves TNFa-driven induction of ICAM-1, an adhesion molecule critical for the transendothelial migration of these cells (Peterson et al, 2006; Yang et al, 2005). Increased soluble ICAM-1 (sICAM1) levels are also a well-established hallmark of inflammation (Bui et al, 2020). As anticipated from our data, sICAM1 was elevated in the tumorcontaining hemispheres derived from SorLA-KO mice as compared to the WTs (Fig. 6F). These results strongly supported our hypothesis that loss of SorLA shifts the properties of the glioma microenvironment toward pro-inflammatory.

Finally, to further elucidate the mechanisms limiting tumor growth in SorLA-KO mice, we focused on cell death mechanisms that might be activated by TNFa itself, or by the infiltrating neutrophils. TNFa can trigger apoptosis or necroptosis via its receptor TNFR1 (Webster and Vucic, 2020), while neutrophils elicit ferroptosis (Yee et al, 2020). We thus checked which of these pathway(s) are activated in glioma specifically in SorLA-KO mice. We did not observe induction of apoptosis, as the cleavage of PARP and caspase-3 was negligible and similar for both WT and SorLAdeficient mice (Fig. 7A,B). Induction of ferroptosis was not visible either (Fig. 7C). At the same time, we noted increased levels of



Figure 5. SorLA deficiency inhibits glioma growth and promotes pro-inflammatory properties of microglia.

(A) Representative images of bioluminescence signals emitted by luciferase-expressing gliomas in WT and SorLA-KO mice at 7, 14, and 21 days post-implantation. Relative signal intensities represented by color are combined with X-ray images. (B) Bioluminescence signals measured as in (A) at indicated days post-implantation. n = 6-8 mice per genotype. (C) Upper panel: representative images of microglia morphology revealed by Tmem119 staining in WT and SorLA-KO mice in glioma margin 21 days post-implantation. Scale bar, 15 µm. The white box indicates the cell reconstructed below. Lower panel shows reconstructed microglia branches; color depicts branch level. Scale bar, 5 µm. (D) Sholl analysis of microglia morphology reconstructed as in (C). n = 4 mice per genotype; for each mouse, five cells were quantified and an average of obtained values was treated as an individual data point. (E) Western blot analysis of p-STAT3 levels in WT and SorLA-KO glioma-bearing hemispheres at 21 days post-implantation. STAT3 and GAPDH are detected as loading controls. (F) Quantification of the western blot analysis as in (E). Signal intensities for p-STAT3 were normalized to STAT3 signals. n = 5 mice per genotype. Data information: (B, D, F) Data are shown as mean \pm SEM. ns not significant; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ***P < 0.001 in repeated measures two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (B), in two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test (D) or in unpaired two-tailed t test (F). Source data are available online for this figure.

necroptosis markers p-RIP1 and p-RIP3 in the glioma-bearing hemispheres from SorLA-KO mice, as compared to the WTs (Fig. 6D,E). In line with these observations, we further documented a time-dependent increase of p-RIP1 in cultured glioma cells treated with TNFa (Fig. 7F). These results suggested that necroptosis might contribute to the elimination of glioma cells and, in consequence, to limiting tumor growth in SorLA-KO brains.

Discussion

SorLA is an important player in maintaining the functional integrity of the brain (Malik and Willnow, 2020). Although this role has mainly been attributed to its neuronal functions in the past, single-cell sequencing approaches discovered the complexity of SorLA expression patterns in the diseased brain. In particular, potential microglial activities of SorLA are gaining increasing attention due to relatively high expression levels of the receptor in this cell type (Olah et al, 2018; Sankowski et al, 2019) and to the relevance of microglial activity to the pathogenesis of virtually all brain disorders (Prinz et al, 2019). We have focused on the microglial roles of SorLA in the context of glioma. However, it is plausible that such a function also bears relevance for other brain diseases, such as AD, and that the ability of SorLA to limit pro-inflammatory activity of microglia shown in this study may represent a mechanism of fundamental significance.

Here we show that microglial SorLA levels are differentially modulated in the context of inflammation and in glioma-induced immunosuppression. This regulatory mechanism has important implications for the functional properties of these cells, as SorLA acts as a sorting receptor for TNFa. We propose that SorLAmediated restriction of TNFa release results in blunting proinflammatory activities of SorLA+ cells and modulates the properties of the brain microenvironment (Fig. 8).

In line with this notion, we discovered that the response of SorLA-KO mice to glioma growth is shifted towards a proinflammatory state as compared to WT animals. This phenotype is manifested by morphological changes of microglial cells, reduced p-STAT3 and increased sICAM1 levels, and by neutrophils infiltration. Importantly, the lack of SorLA did not influence the numbers of circulating neutrophils in glioma-bearing mice, nor did it drive neutrophils infiltration to the tumor-free brain hemisphere. This observation strongly favors the hypothesis that neutrophils' infiltration is a secondary consequence of the pro-inflammatory character of the tumor microenvironment in SorLA-KO mice.

We further propose that GAMs polarization towards the antitumor state in SorLA-KO brains is the plausible cause for the reduced tumor growth. In our view, TNFa release from SorLAdeficient microglia promotes necroptosis of glioma cells and enhances neutrophil infiltration. We assume that these factors are associated with the overall anti-tumor response that leads to beneficial effects in the glioma microenvironment of genetically modified animals. Although the role of inflammation in tumor biology remains a matter of debate (Montfort et al, 2019; Josephs et al, 2018), depleting TNFa in host cells resulted in larger tumors and shorter survival in the murine glioma model (Villeneuve et al, 2005). In line with this notion, inhibition of STAT3 in a murine model of glioma enhanced TNFa expression in microglia/ macrophages, blocked tumor growth and improved survival (Zhang et al, 2009). Also in GBM patients, high levels of the cytokine, both on the periphery and in the tumor microenvironment were linked to increased overall survival (Cavalheiro et al, 2023).

Likewise, the role of neutrophils in tumor progression remains unclear. In several studies their inflammatory activation has been linked to poor GBM patient outcomes (Wang et al, 2022a), while experiments on murine models of glioma indicated that neutrophils can limit tumor growth in the early stage of the disease (Magod et al, 2021). A similar phenomenon was observed in metastasis, where tumor-entrained neutrophils inhibited spreading of cancer cells into other tissues (Granot et al, 2011; López-Lago et al, 2013). Thus, neutrophils polarization into pro- or anti-tumor phenotypes seems to be highly context-dependent (Lin et al, 2021; Friedmann-Morvinski and Hambardzumyan, 2023). Furthermore, direct role of TNFa in shaping neutrophils properties remains elusive, as it has been shown that this cytokine may induce their pro-tumorigenic (Maas et al, 2023) or anti-tumoral (Finisguerra et al, 2015) potential. In summary, we speculate that the pro-inflammatory glioma microenvironment of SorLA-KO mice not only drives neutrophils recruitment, but also promotes their anti-tumorigenic functions. However, it needs to be noted that we observed neutrophils influx into the SorLA-KO glioma microenvironment in the late stage of the disease and we did not investigate the properties of these cells in detail. Therefore, further studies are required to conclude about the role of neutrophils in gliomas of SorLA-depleted mice.

Overall, unlocking the endogenous pro-inflammatory potential of microglia/macrophages directly at the site of glioma may represent a potent mechanism of limiting its growth. In this context, targeting SORL1 expression in GAMs or the interaction between SorLA and TNF α emerges as an exciting strategy for



future pharmacological interventions in glioma. However, it remains unclear whether reducing SorLA levels in GAMs, although resulting in reduced size of tumors, would be ultimately beneficial for the patients. At present, no data exist that would allow for analysis of the potential association between *SORL1* expression in GAMs and GBM patient's survival. Nevertheless, the expression of *SORL1* in human GAMs is evident. Further studies on the molecular details of the mechanism described herein and on its pathophysiological implications are needed to evaluate its clinical relevance.

Figure 6. Glioma microenvironment of SorLA-KO mice is infiltrated by neutrophils.

(A, B) Representative images of the sections from glioma-bearing WT and SorLA-KO brains 21 days after implantation of GL261-tdTomato+Luc+ cells, immunostained for the markers of macrophages, galectin-3 (A) and cytotoxic T lymphocytes, CD8 (B). Tumor cells are seen in red. Yellow dotted line marks tumor border. Sections were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 200 μ m. (C) Galectin-3 and CD8 signal intensities in WT and SorLA-KO glioma-bearing hemispheres. n = 4 mice per genotype. (D) Representative images of murine brains sections 21 days post-implantation stained for neutrophils marker MPO and counterstained with DAPI (blue). Tumor cells are seen in red. Scale bar, 1mm. Yellow box indicates the area imaged with higher magnification in (E). (E) MPO+ cells in the glioma mass in WT and SorLA-KO brains. Scale bar, 100 μ m. Right panel: quantification of MPO signal intensity observed in WT and SLKO mice. n = 6-8 mice per genotype. (F) Levels of slCAM1 in soluble fractions extracted from glioma-bearing brain hemispheres 21 days post-implantation, normalized to protein content. n = 6 mice per genotype. Data information: (C, E, F) Data are presented as mean ± SEM. ns not significant; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 in unpaired two-tailed *t* test. Source data are available online for this figure.

Methods

Reagents and tools table

Reagent/resource	Reference or source	Identifier or catalog number
Experimental models		
Human brain tissue samples	Department of Neuropathology of the Amsterdam UMC	NA
HEK293	ATCC	CRL-1573
BV2	Przanowski et al, 2018	NA
GL261 luc + /tdT+	Ochocka et al, 2021	NA
GL261 WT	Ochocka et al, 2021	NA
C57BL/6J (M. musculus)	Nencki Institute of Experimental Biology, PAS	NA
SorLA-/- (SorLA-KO/SLKO) C57BL/6J mice	Andersen et al, 2005	NA
Recombinant DNA		
pEGFPC2-BIO	Swiech et al, 2011	NA
GFP-TNFa	Manderson et al, 2007	Addgene #28089
SorLA-AVPS10P	This study	NA
SorLA- Δ EGF/ β -propeller	This study	NA
SorLA-ΔFN3	This study	NA
SorLA-ACR	Mehmedbasic et al, 2015	NA
SorLA-WT	This study	NA
SorLA mini-VPS10P	This study	NA
SorLA mini-EGF/β-propeller	This study	NA
SorLA mini-FN3	This study	NA
SorLA mini-CR	This study	NA
Antibodies		
Anti-Caspase-3	Cell Signaling	CS9662
Anti-CD8-alpha	Abcam	ab217344

Reagent/resource	Reference or source	Identifier or catalog number	
Anti-Galectin-3	Biolegend	M3/38	
Anti-GAPDH	Millipore	MAB374	
Anti-GFP	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	SC8334	
Anti-GM130	BD Biosciences	BD610823	
Anti-GPX4	Abcam	ab125066	
Anti-Iba1	WAKO	019-19741	
Anti-Iba1 (for iMG staining)	Abcam	ab5076	
Anti-Lamp1	Sigma-Aldrich	MABC39	
Anti-MPO	R&D Systems	AF3667	
Anti-myc-tag	Cell Signaling	22785	
Anti-P2RY12	Genetex	GTX54796	
Anti-PARP	Cell Signaling	CS9542	
Anti-Rab7	Cell Signaling	CS95746	
Anti-p-RIP1	Cell Signaling	CS83613	
Anti-RIP1	Cell Signaling	CS3493	
Anti-p-RIP3	Abcam	ab222320	
Anti-RIP3	Abcam	ab62344	
Anti-p-STAT3	Cell Signaling	CS9145	
Anti-STAT3	Cell Signaling	CS9145	
Anti-Rab11	BD Biosciences	BD610657	
Anti-SorLA C-term, produced in rabbit	Schmidt et al, 2007	NA	
Anti-SorLA	BD Transduction Laboratories	611861	
Anti-SorLA	EMD Millipore	MABN1793	
Anti-SorLA, produced in goat	Schmidt et al, 2016	NA	
Anti-Tmem119	Synaptic Systems	400002	
Anti-TNFa	Cell Signaling	CS11948S	
Anti-TRFR	Abcam	ab269513	
Anti-Vti1b	BD Biosciences	BD611404	
Oligonucleotides and other sequence-based reagents			
Sorl1 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00983770; Mm01169526	
TNFα TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Mm00443258	

Reagent/resource	Reference or source	Identifier or catalog number
β2M TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00187847; Mm00437762
Hprt1 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Mm00446968; Hs02800695m1
SOX2 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs01053049_s1
P2RY12 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs01881698
TREM2 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00219132m1
AIF1 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00610419
GAPDH TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs02758991
TBP TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00427620m1
NANOG TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs02387400_g1
OCT4 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00999632_g1
CX3CR1 TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs01922583s1
ITGAM TaqMan probe	ThermoFisher Scientific	Hs00167304
gRNA 5'CAGTAGCGTTCGCCCGAACA _'3	This study	NA
Chemicals, enzymes, and other re	eagents	
Fetal bovine serum (FBS)	Gibco	#10500064
FBS for microglial culture	PanBiotech	#P30-3302
Dulbecco's modified essential medium (DMEM)	Gibco	#31885
DMEM w/Glutamax	Gibco	#10569-010
DMEM/F12	Gibco	#11039-021
Insulin-transferrin-selenite	ThermoFisher Scientific	#41400045
B27	ThermoFisher Scientific	#17504001
N2	ThermoFisher Scientific	#17502048
Glutamax	ThermoFisher Scientific	#35050038
MEM non-essential amino acids	ThermoFisher Scientific	#11140035
Monothioglycerol	Sigma	#M1753
Insulin	PromoCell	#C-52310
IL-34	Peprotech	#200-34
TGFβ1	Peprotech	#100-21C
M-CSF	Peprotech	#300-25
CD200	Novoprotein	#C311
CX3CL1	Peprotech	#300-31
ΤΝFα	Gibco	PMC3014

Reagent/resource	Reference or source	Identifier or catalog number
Trypsin	Sigma	T8003
DNAse	Sigma	DN25
Essential 8™ Flex Medium	Gibco	#A2858501
ReLeSR™	Stem Cell Technologies	#05872
Penicillin/streptomycin solution	Sigma	#P4333
G418 solution	Invivogen	#ant-gn-5
Poly-L-lysine (PLL)	Sigma	#P1274
Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)	Sigma	#L7770, #L4391
Phorbol myristate acetate (PMA)	Sigma	#P8139
Hank's Buffered Saline Solution (HBSS) without Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ions	Gibco	14175-095
Lipofectamine 2000 reagent	ThermoFisher Scientific	#11668019
D-luciferin sodium salt	Synchem	103404-75-7
Isoflurane	Polypharm	5468
Bovine serum albumin (BSA)	Sigma	A9647
Normal donkey serum (NDS)	Sigma	D9663
DAKO fluorescence mounting medium	Agilent	S3023
Antibody diluent	VWR International	#ABB999
Sodium citrate buffer	VWR International	#1.06448.1000
Vectashield	Vector Laboratories	H-1800-10
Nondient P40 (NP40) substitute	Sigma	#11754599001
Software		
scTools	This study	https://github.com/ MateuszJakiel/scTools
Seurat	Hao et al, 2021	NA
MCFS-ID	Dramiński and Koronacki, 2018; Dramiński et al, 2008	https://cran.r- project.org/web/ packages/rmcfs/
Source code for SORL1 expression analysis	This study	https://github.com/ mdraminski/ expressionLevelsSorLA
Other		
STEMdiff™ Hematopoietic Kit	Stem Cell Technologies	#05310
High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA™ Kit	ThermoFisher Scientific	4387406
ICAM-1 ELISA Kit	Bio-Techne	MIC100
Murine U-Plex Biomarker Group 1 assay	Meso Scale Diagnostics	#K15083K
Human TNFa U-PLEX assay	Meso Scale Diagnostics	#K151UCK
TaqMan™ Fast Universal PCR Master Mix	ThermoFisher Scientific	4352042

Reagent/resource	Reference or source	Identifier or catalog number
Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit	ThermoFisher Scientific	23225
RNeasy Mini Kit	Qiagen	74104
Direct-zoITM RNA MiniPrep Kit	Zymo Research	R2053
X-treme in vivo bioluminescence imaging system	Bruker	NA
GFP-Trap Magnetic Particles	Chromotek	#gtd
Pre-coated glass slides	VWR International	#KNITVS11274077FEA
Matrigel	Corning	#356234, #354277
Cell culture inserts with 0.4-µM pores	Falcon	#353090
EDTA tubes	Profilab	#320

Animals

Mice with a targeted disruption of murine SorLA (SorLA-KO) have been described (Andersen et al, 2005). We used SorLA-KO mice on an inbred C57BL/6J background. For primary cultures, SorLA-KO and C57BL/6J wild-type newborns of both sexes were used. For in vivo studies, SorLA-KO and C57BL/6J wild-type males at 10–16 weeks of age were used. Animals were kept under a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. Animal experimentation was performed following approval by the First Ethical Committee in Warsaw (approvals no 1102/2020, 1274/ 2022) and in agreement with the ARRIVE guidelines.

Primary microglial cultures

Primary microglial cultures were prepared using whole brains of P0-P1 C57BL/6J wild-type or SorLA-KO newborns. Brains were stripped of meninges and washed three times with HBSS. Afterward, brains were enzymatically dissociated with 1% Trypsin and 0.05% DNAse. Cell culture medium composed of DMEM w/ Glutamax, 10% FBS (PanBiotech), and penicillin/streptomycin was used to inhibit enzymatic digestion. Next, tissues were centrifuged $(130 \times g, 4 \text{ °C}, 10 \text{ min})$, and obtained pellets were resuspended in fresh cell culture medium. Cells were plated onto flasks coated with 0.1 mg/mL PLL. After 48 h, cells were washed three times with PBS to remove debris and maintained for the next 8 days. Then, microglial cells were separated from other glial cells by 1 h shaking at 80 RPM at 37 °C, collected by centrifugation $(130 \times g, 4 ^{\circ}C)$ 10 min), and seeded onto six-well (6×10^5 cells) or 24-well (1×10^5 cells) plates, on coverslips. Forty-eight hours after plating microglia were used for PMA and LPS simulations or co-cultured with GL261 cells.

Cell lines

All cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination. HEK293 and GL261 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS (Gibco), penicillin/streptomycin and with the addition of 100 μ g/mL G418, a selection antibiotic, in case of GL261-tdTomato⁺Luc⁺.

BV2 cells were cultured in DMEM w/Glutamax with 2% FBS (Gibco) and penicillin/streptomycin. HEK293 transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 reagent, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cells co-expressing SorLA mutants and TNF α -GFP (or GFP) were collected 24 h later.

Human tissue samples

Human brain tissue samples used in this study were obtained from the archives of the Department of Neuropathology of the Amsterdam UMC (University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Appendix Table S1 summarizes the clinical characteristics of patients. All human specimens were obtained and used in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Amsterdam UMC Research Code provided by the Medical Ethics Committee of the AMC.

iPSC culture and differentiation to microglia cells

The human-induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) line HMGU001-A/BIHi043-A (WT) and the isogenic SORL1-deficient (SLKO) line BIHi268-A18 line were used in these studies. The SLKO iPSC line was generated by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing using the gRNA targeting exon 1 in the SORL1 gene (5'-CAG-TAGCGTTCGCCCGAACA-3'). SorLA deficiency in a clone containing an 8 bp frameshift deletion was confirmed by western blotting. iPSC lines were cultured on Matrigel (#356324) coated sixwell plates in Essential 8™ Flex Medium (Gibco #A2858501). The culture medium was changed daily, and cells were passaged in clusters every 3-4 days at a density of 80% using 0.5 mM EDTA/ PBS. iPSCs were differentiated to microglia using a previously described protocol (McQuade et al, 2018). First, iPSCs were differentiated into hematopoietic progenitors (HPs) using STEMdiff[™] Hematopoietic Kit. On day 1, iPSCs with a density of 70-80% were passaged with ReLeSR™ into E8 flex containing Matrigelcoated six-well plates. Clusters at the size of 100 cells were seeded at a density of 50-100 per well. At day 0, in wells with a total of 40-80 clusters, E8 flex medium was replaced with 2 ml of medium A. On day 2, 1 ml medium A was added to the well. On day 3, the medium was removed and replaced with 2 ml of medium B. At days 5, 7, and 9, 1 ml medium B was added to the well. At day 11, HPs were present in the media as well as attached to the bottom. To increase the yield of HPs in the collected media, the adherent cells were gently washed off using a 5-ml serological pipette. The cells were centrifuged at $300 \times g$ for 5 min, resuspended in microglia differentiation medium (DMEM/F12, 2× Insulin-transferrin-selenite, 2x B27, 0.5× N2, 1× Glutamax, 1x MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids, 400 µM monothioglycerol, 5 µg/ml Insulin, 100 ng/ml IL-34, 50 ng/ml TGF\u00b31, and 25 ng/ml M-CSF and seeded at a density of 2×10^5 cells in Matrigel (#354277) coated six-well plates. At day 13, 15, 17, 19, 21 1 ml of microglia differentiation medium was added to the well. At day 23, the medium, except 1 ml, was transferred to a 15-ml falcon tube to spin down the floating cells at $300 \times g$ for 5 min. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml medium microglia differentiation medium and returned to the well. This was repeated for days 25-35. At day 35, the cells were resuspended in microglia differentiation medium plus 100 ng/ml CD200 and 100 ng/ml CX3CL1 to further mature the microglia. On day 37, 1 ml of the maturation medium was added to the well. The microglia cells were seeded for functional studies in the period between days 38-42.

Microglia stimulations and co-culture with glioma cells

For RNA analysis, primary murine microglia were plated onto glass coverslips on a six-well plate (6×10^5 /well). After 48 h, cells were stimulated with 100 nM PMA or 100 ng/ml LPS (Sigma #L7770) in DMEM w/Glutamax for 24 h. Then cells were washed twice with cold

PBS and collected according to the RNA isolation kit manufacturer's protocol. For cytokine levels analysis, cell medium was collected, centrifuged to remove cell debris, and frozen at -80 °C until use.

For immunostaining, BV2 cells and primary murine microglia were plated onto glass coverslips (1×10^5) on a 24-well plate, and 100 nM PMA was applied 24 h or 48 h after plating, respectively. Twenty-four hours later, cells were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS.

For co-culture experiments, GL261 cells were seeded into the cell culture inserts with 0.4- μ m pores (2.5×10^5 cells/insert).



Figure 7. Necroptosis, but not apoptosis or ferroptosis, is activated in gliomas in SorLA-deficient mice.

(A-E) Western blot analysis of apoptosis, ferroptosis and necroptosis markers in WT and SorLA-KO glioma-bearing hemispheres at 21 days post-implantation. Graphs present quantification of the western blot analyses. n = 5-7 mice per genotype. (A) Western blot analysis of apoptosis marker PARP which is cleaved upon apoptosis activation to yield bands of lower size. Detection of GAPDH was used as a loading control. Ratio of cleaved and full-length PARP was calculated. (B) Western blot analysis of apoptosis marker caspase-3 which is cleaved upon apoptosis activation. Detection of GAPDH was used as a loading control. Detection of SorLA is also presented. Signal intensity for caspase-3 was normalized to GAPDH. (C) Western blot analysis of ferroptosis markers, TRFR and GPX4. Detection of GAPDH was used as a loading control. Signal intensities for TRFR and GPX4 were normalized to GAPDH. (D) Western blot analysis of necroptosis marker p-RIP3. Detection of RIP1 and GAPDH was used as a control. Signal intensity for p-RIP3 was normalized to RIP1. (E) Western blot analysis of necroptosis marker p-RIP3. Detection of RIP3 and GAPDH was used as a control. Signal intensity for p-RIP3 was normalized to RIP1. (E) Western blot analysis of a necroptosis marker p-RIP3. Detection of RIP3 and GAPDH was used as a control. Signal intensity for p-RIP3 was normalized to RIP3. (F) Upper panel: western blot analysis of a necroptosis marker p-RIP1 in cultured glioma GL261 cells treated with 20 ng/ml TNF α for indicated time. Cells kept in serum-free medium serve as a control (CTRL). Detection of RIP1 and GAPDH was used as a loading control. Lower panel: western blot analysis of a necroptosis marker p-RIP1. Data information: (A-F) data are presented as mean ± SEM. ns not significant; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 in unpaired two-tailed t test (A-E) or in two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test (F). Source data are available online for this figure.



Figure 8. SorLA has impact on the properties of GAMs, and in consequence on the glioma microenvironment and tumor growth.

Summary of the main findings. High SorLA levels in GAMs are linked to their pro-tumorigenic properties. Loss of SorLA elicits enhanced inflammatory response, necroptosis and neutrophils influx to the glioma microenvironment, which coincides with inhibition of tumor growth in a murine model of GBM. Created with Biorender.com.

Microglia cells were plated onto glass coverslips on a six-well plate $(6 \times 10^5$ /well). After 24 h, GL261 cells were cultured in microglial medium, and after 24 h of medium conditioning over GL261 cells, medium and inserts were transferred to microglia-containing wells. After 24 h of co-culture, microglial RNA was isolated.

iPSC-derived microglia were seeded at a density of 5×10^4 cells per well in microglia differentiation medium in 96-well plates and stimulated with 1.0 µg/ml LPS (Sigma, #L4391) in microglia differentiation medium. After 24 h, the medium was collected for further analyses and the cells were harvested for RNA isolation.

For TNF α treatment of cultured glioma cells, GL261 cells were plated on a six-well plate (2.5 × 10⁵ cells/well) one day prior to the stimulation. Cells were washed once with PBS, and next they were cultured for 0.5, 1, 6, or 24 h in a serum-free medium supplemented

with 20 ng/ml TNFa. Cells cultured in a serum-free medium served as a control. Cells were collected at the indicated timepoints and subjected to lysis.

Immunostaining procedures

Mouse brains were dissected from tumor-bearing mice after transcardial perfusion with PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde PFA in PBS. After post-fixation (PFA, overnight) and cryopreservation in 30% sucrose/PBS, brains were cut in 40- μ m free-floating coronal sections using a cryostat. Alternatively, the brains were dissected from non-perfused animals and slowly frozen on isopentane/dry ice. Next, 12- μ m coronal sections were cut using a cryostat, immediately mounted on glass slides, and kept at -20 °C until further use. Prior to staining, these sections were thawed at room temperature for 30 min and fixed in 4% PFA/PBS for 30 min. The sections were blocked in 1% horse serum in PBS and incubated with primary followed by secondary antibodies diluted in PBS, supplemented with 1% BSA, 1% NDS, and 0.5% Triton-X. Specimens were washed with PBS, counterstained with DAPI and mounted with DAKO fluorescence mounting medium.

Cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed with 4% PFA/PBS. Next, the cells were washed with PBS, blocked for 1 h in PBS supplemented with 5% NDS and 0.3% Triton-X, and incubated with primary antibodies followed by secondary antibodies diluted in PBS with 1% BSA and 0.3% Triton-X. After washing with PBS and counterstaining with DAPI, the coverslips were mounted with DAKO fluorescence mounting medium.

Human brain tissue was fixed in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μ m, and mounted on pre-coated glass slides. Sections were deparaffinized in xylene, and ethanol (100%, 95%, 70%). Antigen retrieval was performed using a pressure cooker in 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 121 °C for 10 min. Slides were washed with PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4) and incubated overnight with primary antibodies against SorLA (EMD Millipore, 1:150) and Iba1 (1:200) in antibody diluent at 4 °C. The next day, sections were washed with PBS and incubated with Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit (1:200) or Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse (1:200) antibody plus Hoechst 33258 (1:1000) in antibody diluent for 2 h at room temperature, washed with PBS and mounted with Vectashield.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cell lysates and purified with RNeasy Mini Kit or Direct-zolTM RNA MiniPrep Kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol. Reversely transcribed cDNA was synthesized using High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA[™] Kit. Gene expression was evaluated by quantitative real time-PCR using TaqMan[™] Fast Universal PCR Master Mix and the following Taqman Gene Expression Assays: Sorl1, TNFa, β 2M, Hprt1, SOX2, P2RY12, TREM2, AIF1, GAPDH, TBP, NANOG, OCT4, CX3CR1, ITGAM. Fold change in gene expression was calculated using the cycle threshold (CT) comparative method (2ddCT) normalizing to CT values for housekeeping genes (in case of primary murine microglia: β 2M for LPS treatment, *Hprt1* for co-culture with glioma cells and PMA stimulations; in case of human iMG: *GAPDH* for differentiation experiments and β 2M for LPS treatment; see Appendix Fig. S2).

ELISA measurements

The following enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits were used to determine cytokine levels in microglial media samples and brain tissue lysates of WT and SorLA-KO animals 14 and 21 days after glioma implantation: murine U-Plex Biomarker Group 1 assay, human TNF α U-PLEX assay and ICAM-1/CD54 Quantikine ELISA Kit. All assays were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Expression plasmids

pEGFPC2-BIO plasmid used for GFP overexpression was a kind gift from Jacek Jaworski (Swiech et al, 2011). GFP-TNFa was a gift from Jennifer Stow (Manderson et al, 2007). The SorLA-ACR construct (deletion of Cys1078 to Glu1552) has previously been described (Mehmedbasic et al, 2015). In this study the following constructs were generated: SorLA-AVPS10P construct (deletion of Met1 to Pro753) in the pSecTag2A expression vector; SorLA- $\Delta EGF/\beta$ -propeller construct (deletion of Leu754 to Glu1074) in the pcDNA3.1 expression vector; SorLA-ΔFN3 construct (deletion of Val1555-Ala2123) in the pcDNA3.1 expression vector. Human SorLA mini plasmids were generated by amplifying the specific SorLA domain with overlapping primers using PCR technology. The fragments were then ligated into pcDNA3.1 zeo+ vector (Invitrogen). The SORL1 stop codon was replaced with a myccoding sequence. All mini-receptors had the original SorLA signal and propeptide sequence and furin cleavage site at the N-terminus, and the original SorLA transmembrane and cytoplasmic domain at the C-terminus. The information on SorLA domains covered by the constructs is provided in Appendix Table S9.

Co-IP experiments

HEK293 cells overexpressing SorLA mutants (depleted from one of the domain or SorLA mini plasmids) and TNFa-GFP (or GFP) were scraped in lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5% NP40 substitute with proteases and phosphatases inhibitors. The lysate was kept on ice for 30 min and centrifuged ($16,000 \times g$, 4 °C, 15 min). Lysis buffer w/o NP40 substitute was added to the cleared lysate to decrease concentration of the detergent in samples to 0.2%. Protein level was measured by Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay Kit. Part of the resultant cleared lysate was kept for analyses, while the rest ($500-600 \mu g$) was used for 30 min incubation with GFP-Trap Magnetic Particles[®] (with rotation, in 4 °C) to pull down the GFP tag. Lysis buffer with reduced NP40 substitute content (0.2%) was used for three subsequent washing of beads. Proteins bound to the beads were released by boiling in $2 \times$ Laemmli Buffer for 10 min and further examined by western blot.

Stereotaxic implantation of glioma cells

Implantations were performed as described (Kaminska et al, 2024). In brief, mice were kept under constant anesthesia during the whole procedure using 2% Isoflurane in oxygen. After subcutaneous administration of butorphanol (2 mg/kg body weight) and meloxicam (2 mg/kg body weight), as well as bupivacaine local application (8 mg/kg body weight), skin on the head was incised. The hole in the skull was drilled at the following coordinates: -1 mm anterior-posterior (AP) and 2 mm medial-lateral (ML) from bregma. In total, 8×10^5 of GL261-tdTomato+Luc+ cells in 1 µl of DMEM were stereotactically injected into the right striatum at the rate of $0.25 \,\mu$ l/min, to a depth of 3 mm according to the brain surface. Withdrawing of the syringe was performed at the rate 1 mm/min to avoid backward outflow of the cell suspension. The skin incision was closed using sutures. After surgery, animals were carefully monitored until they fully recovered from anesthesia. For the following 3 days, mice received meloxicam (2 mg/kg body weight) once a day. Mice weight and well-being were controlled every 2-3 days until the end of the experiment.

Bioluminescence imaging of glioma growth

Mice implanted with GL261-tdTomato+Luc+ were injected intraperitoneally with D-Luciferin sodium salt (150 mg/kg body weight) in PBS. After 8 min, animals were anesthetized with 2% Isoflurane in oxygen and placed immediately in X-treme Imaging System under 2% isoflurane/oxygen supply. Ten minutes after D-luciferin administration, bioluminescence emission was determined for the total time of 2 min. X-ray images were acquired following bioluminescence imaging. Tumors were visualized 7, 14, and 21 days post-implantation. Bruker Molecular Imaging (MI) Software was used for signal quantification.

Blood sample collection

Prior to perfusions, samples of venous blood were collected immediately after incision of the right atrium. EDTA tubes were used for material collection. Hematological analyses were performed by Vetlab^{*} company, Warsaw, Poland.

Tissue lysates preparation

In all, 14 or 21 days after glioma implantation brains were isolated, hemispheres were dissected and homogenized in 20 mM Tris pH 7.5 150 mM NaCl 2 mM EDTA 2 mM MgCl₂, and 10% glycerol, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Lysates were incubated on ice for 20 min and centrifuged ($1000 \times g$, 4 °C, 10 min). Triton-X and NP40 substitute were added to the cleared lysate at final concentration of 0.5% and the samples were incubated for 1 h with rotation in 4 °C. Lysates were centrifuged ($16,000 \times g$, 4 °C, 15 min) and protein concentration in the supernatants was measured using Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay Kit.

Separation of soluble fraction from brain lysates

Twenty-one days post glioma implantation brains were isolated, tumor-bearing hemispheres were dissected and homogenized in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 0.25 M sucrose, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Lysates were incubated on ice for 20 min and centrifuged ($1000 \times g$, 4 °C, 10 min). Part of the resultant cleared lysate was kept for analyses, while the rest was further centrifuged ($100,000 \times g$, 4 °C, 1h) to obtain the soluble protein fraction. Protein concentration was measured using Pierce[®] BCA Protein Assay Kit and samples were subjected to sICAM1 ELISA.

Western blot

Cell and tissue lysates were analyzed by western blot using the following antibodies: anti-myc-tag (1:1000), anti-SorLA (BD Transduction Laboratories, 1:1000 and C-term homemade 1:1000), anti-GFP (1:250), anti-p-RIP1 (1:1000), anti-RIP1 (1:1000), anti-PARP (1:1000), anti-RIP3 (1:1000), anti-caspase-3 (1:1000), anti-PARP (1:1000), anti-TRFR (1:1000), anti-GPX4 (1:1000), anti-p-STAT3 (1:2000), anti-STAT3 (1:2000), anti-GAPDH (1:25,000). After incubation with secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP (1:5000), the chemiluminescent signal was registered with the ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Immunostaining

Following antibodies were used: anti-SorLA (1:150, EMD Millipore and 1:100 homemade antibody produced in goat), anti-Iba1 (1:200, WAKO and 1:500, Abcam), anti-P2RY12 (1:100), anti-TNF α (1:200), anti-Vti1b (1:100), anti-Rab11 (1:200), anti-GM130 (1:1000), anti-Lamp1 (1:500), anti-Rab7 (1:100), anti-Tmem119 (1:500), anti-MPO (1:40), anti-galectin-3 (1:500), anti-CD8 alpha (1:500).

Microglia morphology analysis

For the analysis of microglial cell morphology, Z-stack images of WT and SorLA-KO murine brains after glioma implantation stained for Tmem119 were used. Each cell was selected manually using ImageJ software, and all stacks comprising it were duplicated and saved as a new file for further analyses. 3D reconstruction of microglial branches was performed in Imaris 9.1.2. Software. Imaris Filament Tracer with spot detection mode was used to determine starting and ending points. Automatically added ending points, which did not cover the cell surface were removed manually. Reconstructed cell branches were subjected to Sholl analysis.

Image quantification

Western blot signals were quantified using the Image Studio Lite software or Image Lab Software 6.1 (Bio-Rad). Microscopy images were quantified using Fiji software. Signal intensities were measured on single-channel images as a mean gray value for the field of view. Colocalization analysis was performed with the Colocalization Threshold plugin with manual selection of the region of interest, containing the cell cytoplasm. Single z-plane images were used for calculating thresholded Mander's coefficient (tM).

Statistical analysis

For in vivo experiments, an indicated number n is the number of mice per group used in an experiment. For primary cell culture experiments, an indicated number n is the number of independent glial preparations (biological replicates) used for ELISA or qRT-PCR analysis. In case of colocalization studies in primary microglia, n is the number of individual cells quantified in a given experiment, and every experiment was replicated at least three times on independent microglial cultures. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software. Where applicable, outlier analysis was performed using ROUT test. Data variance was tested with GraphPad Prism and was similar for the compared groups. The details of statistical analysis are specified in the figure legends. No blinding was performed.

scRNA-seq data analysis

Initially, scRNA-seq pipeline was established to run analysis on Abdelfattach et al, dataset (Abdelfattah et al, 2022), but to verify obtained results, the pipeline was then adjusted to other scRNA/ snRNA-seq datasets (see below). From Abdelfattah et al, only newly diagnosed glioblastoma (ndGBM) samples were selected, consisting of 11 patients (6 males and 5 females), some of whom had multiple samples taken (Abdelfattah et al, 2022). Downstream analysis of the raw count matrices obtained from the GEO series GSE182109 was performed using the R package scTools (1.0) (https://github.com/ MateuszJakiel/scTools) with R version 4.2.0. A list of samples was created with scTools function scCreateRawSamples(), from which cells expressing at least 500 genes and genes expressed in at least 5 cells were selected. Then, using the scIntroQC() function the mitochondrial, ribosomal and red blood cell gene percentages were calculated. Only cells with mitochondrial read percentage <15%, ribosomal read percentage > 5% and red blood cell read percentage <0.5% were kept. Cells with gene counts that were less than 3000 were kept. These filtered samples were integrated using the scIntegrate() function. First, the SCTransform (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019) algorithm was applied on all samples. The most informative 3000 genes were selected to perform the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and the first 30 Principal Components were used for cell clustering and Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection algorithm (UMAP) applied (McInnes et al, 2020). Markers of obtained clusters were found with scMarkers() that utilizes Seurat's (Hao et al, 2021) FindAllMarkers() function, its criteria were: gene presence in at least 50% of cells, only positive fold change (FC) and the logFC threshold equal to 0.25. Expert biological analysis was used to manually annotate gliomaassociated microglia/macrophages cells (GAMs) that were used for further analysis. The integrated counts were extracted from the Seurat Object and were used to perform further calculations. To analyze SORL1 expression patterns in two independent datasets (ndGBMs from Abdelfattah et al, primary GBM form Neftel et al,) as well as to prepare normalized input matrix to CellChat tool, we used SCTransform method for gene expression normalization and variance stabilization (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019).

Natural Language Processing (NLP) methods were applied to cluster GAMs marker genes and retrieve sets of keywords to describe each cluster. Marker genes descriptions, gathered from various molecular databases provided by BiomaRt (Durinck et al, 2009) such as: NCBI, Gene Ontology, KEGG, Reactome, WikiPathways and Biocarta, were used. To perform this operation each gene was represented as the text document (combined from all available descriptions), and later, to calculate TF-IDF (term frequency-inverse document frequency), as a bag of words/terms. When each gene is represented by a TF-IDF vector it is possible to calculate cosine similarity between the documents and a hierarchical clustering model can be built based on these similarities. Finally, discovering a set of unique keywords that characterize each cluster provides a good functional, biological overview of input genes and groups that should be studied more closely.

The Spearman correlation of SORL1 expression with other selected genes was calculated using cor.test(); P values were adjusted using the FDR method with the threshold equal to 0.05. To detect more complex nonlinear relationships between SORL1 expression and other genes, the MCFS-ID algorithm from the rmcfs R package (Dramiński and Koronacki, 2018; Dramiński et al, 2008) was applied. SORL1 gene expression values were discretized by dividing the values of expression into three ranges: $\{(-3.1374856;$ -0.3428585], (-0.3428585; 0.8406330], (0.8406330, +inf)} i.e., "low", "medium", and "high" levels. This discretized SORL1 variable was used as the response in the decision table and all other gene counts were used as explanatory features resulting in 38,086 cells and 3000 genes. Next, 75% of cells from the input decision table were sampled to establish a training set, leaving the remaining cells for the validation set. The significant features set returned by MCFS-ID was obtained using the permutation method and verified its predictive quality on the validation set using the following set of classifiers: decision tree, logistic regression, random forest, Naive Bayes and Support Vector Machines. Finally, to show differential levels of gene expression, in the context of discretized values of SORL1, selected top genes from the MCFS-ID returned ranking were visualized on the heatmap using pheatmap R package (Kolde, 2012).

To perform inference, analysis, and visualization of cell-cell communication from single-cell and spatially resolved transcriptomics the R package CellChat (Jin et al, 2023) was used on 21 clusters from ndGBMs (Abdelfattah et al, 2022). These clusters were grouped into seven groups: GAMs [1, 2, 3, 6, 10, 13, 16], lymphocytes [5, 17, 19], tumor cells [0, 7, 8, 9, 15], smooth muscle cells [11], endothelial cells [14], oligodendrocytes [12], and other cells [4, 18, 20]. Moreover, the GAMs group was split into three subgroups based on the corresponding *SORL1* gene expression values: GAMs_low [0; 0.5], GAMs_med (0.5; 1.5], GAMs_hi (1.5, 3] and these bins were based on the result of the *SORL1* histogram (Appendix Fig. S1C).

Other datasets analyzed in this study were GSE163120 (Pombo Antunes et al, 2021), GSE141383 (Chen et al, 2021), GSE131928 (Neftel et al, 2019), GSE135437 (Sankowski et al, 2019), and GSE174554 (Wang et al, 2022b). Data processing and analysis were performed according to the pipeline described above, where relevant.

Data availability

The source code related to the paper analysis is located in a GitHub repository: https://github.com/mdraminski/expressionLevelsSorLA; https://github.com/MateuszJakiel/scTools; https://cran.r-project. org/web/packages/rmcfs/. Related datasets are provided as

Datasets EV1-6. No primary datasets have been generated and deposited. The source data related to Fig. 1A are deposited in the BioStudies database (S-BSST1327).

Expanded view data, supplementary information, appendices are available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00117-6.

Peer review information

A peer review file is available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s44319-024-00117-6

References

- Abdelfattah N, Kumar P, Wang C, Leu J-S, Flynn WF, Gao R, Baskin DS, Pichumani K, Ijare OB, Wood SL et al (2022) Single-cell analysis of human glioma and immune cells identifies S100A4 as an immunotherapy target. Nat Commun 13:767
- Andersen OM, Reiche J, Schmidt V, Gotthardt M, Spoelgen R, Behlke J, von Arnim CAF, Breiderhoff T, Jansen P, Wu X et al (2005) Neuronal sorting proteinrelated receptor sorLA/LR11 regulates processing of the amyloid precursor protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:13461–13466
- Bui TM, Wiesolek HL, Sumagin R (2020) ICAM-1: a master regulator of cellular responses in inflammation, injury resolution, and tumorigenesis. J Leukoc Biol 108:787-799
- Caglayan S, Takagi-Niidome S, Liao F, Carlo A-S, Schmidt V, Burgert T, Kitago Y, Füchtbauer E-M, Füchtbauer A, Holtzman DM et al (2014) Lysosomal sorting of amyloid-β by the SORLA receptor is impaired by a familial Alzheimer's disease mutation. Sci Transl Med 6:223ra20
- Cavalheiro VJ, Campos ACP, Lima LGCA, Roça CT, Docema MFL, Lancellotti CLP, Martinez RCR, Pagano RL, Chammas R, Teixeira MJ et al (2023) Unraveling the peripheral and local role of inflammatory cytokines in glioblastoma survival. Cytokine 161:156059
- Chen AX, Gartrell RD, Zhao J, Upadhyayula PS, Zhao W, Yuan J, Minns HE, Dovas A, Bruce JN, Lasorella A et al (2021) Single-cell characterization of macrophages in glioblastoma reveals MARCO as a mesenchymal pro-tumor marker. Genome Med 13:88
- Chen Q, Han B, Meng X, Duan C, Yang C, Wu Z, Magafurov D, Zhao S, Safin S, Jiang C et al (2019) Immunogenomic analysis reveals LGALS1 contributes to the immune heterogeneity and immunosuppression in glioma. Int J Cancer 145:517-530
- Colonna M, Butovsky O (2017) Microglia function in the central nervous system during health and neurodegeneration. Annu Rev Immunol 35:441-468
- De Boeck A, Ahn BY, D'Mello C, Lun X, Menon SV, Alshehri MM, Szulzewsky F, Shen Y, Khan L, Dang NH et al (2020) Glioma-derived IL-33 orchestrates an inflammatory brain tumor microenvironment that accelerates glioma progression. Nat Commun 11:4997
- Deczkowska A, Matcovitch-Natan O, Tsitsou-Kampeli A, Ben-Hamo S, Dvir-Szternfeld R, Spinrad A, Singer O, David E, Winter DR, Smith LK et al (2017) Mef2C restrains microglial inflammatory response and is lost in brain ageing in an IFN-I-dependent manner. Nat Commun 8:717
- Dramiński M, Koronacki J (2018) rmcfs: an R package for Monte Carlo feature selection and interdependency discovery. J Stat Softw 85:1-28
- Dramiński M, Rada-Iglesias A, Enroth S, Wadelius C, Koronacki J, Komorowski J (2008) Monte Carlo feature selection for supervised classification. Bioinformatics 24:110–117
- Dumas AA, Pomella N, Rosser G, Guglielmi L, Vinel C, Millner TO, Rees J, Aley N, Sheer D, Wei J et al (2020) Microglia promote glioblastoma via mTOR-

mediated immunosuppression of the tumour microenvironment. EMBO J 39:e103790

- Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W (2009) Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nat Protoc 4:1184-1191
- Ellert-Miklaszewska A, Wisniewski P, Kijewska M, Gajdanowicz P, Pszczolkowska D, Przanowski P, Dabrowski M, Maleszewska M, Kaminska B (2016) Tumourprocessed osteopontin and lactadherin drive the protumorigenic
- reprogramming of microglia and glioma progression. Oncogene 35:6366-6377 Finisguerra V, Di Conza G, Di Matteo M, Serneels J, Costa S, Thompson AAR, Wauters E, Walmsley S, Prenen H, Granot Z et al (2015) MET is required for the recruitment of anti-tumoural neutrophils. Nature 522:349-353
- Franco-Bocanegra DK, Gourari Y, McAuley C, Chatelet DS, Johnston DA, Nicoll JAR, Boche D (2021) Microglial morphology in Alzheimer's disease and after Aβ immunotherapy. Sci Rep 11:15955
- Friedmann-Morvinski D, Hambardzumyan D (2023) Monocyte-neutrophil entanglement in glioblastoma. J Clin Investig 133:e163451
- Gabrusiewicz K, Rodriguez B, Wei J, Hashimoto Y, Healy LM, Maiti SN, Thomas G, Zhou S, Wang Q, Elakkad A et al (2016) Glioblastoma-infiltrated innate immune cells resemble MO macrophage phenotype. JCI Insight 1:e85841
- García-Cazorla A, Oyarzábal A, Saudubray J-M, Martinelli D, Dionisi-Vici C (2022) Genetic disorders of cellular trafficking. Trends Genet 38:724-751
- Gieryng A, Pszczolkowska D, Bocian K, Dabrowski M, Rajan WD, Kloss M, Mieczkowski J, Kaminska B (2017) Immune microenvironment of experimental rat C6 gliomas resembles human glioblastomas. Sci Rep 7:17556
- Granot Z, Henke E, Comen EA, King TA, Norton L, Benezra R (2011) Tumor entrained neutrophils inhibit seeding in the premetastatic lung. Cancer Cell 20:300–314
- Hafemeister C, Satija R (2019) Normalization and variance stabilization of singlecell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. Genome Biol 20:296
- Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM, Zheng S, Butler A, Lee MJ, Wilk AJ, Darby C, Zager M et al (2021) Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell 184:3573-3587.e29
- Huang Y, Rajappa P, Hu W, Hoffman C, Cisse B, Kim J-H, Gorge E, Yanowitch R, Cope W, Vartanian E et al (2017) A proangiogenic signaling axis in myeloid cells promotes malignant progression of glioma. J Clin Investig 127:1826-1838
- Jin S, Plikus MV, Nie Q (2023) CellChat for systematic analysis of cell-cell communication from single-cell and spatially resolved transcriptomics. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.05.565674
- Josephs SF, Ichim TE, Prince SM, Kesari S, Marincola FM, Escobedo AR, Jafri A (2018) Unleashing endogenous TNF-alpha as a cancer immunotherapeutic. J Transl Med 16:242
- Kaminska P, Cyranowski S, Pilanc P, Malik AR (2024) Syngeneic mouse model of glioblastoma: intracranial implantation of GL261 cells. In: Dworkin S (ed) *Neurobiology: methods and protocols.* New York, NY: Springer USA, pp 135–146
- Khantakova D, Brioschi S, Molgora M (2022) Exploring the impact of TREM2 in tumor-associated macrophages. Vaccines 10:943
- Kiss T, Mir Y, Stefancsik G, Ganbat G, Askarova A, Monostori E, Dulka K, Szebeni GJ, Nyúl-Tóth Á, Csiszár A et al (2023) Galectin-1 as a marker for microglia activation in the aging brain. Brain Res 1818:148517
- Kolde R (2012) 'Pheatmap: pretty heatmaps.' R package version 1.2: 726.
- Kvisten M, Mikkelsen VE, Stensjøen AL, Solheim O, van der Want J, Torp SH (2019) Microglia and macrophages in human glioblastomas: a morphological and immunohistochemical study. Mol Clin Oncol 11:31-36
- Lauro C, Limatola C (2020) Metabolic reprograming of microglia in the regulation of the innate inflammatory response. Front Immunol 11:493
- Lin Y-J, Wei K-C, Chen P-Y, Lim M, Hwang T-L (2021) Roles of neutrophils in glioma and brain metastases. Front Immunol 12:701383

- López-Lago MA, Posner S, Thodima VJ, Molina AM, Motzer RJ, Chaganti RSK (2013) Neutrophil chemokines secreted by tumor cells mount a lung antimetastatic response during renal cell carcinoma progression. Oncogene 32:1752-1760
- Maas RR, Soukup K, Fournier N, Massara M, Galland S, Kornete M, Wischnewski V, Lourenco J, Croci D, Álvarez-Prado ÁF et al (2023) The local microenvironment drives activation of neutrophils in human brain tumors. Cell 186:4546-4566.e27
- Magod P, Mastandrea I, Rousso-Noori L, Agemy L, Shapira G, Shomron N, Friedmann-Morvinski D (2021) Exploring the longitudinal glioma microenvironment landscape uncovers reprogrammed pro-tumorigenic neutrophils in the bone marrow. Cell Rep 36:109480
- Malik AR, Lips J, Gorniak-Walas M, Broekaart DWM, Asaro A, Kuffner MTC, Hoffmann CJ, Kikhia M, Dopatka M, Boehm-Sturm P et al (2020) SorCS2 facilitates release of endostatin from astrocytes and controls post-stroke angiogenesis. Glia 68:1304-1316
- Malik AR, Willnow TE (2020) VPS10P domain receptors: sorting out brain health and disease. Trends Neurosci 43:870-885
- Manderson AP, Kay JG, Hammond LA, Brown DL, Stow JL (2007) Subcompartments of the macrophage recycling endosome direct the differential secretion of IL-6 and TNFalpha. J Cell Biol 178:57–69
- Masuda T, Sankowski R, Staszewski O, Böttcher C, Amann L, Sagar, Scheiwe C, Nessler S, Kunz P, van Loo G et al (2019) Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of mouse and human microglia at single-cell resolution. Nature 566:388-392
- McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J (2020) UMAP: uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. Preprint at https://doi.org/ 10.48550/arXiv.1802.03426
- McQuade A, Coburn M, Tu CH, Hasselmann J, Davtyan H, Blurton-Jones M (2018) Development and validation of a simplified method to generate human microglia from pluripotent stem cells. Mol Neurodegener 13:67
- Mehmedbasic A, Christensen SK, Nilsson J, Rüetschi U, Gustafsen C, Poulsen ASA, Rasmussen RW, Fjorback AN, Larson G, Andersen OM (2015) SorLA complement-type repeat domains protect the amyloid precursor protein against processing. J Biol Chem 290:3359-3376
- Montfort A, Colacios C, Levade T, Andrieu-Abadie N, Meyer N, Ségui B (2019) The TNF paradox in cancer progression and immunotherapy. Front Immunol 10:1818
- Morrison H, Young K, Qureshi M, Rowe RK, Lifshitz J (2017) Quantitative microglia analyses reveal diverse morphologic responses in the rat cortex after diffuse brain injury. Sci Rep 7:13211
- Murray RZ, Wylie FG, Khromykh T, Hume DA, Stow JL (2005) Syntaxin 6 and Vti1b form a novel SNARE complex, which is up-regulated in activated macrophages to facilitate exocytosis of tumor necrosis factor- α *. J Biol Chem 280:10478-10483
- Neftel C, Laffy J, Filbin MG, Hara T, Shore ME, Rahme GJ, Richman AR, Silverbush D, Shaw ML, Hebert CM et al (2019) An integrative model of cellular states, plasticity, and genetics for glioblastoma. Cell 178:835-849.e21
- Nott A, Holtman IR, Coufal NG, Schlachetzki JCM, Yu M, Hu R, Han CZ, Pena M, Xiao J, Wu Y et al (2019) Brain cell type-specific enhancerpromoter interactome maps and disease-risk association. Science 366:1134-1139
- Ochocka N, Segit P, Walentynowicz KA, Wojnicki K, Cyranowski S, Swatler J, Mieczkowski J, Kaminska B (2021) Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals functional heterogeneity of glioma-associated brain macrophages. Nat Commun 12:1151
- O'Connell PA, Surette AP, Liwski RS, Svenningsson P, Waisman DM (2010) S100A10 regulates plasminogen-dependent macrophage invasion. Blood 116:1136-1146

- Olah M, Patrick E, Villani A-C, Xu J, White CC, Ryan KJ, Piehowski P, Kapasi A, Nejad P, Cimpean M et al (2018) A transcriptomic atlas of aged human microglia. Nat Commun 9:539
- Ostrom QT, Price M, Neff C, Cioffi G, Waite KA, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS (2022) CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2015-2019. Neuro-Oncol 24:v1-v95
- Peterson JM, Feeback KD, Baas JH, Pizza FX (2006) Tumor necrosis factor- α promotes the accumulation of neutrophils and macrophages in skeletal muscle. J Appl Physiol 101:1394-1399
- Pham K, Luo D, Liu C, Harrison JK (2012) CCL5, CCR1 and CCR5 in murine glioblastoma: immune cell infiltration and survival rates are not dependent on individual expression of either CCR1 or CCR5. J Neuroimmunol 246:10-17
- Platten M, Kretz A, Naumann U, Aulwurm S, Egashira K, Isenmann S, Weller M (2003) Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 increases microglial infiltration and aggressiveness of gliomas. Ann Neurol 54:388–392
- Pombo Antunes AR, Scheyltjens I, Lodi F, Messiaen J, Antoranz A, Duerinck J, Kancheva D, Martens L, De Vlaminck K, Van Hove H et al (2021) Single-cell profiling of myeloid cells in glioblastoma across species and disease stage reveals macrophage competition and specialization. Nat Neurosci 24:595-610
- Prickett TD, Samuels Y (2012) Molecular pathways: dysregulated glutamatergic signaling pathways in cancer. Clin Cancer Res 18:4240-4246
- Prinz M, Jung S, Priller J (2019) Microglia biology: one century of evolving concepts. Cell 179:292-311
- Przanowski P, Loska S, Cysewski D, Dabrowski M, Kaminska B (2018) ISG'ylation increases stability of numerous proteins including Stat1, which prevents premature termination of immune response in LPS-stimulated microglia. Neurochem Int 112:227-233
- Pukrop T, Dehghani F, Chuang H-N, Lohaus R, Bayanga K, Heermann S, Regen T, Rossum DV, Klemm F, Schulz M et al (2010) Microglia promote colonization of brain tissue by breast cancer cells in a Wnt-dependent way. Glia 58:1477-1489
- Pukrop T, Klemm F, Hagemann TH, Gradl D, Schulz M, Siemes S, Trümper L, Binder C (2006) Wnt 5a signaling is critical for macrophage-induced invasion of breast cancer cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:5454-5459
- Sankowski, Böttcher R, Masuda C, Geirsdottir T, Sagar L, Sindram E, Seredenina T, Muhs A, Scheiwe C, Shah MJ et al (2019) Mapping microglia states in the human brain through the integration of high-dimensional techniques. Nat Neurosci 22:2098-2110
- Schenkel AR, Mamdouh Z, Muller WA (2004) Locomotion of monocytes on endothelium is a critical step during extravasation. Nat Immunol 5:393-400
- Schmidt V, Schulz N, Yan X, Schürmann A, Kempa S, Kern M, Blüher M, Poy MN, Olivecrona G, Willnow TE (2016) SORLA facilitates insulin receptor signaling in adipocytes and exacerbates obesity. J Clin Investig 126:2706-2720
- Schmidt V, Sporbert A, Rohe M, Reimer T, Rehm A, Andersen OM, Willnow TE (2007) SorLA/LR11 regulates processing of amyloid precursor protein via interaction with adaptors GGA and PACS-1. J Biol Chem 282:32956-32964
- Schmidt V, Subkhangulova A, Willnow TE (2017) Sorting receptor SORLA: cellular mechanisms and implications for disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 74:1475-1483
- Schöneberg T, Meister J, Knierim AB, Schulz A (2018) The G protein-coupled receptor GPR34 The past 20 years of a grownup. Pharmacol Ther 189:71-88
- Swiech L, Blazejczyk M, Urbanska M, Pietruszka P, Dortland BR, Malik AR, Wulf PS, Hoogenraad CC, Jaworski J (2011) CLIP-170 and IQGAP1 Cooperatively Regulate Dendrite Morphology. J Neurosci 31:4555-4568
- Szulzewsky F, Pelz A, Feng X, Synowitz M, Markovic D, Langmann T, Holtman IR, Wang X, Eggen BJL, Boddeke HWGM et al (2015) Glioma-associated microglia/macrophages display an expression profile different from M1 and M2 polarization and highly express Gpnmb and Spp1. PLoS ONE 10:e0116644

- Villeneuve J, Tremblay P, Vallières L (2005) Tumor necrosis factor reduces brain tumor growth by enhancing macrophage recruitment and microcyst formation. Cancer Res 65:3928-3936
- Wang G, Wang J, Niu C, Zhao Y, Wu P (2022a) Neutrophils: new critical regulators of glioma. Front Immunol 13:927233
- Wang L, Jung J, Babikir H, Shamardani K, Jain S, Feng X, Gupta N, Rosi S, Chang S, Raleigh D et al (2022b) A single-cell atlas of glioblastoma evolution under therapy reveals cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic therapeutic targets. Nat Cancer 3:1534-1552
- Wang S-C, Hong J-H, Hsueh C, Chiang C-S (2012) Tumor-secreted SDF-1 promotes glioma invasiveness and TAM tropism toward hypoxia in a murine astrocytoma model. Lab Investig J Tech Methods Pathol 92:151–162
- Webster JD, Vucic D (2020) The balance of TNF mediated pathways regulates inflammatory cell death signaling in healthy and diseased tissues. Front Cell Dev Biol 8:365
- Yang L, Froio RM, Sciuto TE, Dvorak AM, Alon R, Luscinskas FW (2005) ICAM-1 regulates neutrophil adhesion and transcellular migration of TNF- α -activated vascular endothelium under flow. Blood 106:584-592
- Yarwood R, Hellicar J, Woodman PG, Lowe M (2020) Membrane trafficking in health and disease. Dis Model Mech 13:dmm043448
- Yee PP, Wei Y, Kim S-Y, Lu T, Chih SY, Lawson C, Tang M, Liu Z, Anderson B, Thamburaj K et al (2020) Neutrophil-induced ferroptosis promotes tumor necrosis in glioblastoma progression. Nat Commun 11:5424
- Zeller T, Münnich IA, Windisch R, Hilger P, Schewe DM, Humpe A, Kellner C (2023) Perspectives of targeting LILRB1 in innate and adaptive immune checkpoint therapy of cancer. Front Immunol 14:1240275
- Zhang L, Alizadeh D, Van Handel M, Kortylewski M, Yu H, Badie B (2009) Stat3 inhibition activates tumor macrophages and abrogates glioma growth in mice. Glia 57:1458-1467

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the Stem Cell Facility of the MDC for the generation of the *SORL1*-deficient iPSC line and for providing microglia differentiation protocols. We thank Tatjana Pasternack and Beata Kaza for expert technical assistance. Part of the confocal imaging and 3D reconstructions were performed at the Polish Euro-Biolmaging Node 'Advanced Light Microscopy Node Poland'. This work was supported by the project financed by the Minister of Education and Science based on contract No 2022/WK/05, by the Foundation for Polish Science co-financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund (Homing program, POIR.04.04.00 00 5CEF/18 00; to ARM), by the National Science Centre (OPUS program, 2020/37/B/NZ3/00761, to ARM; Preludium program, 2023/49/N/NZ4/01690, to PK), and by the I.3.4 Action of the Excellence Initiative—Research University Programme at the University of Warsaw (to ARM).

Author contributions

Paulina Kaminska: Funding acquisition; Investigation; Visualization; Methodology; Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing. Peter L Ovesen: Investigation; Visualization; Methodology. Mateusz Jakiel: Software; Formal analysis; Investigation. Tomasz Obrebski: Investigation. Vanessa Schmidt: Resources; Supervision; Investigation; Methodology. Michal Draminski: Software; Formal analysis; Investigation; Visualization; Methodology. Aleksandra G Bilska: Formal analysis; Investigation. Magdalena Bieniek: Investigation. Jasper Anink: Investigation. Bohdan Paterczyk: Investigation. Olav M Andersen: Resources; Supervision. Eleonora Aronica: Supervision. Thomas E Willnow: Resources; Supervision. Bozena Kaminska: Supervision. Michal J Dabrowski: Software; Formal analysis; Supervision; Investigation; Visualization; Methodology. Anna R Malik: Conceptualization; Supervision; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Visualization; Methodology; Writing—original draft; Project administration; Writing—review and editing.

Disclosure and competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/ applies to the data associated with this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data, but does not extend to the graphical or creative elements of illustrations, charts, or figures. This waiver removes legal barriers to the re-use and mining of research data. According to standard scholarly practice, it is recommended to provide appropriate citation and attribution whenever technically possible.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s) 2024

Expanded View Figures

Figure EV1. SORL1 is expressed in GAMs.

(A) UMAP projection of single cells from human ndGBM tumors described in Abdelfattah et al, grouped in 21 clusters. Clusters identified as GAMs are indicated with purple dashed line. (B, C) UMAP projections presenting expression of *SORL1* (B) and GAMs marker genes (C) in all clusters as exemplified in (A). Expression levels are normalized with SCT. (D) UMAP projection of single cells from human GBM tumors described in Neftel et al, grouped in 21 clusters. Cluster identified as GAMs is indicated with purple dashed line. (E, F) UMAP projections presenting expression of *SORL1* (E) and GAMs marker genes (F) in all clusters as exemplified in (D). Expression levels are normalized with SCT.





Figure EV2. Interaction networks between cell populations in newly diagnosed GBM tumors.

(A) Network plots showing strength of ligand-receptor interactions between cell populations. The line width is proportional to the number of ligand-receptor pairs identified. (B) Chord diagrams indicating selected ligand-receptor pairs mediating interaction between cell populations. Width of chords is proportional to signal strength of the given ligand-receptor pair.



Figure EV3. SorLA deficiency has no major impact on microglial cytokine secretion.

Cytokine levels as determined by ELISA in cell culture medium from primary WT and SorLA-KO microglia either untreated (ctrl) or treated with PMA for 24 h. Cytokine levels were normalized to the protein content in the respective cell lysates. n = 6 biological replicates. Data information: data are presented as mean ± SEM. ns not significant; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P <





(A) Schematic representation of SorLA structure and the mutant proteins used in this study. (B) Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) of SorLA deletion mutants with TNFα-GFP overexpressed in HEK293 cells after GFP-IP. GFP serves as a negative control. SorLA was detected using an antibody raised against its C-terminus. (C) Quantification of the results of 6 biological replicates as exemplified in (B). Ratio of co-IP and input signals (IP/IN) was calculated for each transfection variant. Data information: (C) Data are presented as mean ± SEM. ns not significant in one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test, comparing to full-length SorLA.