SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1: Overlap of pysster and DeepRiPe models with proteins from external sources

		pysster		Deep	BiPe					Overlaps	with pro	teins fro	m exter	nal sour	ces		
RBP	auROC	auPRC	medSCC	auROC	AP	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	SIGNOR [*]
AATE	0.92	0.66	0.1				x	1-1			1-1		1-1				
ACCE1	0.91	0.71	0.17									x			x15		
AGOI	0.01	0.11	0.11	0.79	0.32					x4							
AGO2				0.15	0.52					A							
AGO2				0.85	0.3												
AGOS	0.00	0.0	0.01	0.87	0.49		v					v					
ACR	0.89	0.0	0.21						v								
AQR	0.93	0.7	0.22						А								
CAPRIN1				0.76	0.22					x ²³⁴					x ¹⁴¹⁶	x ¹⁸	Innate response to dsRNA, ER stress, Stress granules
CNBP	0.94	0.72	0.33					х		x ²³⁴				x ⁸			
CPSF1				0.77	0.23			х							x ¹⁵¹⁶		
CPSF6	0.89	0.61	0.18	0.79	0.26												
CPSF7				0.79	0.54								x ⁶		x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁸	
CSTF2	0.93	0.81	0.14	0.82	0.3											x ¹⁷¹⁸	
CSTF2T	0.92	0.6	0.19	0.84	0.66												
DDX3X	0.96	0.78	0.32							x ²³		x		x ¹⁰	x ¹⁵		
DDX59	0.89	0.67	0.16							x ⁴							
DKC1	0.96	0.89	0.21									x			x ¹⁵¹⁶		
DND1				0.82	0.46												
EIF4G2	0.95	0.78	0.31					x		x ⁴					x ¹⁵¹⁶		
ELAVL1		-		0.9	0.73			x			x		x ⁶⁷	x ⁸	x ¹⁵¹⁶		
ELAVL2				0.93	0.61						1				1		<u> </u>
ELAVL3				0.94	0.72						1	1			1		+
ELAVL4				0.93	0.58	1									+		
EWSB1	0.93	0.62	0.22	0.85	0.2				x1					x9	x1216	x18	
FAM120A	0.92	0.62	0.24	0.00	0.2						x			x ⁸	x1516	x1718	
FIP1L1	0.32	0.02	0.24	0.8	0.3					x4	~				x1516	A.	
FKBB4	0.02	0.65	0.18	0.0	0.0					A			×7		v1416		Vinus ontru
FMD1	0.93	0.03	0.18							v 4		v	л	v10			Virus entry
FINIT	0.94	0.67	0.13											~			
FIU	0.92	0.03	0.27							v23				v 8			
FUBP3	0.95	0.8	0.14	0.00	0.00	v				A -				 8			
FARI	0.92	0.0	0.20	0.80	0.20	N								x10			
FAR2	0.94	0.67	0.23	0.8	0.18	л								A			T (
G3BP1	0.93	0.64	0.31			х	x			x ²³⁴				x ⁸	x ¹¹¹⁵¹⁶		dsRNA, Inflamma- tion, ER stress, Cytokine Storm
GPKOW	0.92	0.71	0.16					х									
GRSF1	0.93	0.71	0.18						x	x4							
GTF2F1	0.94	0.71	0.29							x4					x ¹⁵	x ¹⁷	
HNRNPA1	0.94	0.74	0.11							x ²³	х				x ¹⁵	x^{1718}	
HNRNPC	0.97	0.83	0.15								х		x ⁶⁷		x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁸	
HNRNPD				0.94	0.47								x ⁷	x ¹⁰	x ¹⁵¹⁶		
HNRNPK	0.98	0.87	0.3								х		x ⁶⁷		x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁷	
HNRNPL	0.97	0.86	0.31							x ²³	х		x ⁶	x ¹⁰			
HNRNPM	0.95	0.74	0.22					x			х	x	x ⁷	x ⁹			
IGF2BP1	0.91	0.66	0.12	0.83	0.19					x ²³	х	x	x ⁶		x ¹⁴¹⁶	x ¹⁷	
IGF2BP2	0.91	0.65	0.13	0.84	0.29				1	x ²	1	x		x ⁸	1	x ¹⁷	
IGF2BP3	0.88	0.56	0.08	0.84	0.42						x	x		x ⁸	x ¹⁴	x ¹⁷¹⁸	
ILF3	0.93	0.74	0.15							x ⁴			x ⁷	x ⁹	x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁷	
KHDRBS1	0.97	0.86	0.18								x			x ⁹	x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁷¹⁸	Innate response to dsRNA, Inflam- mation, MAPK activation, Stress granules, Cytokine Storm
KHSRP	0.9	0.65	0.15								x	x			x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁷¹⁸	Apoptosis, Fi- brosis, Innate re- sponse to dsRNA, Virus entry, In- flammation, ER stress, MAPK activation, Stress granules, Cytokine Storm
L1RE1				0.96	0.59		x				х						
MATR3	0.94	0.7	0.23					x		x ⁴	x			x ⁸	x ¹⁵¹⁶		
MBNL1				0.98	0.94										x ¹⁶		
NCBP2	0.93	0.71	0.24														
NIP7	0.92	0.69	0.15										x ⁷				
NONO	0.92	0.6	0.15	0.93	0.38			х					x ⁷	x ⁸⁹	x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁷	

NOP56				0.92	0.69								x ¹⁵¹⁶	X ¹⁷¹⁸	
NOP58				0.93	0.68			x4							
ORF1															
PCBP1	0.93	0.67	0.16									x ¹⁰	x ¹⁵¹⁶		
PCBP2	0.96	0.79	0.3				x	x ²³					x ¹⁵		Fibrosis, Innate re- sponse to dsRNA, Virus entry, In- flammation, ER stress, MAPK activation, Stress granules, Cytokine Storm
PRPF8	0.95	0.74	0.33										x ¹⁴		
PTBP1	0.94	0.8	0.37						х		x ⁶⁷	x ⁸	x ¹⁵¹⁶	x ¹⁷¹⁸	
PUM2	0.95	0.8	0.16	0.95	0.72							x ¹⁰	x ¹⁵¹⁶		
QKI	0.97	0.87	0.31	0.97	0.64									x ¹⁷¹⁸	
RBFOX2	0.96	0.8	0.24										x ¹⁵¹⁶		
RBM20				0.91	0.59										
RBM22	0.91	0.72	0.2											x ¹⁷¹⁸	
RBPMS				0.97	0.78								x ¹³		
RPS3	0.94	0.63	0.28					X ²³⁴				x ¹⁰	X ¹²¹⁴¹⁵	16	
SAFB2	0.93	0.7	0.11									x9	x ¹⁵	x ¹⁸	
SF3A3	0.96	0.84	0.23												
SF3B4	0.98	0.88	0.26			х	х								
SND1	0.95	0.75	0.21					x ²³⁴			x ⁵	X8	X ¹⁵	X ¹⁷¹⁸	
SRRM4				0.8	0.31										
SRSF1	0.94	0.7	0.28						х		X ⁶⁷		X ¹⁵¹⁶		
SRSF7	0.92	0.69	0.17				х	x4	х				X ¹⁶		
SRSF9	0.92	0.65	0.18										x141516	i	
SUGP2	0.9	0.63	0.15					X ⁴							
TAF15	0.93	0.7	0.17	0.88	0.28								x ¹⁵		
TARDBP	0.98	0.92	0.28	0.95	0.73		x		x					x ¹⁸	Apoptosis, Fi- brosis, Innate re- sponse to dsRNA, Virus entry, In- flammation, ER stress, MAPK activation, Stress granules, Cytokine Storm
TIAL1	0.95	0.8	0.13					x ⁴				x ¹⁰		x ¹⁷¹⁸	
TRA2A	0.96	0.8	0.29										x ¹⁴¹⁵¹⁶	5	
U2AF2	0.95	0.77	0.15								x ⁶⁷			x ¹⁷¹⁸	
XRN2	0.93	0.64	0.18										x ¹⁵		
YBX3	0.92	0.71	0.1					x ²				X ⁸			
ZC3H7B				0.87	0.37										
ZFP36				0.93	0.46			X ⁴					X ¹⁵¹⁶		
ZNF800	0.93	0.62	0.25					x ⁴		x			x ¹⁵		
ZRANB2	0.9	0.64	0.1					x4							

¹ also included in the PPI network

 $^2\,$ SARS-CoV-2 RNA interacting proteins

3 proteins included in the PPI network (network based on STRING v.11 interactions between human proteins in the expanded SARS-CoV-2 RNA interactome)

 $\stackrel{4}{}$ differentially expressed proteins (SARS-CoV-2 infected and uninfected Huh7 cells)

 5 proteins that were reduced during SARS-CoV-2 infection

 6 proteins that increased during SARS-CoV-2 infection

 $^{7}\,$ additional potential inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 replication

 8 statistically significant interactors enriched in both probe I and probe II experiment

 9 statistically significant interactors enriched in only probe I experiment

 10 statistically significant interactors enriched in only probe II experiment

 11 proteins included in virus-host PPI network of SARS-CoV-2 in A549 cells

 12 transcripts that significantly change upon SARS-CoV-2 infection

 13 proteins that significantly change upon SARS-CoV-2 infection

 14 ubiquitination site significantly changes upon SARS-CoV-2 infection

 15 phosphorylation site significantly changes upon SARS-CoV-2 infection

 16 included in results of enrichment analysis

 17 RBPs predicted to bind the 5'UTR of SARS-CoV-2

 18 RBPs predicted to bind the 3'UTR of SARS-CoV-2

* empirical p-value < 0.05



Supplementary Table 2: Correlation coefficient from genome-wide SARS-CoV-2 prediction scores between pysster models and pre-trained DeepRiPe models trained on matched ENCODE eCLIP experiments. The average correlation across RBPs was 0.32, indicative of highly correlated predictions between the two tools. This is especially true for RBPs with known sequence motifs, such as QKI (0.71), RBFOX2 (0.56) and PUM2 (0.59).

	RBP_CELL	PCC	RBP_CELL	PCC	RBP_CELL	PCC
1	QKI_HepG2	0.71	KHDRBS1_K562	0.44	AQR_HepG2	0.19
2	$\mathrm{KHSRP}_{\mathrm{Hep}}\mathrm{G2}$	0.60	FXR1_K562	0.42	NONO_K562	0.17
3	PUM2_K562	0.59	$SRSF1_HepG2$	0.41	NCBP2_HepG2	0.14
4	$ZNF800_HepG2$	0.58	SF3A3_HepG2	0.40	$EWSR1_K562$	0.13
5	$HNRNPL_HepG2$	0.57	$U2AF2_HepG2$	0.37	$\rm FAM120A_HepG2$	0.13
6	$RBFOX2_HepG2$	0.56	$SUGP2_HepG2$	0.36	$PCBP2_HepG2$	0.13
7	$FXR2_HepG2$	0.55	$\rm SF3B4_HepG2$	0.36	$ILF3_HepG2$	0.13
8	FUBP3_HepG2	0.55	SAFB2_K562	0.35	$AGGF1_HepG2$	0.10
9	RPS3_HepG2	0.54	TIAL1_HepG2	0.33	$XRN2_HepG2$	0.09
10	TARDBP_K562	0.54	HNRNPC_HepG2	0.33	$GTF2F1_HepG2$	0.09
11	HNRNPM_HepG2	0.53	PTBP1_HepG2	0.33	$DKC1_HepG2$	0.07
12	$MATR3_HepG2$	0.53	$RBM22_HepG2$	0.32	$GRSF1_HepG2$	0.06
13	$CSTF2_HepG2$	0.51	$FMR1_K562$	0.32	$CSTF2T_HepG2$	0.03
14	G3BP1_HepG2	0.51	PRPF8_HepG2	0.31	HNRNPK_HepG2	0.02
15	$SND1_HepG2$	0.49	$IGF2BP2_K562$	0.28	$DDX59_HepG2$	0.01
16	$DDX3X_HepG2$	0.46	GPKOW_K562	0.27	$EIF4G2_K562$	-0.02
17	YBX3_HepG2	0.45	AATF_K562	0.22	$AKAP8L_K562$	-0.04
18	$IGF2BP1_HepG2$	0.45	$\rm FKBP4_HepG2$	0.19		

RRP	cor of	cor of	# common
	pred score	p-value	binding sites
TARDBP	0.640832	0.40309	6
CSTF2	0.459011	0.21621	6
IGF2BP1	0.387823	0.39983	9
PUM2	0.383309	0.35263	10
CSTF2T	0.331395	0.22239	4
QKI	0.279760	0.14371	5
IGF2BP2	0.171838	0.21092	7
IGF2BP3	0.073798	0.05951	5
CPSF6	0.153344	0.26078	2
FXR1	0.012354	0.14136	8
FXR2	0.080191	0.19433	5
EWSR1	0.009787	0.06610	0

Supplementary Table 3: Comparison of high quality pysster and DeepRiPe models

Supplementary Table 4: Tested significance on pysster ENCODE models, DeepRiPe models and pysster model trained on CNBP

	Model	RBP	p-value	FDR	Num. bind- ing sites	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Mean} \\ H_0 \end{array}$,Std., H_0	Model	RBP	p-value	FDR	Num. bind- ing sites	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Mean} \\ H_0 \end{array}$,Std., H_0
1	AATF_K562	AATF	0.92	1.00	22	30.18	6.45	IGF2BP3_HEK293	IGF2BP3	0.64	0.99	23	24.09	4.71
2	$AGGF1_HepG2$	AGGF1	0.01	0.03	35	9.89	3.36	ILF3_HepG2	ILF3	0.59	0.97	9	9.84	4.42
3	AGO1_HEK293	AGO1	0.38	0.69	22	20.27	4.63	KHDRBS1_K562	KHDRBS1	0.99	1.00	10	17.85	4.68
4	AGO2_HEK293	AGO2	0.14	0.30	18	12.81	4.19	$\rm KHSRP_HepG2$	KHSRP	0.79	1.00	16	19.08	4.54
5	AGO3_HEK293	AGO3	0.31	0.57	20	17.63	4.48	L1RE1_HEK293	L1RE1	0.70	1.00	13	15.60	4.69
6	AKAP8L_K562	AKAP8L	0.04	0.10	10	4.47	2.12	MATR3_HepG2	MATR3	0.03	0.08	16	7.54	3.14
7	AQR_HepG2	AQR	0.07	0.16	30	19.95	5.84	MBNL1_HEK293	MBNL1	0.01	0.03	19	10.45	3.51
8	CAPRIN1_HEK293	CAPRIN1	0.96	1.00	19	27.12	4.86	NCBP2_HepG2	NCBP2	0.71	1.00	9	10.41	3.28
9	CNBP_HuH7	CNBP	0.01	0.03	8	1.60	1.15	NIP7_HepG2	NIP7	0.01	0.03	43	24.02	5.33
10	CPSF1_HEK293	CPSF1	0.02	0.06	28	18.25	4.50	NONO_K562	NONO	1.00	1.00	8	23.07	6.00
11	CPSF6_K562	CPSF6	0.01	0.03	45	22.81	5.11	NOP56_HEK293	NOP56	0.51	0.91	12	11.65	2.95
12	CPSF7_HEK293	CPSF7	0.26	0.51	27	23.76	4.21	NOP58_HEK293	NOP58	0.01	0.03	26	11.59	3.35
13	$CSTF2_HepG2$	CSTF2	1.00	1.00	10	16.84	4.14	ORF1_HEK293	ORF1	0.39	0.69	14	12.63	3.50
14	$CSTF2T_HepG2$	CSTF2T	0.93	1.00	4	7.56	2.89	PCBP1_HepG2	PCBP1	0.01	0.03	8	0.73	0.94
15	DDX3X_HepG2	DDX3X	0.01	0.03	17	6.77	2.93	PCBP2_HepG2	PCBP2	1.00	1.00	0	0.78	0.93
16	DDX59_HepG2	DDX59	1.00	1.00	0	0.21	0.46	PRPF8_HepG2	PRPF8	0.18	0.37	19	14.69	3.83
17	$\rm DKC1_HepG2$	DKC1	0.01	0.03	16	6.58	2.79	PTBP1_HepG2	PTBP1	0.02	0.06	3	0.62	0.72
18	DND1_HEK293	DND1	0.60	0.97	14	14.33	3.86	PUM2_K562	PUM2	0.03	0.08	29	15.84	4.91
19	$EIF4G2_K562$	EIF4G2	0.10	0.22	5	2.27	1.54	QKI_HepG2	QKI	0.28	0.54	15	11.78	4.09
20	ELAVL1_HEK293	ELAVL1	0.96	1.00	7	13.66	3.71	RBFOX2_HepG2	RBFOX2	0.94	1.00	8	13.74	4.07
21	ELAVL2_HEK293	ELAVL2	0.57	0.97	18	18.56	4.12	RBM20_HEK293	RBM20	0.01	0.03	30	17.65	4.46
22	ELAVL3_HEK293	ELAVL3	0.59	0.97	15	15.32	3.89	RBM22_HepG2	RBM22	0.01	0.03	45	19.18	4.41
23	ELAVL4_HEK293	ELAVL4	0.68	1.00	14	15.51	3.92	RBPMS_HEK293	RBPMS	0.05	0.12	19	11.29	3.77
24	EWSR1_K562	EWSR1	1.00	1.00	0	0.43	0.64	RPS3_HepG2	RPS3	0.01	0.03	57	20.43	5.72
25	FAM120A_HepG2	FAM120A	0.94	1.00	2	4.55	2.28	SAFB2_K562	SAFB2	0.01	0.03	44	21.28	4.96
26	FIP1L1_HEK293	FIP1L1	0.79	1.00	15	17.95	4.69	SF3A3_HepG2	SF3A3	0.04	0.10	34	23.40	4.81
27	FKBP4_HepG2	FKBP4	1.00	1.00	0	0.01	0.10	SF3B4_HepG2	SF3B4	0.04	0.10	30	21.97	4.19
28	$FMR1_K562$	FMR1	0.01	0.03	59	22.57	4.74	SND1_HepG2	SND1	0.01	0.03	82	24.94	5.66
29	${ m FTO}_{-}{ m HepG2}$	FTO	0.01	0.03	58	19.87	5.09	SRRM4_HEK293	SRRM4	1.00	1.00	17	28.25	4.87
30	FUBP3_HepG2	FUBP3	0.66	1.00	20	20.99	4.75	$SRSF1_HepG2$	SRSF1	0.01	0.03	22	11.33	3.09
31	FXR1_K562	FXR1	0.01	0.03	106	19.28	5.27	SRSF7_HepG2	SRSF7	0.10	0.22	38	30.24	5.50
32	$FXR2_HepG2$	FXR2	0.01	0.03	70	23.01	5.33	SRSF9_HepG2	SRSF9	0.01	0.03	28	13.45	3.13
33	$G3BP1_HepG2$	G3BP1	0.01	0.03	83	20.40	5.47	SUGP2_HepG2	SUGP2	0.20	0.41	24	19.73	5.07
34	GPKOW_K562	GPKOW	0.07	0.16	28	18.75	5.23	TAF15_HepG2	TAF15	1.00	1.00	5	18.53	3.95
35	$GRSF1_HepG2$	GRSF1	0.88	1.00	7	9.97	3.27	TARDBP_K562	TARDBP	0.98	1.00	12	18.55	4.21
36	GTF2F1_HepG2	GTF2F1	0.79	1.00	1	1.49	1.26	TIAL1_HepG2	TIAL1	0.71	1.00	27	30.93	5.91
37	HNRNPA1_HepG2	HNRNPA1	1.00	1.00	16	28.20	5.29	TRA2A_HepG2	TRA2A	0.01	0.03	47	19.39	4.87
38	HNRNPC_HepG2	HNRNPC	1.00	1.00	14	26.67	5.33	$U2AF2_HepG2$	U2AF2	0.10	0.22	31	24.17	4.95
39	HNRNPD_HEK293	HNRNPD	0.84	1.00	12	15.30	4.04	XRN2_HepG2	XRN2	1.00	1.00	2	8.79	2.79
40	$HNRNPK_HepG2$	HNRNPK	0.64	0.99	1	1.36	1.31	YBX3_HepG2	YBX3	0.01	0.03	60	25.24	6.67
41	HNRNPL_HepG2	HNRNPL	0.55	0.96	9	8.76	3.13	ZC3H7B_HEK293	ZC3H7B	0.29	0.55	20	17.41	4.50
42	HNRNPM_HepG2	HNRNPM	1.00	1.00	12	25.99	5.66	ZFP36_HEK293	ZFP36	1.00	1.00	7	15.63	4.46
43	IGF2BP1_HepG2	IGF2BP1	0.01	0.03	50	21.93	5.75	$\mathbf{ZNF800_HepG2}$	ZNF800	0.01	0.03	39	12.33	4.17
44	IGF2BP2_K562	IGF2BP2	0.01	0.03	50	29.53	5.25	ZRANB2_K562	ZRANB2	0.01	0.03	34	21.58	4.91

RBP	Num. over- laps	Num. bind- ing sites	Ratio	Model	Binding sites
FUBP3	7	20	0.350	pysster	$\begin{matrix} [11040,11049], & [11068,11118], & [14155,14165], & [14383,14391], & [21920,21928], \\ [26299,26317], & [26336,26344] \end{matrix}$
PTBP1	1	3	0.333	pysster	[9500,9584]
KHSRP	5	16	0.312	pysster	[8596, 8635], [9496, 9530], [11287, 11326], [14142, 14172], [26293, 26351]
SUGP2	7	24	0.292	pysster	$\begin{matrix} [9622,9652], & [11091,11116], & [11191,11231], & [11278,11368], & [11626,11663], \\ [21580,21596], & [27831,27848] \end{matrix}$
ELAVL4	4	14	0.286	DeepRiPe	[8622, 8624], [11028, 11065], [27677, 27685], [27802, 27824]
ZFP36	2	7	0.286	DeepRiPe	[8595,8613], [21928,21932]
ELAVL2	5	18	0.278	DeepRiPe	[8612, 8624], [11029, 11067], [21563, 21565], [21911, 21942], [27795, 27827]
ELAVL3	4	15	0.267	DeepRiPe	[9523,9527], [11041,11065], [21920,21933], [27800,27826]
MBNL1	5	19	0.263	DeepRiPe	[11645, 11657], [15492, 15524], [26288, 26341], [27164, 27199], [27792, 27853]
CSTF2T	1	4	0.250	pysster	[8598,8618]
MATR3	4	16	0.250	pysster	[9511,9539], [11182,11313], [11614,11658], [26303,26324]
AGO2	4	18	0.222	DeepRiPe	[8589, 8631], [15528, 15582], [24091, 24134], [28722, 28730]
NCBP2	2	9	0.222	pysster	[12026,12027], [14770,14772]
ZNF800	8	39	0.205	pysster	$\begin{matrix} [1659,1701], & [3826,4059], & [12025,12234], & [14769,14774], & [14800,14882], \\ [15529,15533], & [28692,28764], & [28911,28957] \end{matrix}$
CSTF2	2	10	0.200	pysster	[8591,8633], [13883,13912]
QKI	3	15	0.200	pysster	[9521,9533], [11326,11347], [14167,14178]
DDX3X	3	17	0.176	pysster	[12026,12030], [14769,14772], [28861,28949]
TARDBP	2	12	0.167	pysster	[11117,11123], [11218,11388]
G3BP1	12	83	0.145	pysster	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
GRSF1	1	7	0.143	pysster	[28891,28903]
HNRNPC	2	14	0.143	pysster	[9787,9789], [11034,11044]
CPSF6	6	45	0.133	pysster	$[1707, 1715], \ [3808, 4054], \ [4090, 4098], \ [11182, 11214], \ [21938, 21969], \ [26333, 26352]$
FIP1L1	2	15	0.133	DeepRiPe	[13900,13935], [21935,21971]
SF3B4	4	30	0.133	pysster	[11959,12000], [16553,16561], [21527,21529], [24083,24101]
U2AF2	4	31	0.129	pysster	[9773,9777], [12004,12023], [14379,14392], [27785,27839]
CNBP	1	8	0.125	pysster	[28866,28916]
DKC1	2	16	0.125	pysster	[779,889], [14769,14801]
NONO	1	8	0.125	pysster	[11204,11222]
NIP7	5	43	0.116	pysster	[806,834], [13880,13945], [14763,14797], [16536,16546], [25236,25254]
CPSF1	3	28	0.107	DeepRiPe	[827,841], [23278,23312], [28914,28946]
GPKOW	3	28	0.107	pysster	[11982,12026], [21575,21579], [26302,26310]
SRSF9	3	28	0.107	pysster	[821,834], [12026,12028], [28850,28915]
FXR1	11	106	0.104	pysster	$\begin{matrix} [639,928], & [1631,1703], & [1715,1723], & [3963,4107], & [8619,8627], & [13907,13913], \\ [14771,14876], & [15506,15549], & [16543,16555], & [23231,23266], & [27401,27417] \end{matrix}$
AKAP8L	1	10	0.100	pysster	[14786,14788]
AATF	2	22	0.091	pysster	[5698,5702], [15525,15568]
ZRANB2	3	34	0.088	pysster	[11655, 11661], [21574, 21586], [26284, 26330]
AGGF1	3	35	0.086	pysster	[4031,4057], [12024,12088], [14766,14776]
FMR1	5	59	0.085	pysster	[750,839], [858,910], [14879,14891], [23268,23276], [28859,28908]
HNRNPD	1	12	0.083	DeepRiPe	[9492,9522]
HNRNPM	1	12	0.083	pysster	[11345,11384]
NOP56	1	12	0.083	DeepRiPe	[814,821]

Supplementary Table 5: Overlap of pysster and DeepRiPe binding sites with SECReTE motif

SRSF7	3	38	0.079	pysster	[820,838], [14771,14811], [23253,23280]
CPSF7	2	27	0.074	DeepRiPe	[14849,14875], [21924,21929]
FXR2	5	70	0.071	pysster	[3776,4047], [4059,4063], [12024,12268], [13905,13957], [14770,14775]
ORF1	1	14	0.071	DeepRiPe	[28693,28703]
FTO	4	58	0.069	pysster	[12021,12131], [14795,14809], [23258,23266], [28679,28778]
RBM22	3	45	0.067	pysster	[4029,4057], [26286,26336], [28834,28935]
YBX3	4	60	0.067	pysster	[705,870], [14767,14855], [14891,14919], [23295,23327]
SF3A3	2	34	0.059	pysster	[11965,12009], [16529,16601]
SRRM4	1	17	0.059	DeepRiPe	[26278,26328]
PRPF8	1	19	0.053	pysster	[27150,27193]
RPS3	3	57	0.053	pysster	[730,941], [14769,14776], [28568,28740]
AGO3	1	20	0.050	DeepRiPe	[28710,28752]
SND1	4	82	0.049	pysster	[750,852], [14771,14772], [23266,23287], [28697,28720]
AGO1	1	22	0.045	DeepRiPe	[28712,28771]
SAFB2	2	44	0.045	pysster	[1688,1710], [4042,4062]
SRSF1	1	22	0.045	pysster	[12024,12028]
TRA2A	2	47	0.043	pysster	[12025, 12055], [14766, 14774]
TIAL1	1	27	0.037	pysster	[26271,26318]
PUM2	1	29	0.034	pysster	[27141,27165]
AQR	1	30	0.033	pysster	[11957,12027]
RBM20	1	30	0.033	DeepRiPe	[28728,28736]
IGF2BP1	1	50	0.020	pysster	[28657,28722]
CAPRIN1	0	19	0.000	DeepRiPe	
DDX59	0	0	0.000	pysster	
DND1	0	14	0.000	DeepRiPe	
EIF4G2	0	5	0.000	pysster	
ELAVL1	0	7	0.000	DeepRiPe	
EWSR1	0	0	0.000	pysster	
FAM120A	0	2	0.000	pysster	
FKBP4	0	0	0.000	pysster	
GTF2F1	0	1	0.000	pysster	
HNRNPA1	0	16	0.000	pysster	
HNRNPK	0	1	0.000	pysster	
HNRNPL	0	9	0.000	pysster	
IGF2BP2	0	50	0.000	pysster	
IGF2BP3	0	23	0.000	DeepRiPe	
ILF3	0	9	0.000	pysster	
KHDRBS1	0	10	0.000	pysster	
L1RE1	0	13	0.000	DeepRiPe	
NOP58	0	26	0.000	DeepRiPe	
PCBP1	0	8	0.000	pysster	
PCBP2	0	0	0.000	pysster	
RBFOX2	0	8	0.000	pysster	
RBPMS	0	19	0.000	DeepRiPe	
TAF15	0	5	0.000	pysster	
XRN2	0	2	0.000	pysster	
ZC3H7B	0	20	0.000	DeepRiPe	

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Supplementary Figure 1: Evaluation of additional models for LARP1 and CNBP. **a.** ROC and PRC from the train/test evaluation. For each model are annotated two score thresholds : the F1-optimized one, and the one equivalent to the empirical P-value threshold of 0.01 (False Positive Rate from the 10,000 sequences with viral dinucleotide frequences). Note: only the CNBP model passes the Area Under PRC threshold set for high confidence models. **b.** Boxplot of Fold Change values measured by eCLIP on the SARS-CoV-2 genome, comparing positions within predicted binding sites against other positions. (c.) Genome Browser view of the eCLIP signal (continuous Fold Change values and narrow peaks) and predictions from LARP1 and CNBP pysster models (continuous prediction scores and predicted binding sites). **d.** and **e.** Impact of the empirical P-value threshold on the False Discovery Rate (proportion of predicted Binding Sites), and False Negative Rate (1 - TPR) for CNBP (d.) and LARP1 (e.). The threshold range goes from the smallest value leading to at least one predicted binding site, to the empirical P-value equivalent to the F1-optimized score threshold. Various thresholds are annotated, with bold annotation for the chosen empirical P-value threshold of 0.01.

в



Supplementary Figure 2: RBP binding pattern on the SARS-CoV-2 genome between the two methods, pysster and DeepRiPE. Comparison of single-nucleotide probability scores of binding for two RBPs, QKI (left panel) and TARDBP (right panel). Significant binding sites, commonly predicted by both methods are shown underneath the probability plots together with their corresponding learnt motifs from the attribution maps. Prediction score correlation and p-value correlation given in the header.



Supplementary Figure 3: Impact of mutations from variants of concern on predicted binding sites. **a**. Accumulation of predicted high-impact mutations in viral components for each variant of concern. The subset of high-impact mutations here corresponds to the one represented in Figure 4A, i.e. the top 20% of predicted binding-impacting mutations. **b**. Accumulation of impacted RBP sites for each variant of concern. The same subset as in (a) was used here. **c**. Same as in (b), but reporting the proportion of high-impacting mutations per RBP over the total of mutations for a variant of concern, rather than their absolute number.



Supplementary Figure 4: In-silico perturbation analysis of SARS-CoV-2. Nucleotides across the viral genome were perturbed towards the three alternative bases and the alternative base with resulting the highest delta score considered for downstream analysis. Here, we show the delta-score heatmap across positions with at least one gain-or loss-of-binding event across all RBPs.

References

- Dae-Kyum Kim, Benjamin Weller, Chung-Wen Lin, Dayag Sheykhkarimli, Jennifer J. Knapp, Nishka Kishore, Mayra Sauer, Ashyad Rayhan, Veronika Young, Nora Marin-de la Rosa, Oxana Pogoutse, et al. A map of binary sars-cov-2 protein interactions implicates host immune regulation and ubiquitination. bioRxiv, 2021.
- [2] David E. Gordon, Joseph Hiatt, Mehdi Bouhaddou, Veronica V. Rezelj, Svenja Ulferts, et al. Comparative host-coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease mechanisms. <u>Science</u>, 370(6521):eabe9403, 2020.
- [3] Jin Wei, Mia Madel Alfajaro, Peter C. DeWeirdt, Ruth E. Hanna, William J. Lu-Culligan, Wesley L. Cai, Madison S. Strine, Shang-Min Zhang, Vincent R. Graziano, Cameron O. Schmitz, et al. Genome-wide crispr screens reveal host factors critical for sars-cov-2 infection. <u>Cell</u>, 184(1):76–91.e13, 2021.
- [4] William M. Schneider, Joseph M. Luna, H.-Heinrich Hoffmann, Francisco J. Sánchez-Rivera, Andrew A. Leal, Alison W. Ashbrook, Jérémie Le Pen, Inna Ricardo-Lax, Eleftherios Michailidis, Avery Peace, Ansgar F. Stenzel, Scott W. Lowe, Margaret R. MacDonald, Charles M. Rice, and John T. Poirier. Genome-scale identification of sars-cov-2 and pan-coronavirus host factor networks. <u>Cell</u>, 184(1):120–132.e14, 2021.
- [5] Nora Schmidt, Caleb A Lareau, Hasmik Keshishian, Sabina Ganskih, Cornelius Schneider, Thomas Hennig, Randy Melanson, Simone Werner, Yuanjie Wei, Matthias Zimmer, et al. The sars-cov-2 rna-protein interactome in infected human cells. <u>Nature Microbiology</u>, 6(3):339–353, 2021.
- [6] Ryan A Flynn, Julia A Belk, Yanyan Qi, Yuki Yasumoto, Jin Wei, Mia Madel Alfajaro, Quanming Shi, Maxwell R Mumbach, Aditi Limaye, Peter C DeWeirdt, et al. Discovery and functional interrogation of sars-cov-2 rna-host protein interactions. <u>Cell</u>, 184(9):2394–2411, 2021.
- [7] Andrea Vandelli, Michele Monti, Edoardo Milanetti, Alexandros Armaos, Jakob Rupert, Elsa Zacco, Elias Bechara, Riccardo Delli Ponti, and Gian Gaetano Tartaglia. Structural analysis of sars-cov-2 genome and predictions of the human interactome. <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>, 48(20):11270–11283, 2020.
- [8] Denisa Bojkova, Kevin Klann, Benjamin Koch, Marek Widera, David Krause, Sandra Ciesek, Jindrich Cinatl, and Christian Münch. Proteomics of sars-cov-2-infected host cells reveals therapy targets. <u>Nature</u>, 583(7816):469–472, 2020.
- [9] Sungyul Lee, Young-suk Lee, Yeon Choi, Ahyeon Son, Youngran Park, Kyung-Min Lee, Jeesoo Kim, Jong-Seo Kim, and V Narry Kim. The sars-cov-2 rna interactome. Molecular Cell, 2021.
- [10] Alexey Stukalov, Virginie Girault, Vincent Grass, Ozge Karayel, Valter Bergant, Christian Urban, Darya A. Haas, Yiqi Huang, Lila Oubraham, Anqi Wang, M. Sabri Hamad, et al. Multilevel proteomics reveals host perturbations by sars-cov-2 and sars-cov. <u>Nature</u>, 594(7862):246-252, 2021.
- [11] Lei Sun, Pan Li, Xiaohui Ju, Jian Rao, Wenze Huang, Lili Ren, Shaojun Zhang, Tuanlin Xiong, Kui Xu, Xiaolin Zhou, Mingli Gong, Eric Miska, Qiang Ding, Jianwei Wang, and Qiangfeng Cliff Zhang. In vivo structural characterization of the sars-cov-2 rna genome identifies host proteins vulnerable to repurposed drugs. Cell, 184(7):1865–1883.e20, 2021.