1 2

3

Differential ripple propagation along the hippocampal longitudinal axis

Roberto De Filippo¹ and Dietmar Schmitz ¹²³⁴⁵

4	¹ Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-
5	Universitat zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health; Neuroscience Research Center, 10117 Berlin,
0 7	² German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE) Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany
8	³ Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-
9	Universität Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Einstein Center for Neuroscience, 10117 Berlin,
10	Germany.
11	⁴ Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-
12	Universität Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, NeuroCure Cluster of Excellence, 10117 Berlin,
13	Germany.
14	⁵ Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Bernstein Center for Computational Neuroscience, Philippstr. 13.
15	10115 Berlin, Germany.
16	* Correspondence to: roberto.de-filippo@charite.de and dietmar.schmitz@charite.de

17 Keywords: Hippocampal ripples, Ripples propagation

18 Abstract

Hippocampal ripples are highly synchronous neural events critical for memory consolidation 19 and retrieval. A minority of strong ripples has been shown to be of particular importance in 20 21 situations of increased memory demands. The propagation dynamics of strong ripples inside 22 the hippocampal formation are, however, still opaque. We analyzed ripple propagation within the hippocampal formation in a large open access dataset comprising 267 Neuropixel 23 recordings in 49 awake, head-fixed mice. Surprisingly, strong ripples (top 10% in ripple 24 strength) propagate differentially depending on their generation point along the hippocampal 25 26 longitudinal axis. The septal hippocampal pole is able to generate longer ripples that engage more neurons and elicit spiking activity for an extended time even at considerable distances. 27 Accordingly, a substantial portion of the variance in strong ripple duration ($R^2 = 0.463$) is 28 29 explained by the ripple generation location on the longitudinal axis. Our results are consistent with a possible distinctive role of the hippocampal septal pole in conditions of high memory 30 31 demand.

32 Introduction

33 Hippocampal ripples are brief oscillatory events detected in the local field potential (LFP) of 34 the hippocampal formation, these events correspond to the synchronized depolarization of a substantial number of neurons in various hippocampal subregions (Hulse et al., 2016, Ylinen 35 et al., 1995). An higher ripple incidence during memory encoding is associated with superior 36 37 recall performance (Norman et al., 2019), furthermore, ripple incidence is increased during 38 successful memory retrieval (Vaz et al., 2019, Carr et al., 2011). Ripples are also involved in 39 memory consolidation both in awake and sleep conditions (Jadhav et al., 2012, Roux et al., 40 2017, Sirota et al., 2003, Girardeau et al., 2009), disrupting awake ripples during learning 41 causes a persisting performance degradation, the same effect can be achieved by silencing 42 ripples during post-learning sleep. Accordingly, ripples are considered to play a crucial role in 43 memory processes and reorganization of memory engrams (Girardeau and Zugaro, 2011, Buzsáki, 2015, Diba and Buzsáki, 2007, Foster and Wilson, 2006, Xu et al., 2019, Takahashi, 44 45 2015, Davidson et al., 2009, Pfeiffer and Foster, 2015, Dragoi and Tonegawa, 2011, Girardeau et al., 2009). Ripples duration exhibits a skewed distribution with only a minority of long-46 47 duration ripples (> 100 ms). The fraction of long-duration ripples, ripple amplitude and withinripple firing rate of both excitatory and inhibitory neurons are increased in both novel contexts 48 49 and memory-demanding tasks (Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2019). Reducing ripple duration 50 artificially causes a degraded working memory performance (Jadhav et al., 2012, Fernández-51 Ruiz et al., 2019) and, on the contrary, prolongation induced by optogenetic-activation has a 52 beneficial effect (Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2019). Importantly, the artificial recruitment of 53 additional neurons seems to be constrained by pre-existing resting potential dynamics (Noguchi et al., 2022). Hippocampal-neocortical interactions, suggested to be important for 54 memory consolidation (Klinzing et al., 2019, Gais et al., 2007, Tukker et al., 2020), are 55 56 increased specifically during long-duration compared to short-duration ripples (Ngo et al., 57 2020).

Ripple amplitude and duration are significantly correlated (Tong et al., 2021, Patel et al., 2013),
moreover, they are both related to the amount of underlying spiking activity (Tong et al., 2021,
Khodagholy et al., 2017). It is possible to combine ripple strength and amplitude by
considering the area of the high-pass filtered envelope ('ripple strength').

These results point at a specific role of strong ripples (ripples with high strength) in situations of high mnemonic demand and are consistent with a possible power law distribution where a minority of ripples is responsible for a substantial part of memory requirements. For this reason, it is of interest to identify the possible electrophysiological peculiarities of this

subgroup of ripples. Do strong ripples propagate differently compared to common ripples? 66 67 Are strong ripples generated homogeneously along the hippocampal longitudinal axis? Do ripples have a preferred longitudinal directionality? In this study we focused our attention on 68 69 ripples generation and propagation within the hippocampal formation. Hippocampal 70 connectivity with cortical and sub-cortical areas varies considerably along the longitudinal 71 axis (Moser and Moser, 1998, Fanselow and Dong, 2010) and gene expression, as well, 72 exhibits both gradual and discrete transitions along the same axis (Vogel et al., 2020, Strange 73 et al., 2014). Consequently, the hippocampus is considered to be functionally segmented 74 along its long axis. The different connectivity contributes to explain the functional organization 75 gradient between a predominantly spatio-visual (septal pole) and emotional (temporal pole) 76 processing. Ripples generated in the septal and temporal hippocampal pole have already 77 been shown to be temporally independent and able to engage different neuron 78 subpopulations, even in the same downstream brain area (Sosa et al., 2020). Consequentially, 79 a heterogeneous ripple generation chance along the longitudinal axis most probably has an 80 impact on the frequency with which different brain areas and neurons subgroups are activated 81 by ripples. Our work is based on a dataset provided by the Allen Institute (Siegle et al., 2021). 82 this dataset enabled us to study comprehensively ripples features across the septal half of 83 the hippocampus. Previous studies have looked at ripple propagation along the longitudinal axes of the hippocampus (Patel et al., 2013, Kumar and Deshmukh, 2020), however, the size 84 85 of this dataset made it possible to unveil propagation details previously overlooked.

86 **Results**

87 Distance explains most of the ripple strength correlation variability.

88 We studied ripple propagation along the hippocampal longitudinal axis in an open-access 89 dataset provided by the Allen Institute. We analyzed the LFP signals across the visual cortex, 90 hippocampal formation and brain stem (Supplementary Figure 1) simultaneous to ripples 91 detected in the CA1 of 49 animals (average session duration = 9877.4 ± 43.1 seconds, average 92 ripple incidence during non-running epochs = 2.49 ± 0.12 per 10s). Ripples (n ripples = 120462) were detected on the CA1 channel with the strongest ripple activity. Ripple strength 93 94 (Ripple) was calculated as the integral of the filtered LFP envelope between the start and end points for every detected ripple. Ripple strength and duration are highly correlated in each 95 96 session (mean $r = 0.87 \pm 0.005$, Supplementary Figure 2). Notably ripple strength correlates 97 significantly better with the hippocampal population spiking rate on a ripple-to-ripple basis

98 compared to ripple duration alone (p = 4.31e-11, Supplementary Figure 3). Clear ripples were 99 observed uniquely in the hippocampal formation (CA1, CA2, CA3, DG, SUB, ProS). Likewise, 100 ripple-induced voltage deflections (RIVD, integral of the unfiltered LFP envelope) were also 101 noticeably stronger in hippocampal areas (Supplementary Figure 4B-F). Ripple strength was 102 noticeably irregular in single sessions both across time and space, even within the CA1 region 103 (Supplementary Figure 4C). We focused on the variability in ripple strength across pairs of 104 CA1 recording locations with clear ripple activity (n CA1 pairs = 303, n sessions = 46). Correlation of ripple strength across different CA1 regions was highly variable (Figure 1A-B-105 C) with a lower and upper quartiles of 0.66 and 0.87 (mean = 0.76, SEM = 0.01). Distance 106 between recording location could explain the majority (57.6%) of this variability (Figure 1B) 107 with the top and bottom quartiles of ripple strength correlation showing significantly different 108 109 average distances (Figure 1C-D). Given the correlation variability we asked how reliably a ripple can travel along the hippocampal longitudinal axis. To answer this guestion, we looked 110 111 at ripples lag in sessions that included both long-distance (> 2126.66 µm) and short-distance (< 857.29 µm) CA1 recording pairs (n sessions = 32, n CA1 pairs = 64, Figure 1E). Reference 112 113 for the lag analysis was always the most medial recording location in each pair. Almost half of the ripples in long-distance pairs (49.3 \pm 2.2%) were detected in both locations (inside a 120 114 ms window centered on ripple start at the reference location). Unsurprisingly short-distance 115 pairs showed a more reliable propagation (69.59 \pm 3.51%). Moreover, lag between long-116 distance pairs had a much broader distribution (Figure 1F) and a significantly bigger absolute 117 lag (Figure 1G). Neither high nor short-distance pairs showed clear directionality (lag long-118 distance = -1.14 ± 0.64 ms, lag short-distance = -0.5 ± 0.41 ms). Looking at the relationship 119 between lag and ripple strength in long-distance pairs, however, an asymmetric distribution 120 121 was apparent (Figure 1F top), suggestive of a possible interaction between these two 122 variables: stronger ripples appear to be predominantly associated with positive lags (i.e. ripples moving medial-lateral). To further investigate this relationship we divided ripples into 123 124 two groups: strong (top 10% ripple strength per session at the reference location) and common (remaining ripples). The septal half of the hippocampus was divided in three sections 125 with equal number of recordings: medial, central and lateral (Supplementary Figure 5). Strong 126 127 ripples identified in the medial section, in opposition to common ripples, showed a markedly 128 positive lag (lag = 17.83 ± 1.02 ms) indicative of a preferred medial \rightarrow lateral travelling direction 129 (Figure 1H top). Surprisingly, the same was not true for strong ripples identified in the lateral 130 section (lag = 3.62 ± 1.05 ms, Figure 1I). Strong and common ripples lags were significantly 131 different between medial and lateral locations both in common and strong ripples. A biased

direction of propagation can be explained by an unequal chance of ripple generation across space. We can assume that selecting strong ripples we are biasing our focus towards ripples whose generation point (seed) is situated nearby our reference location, this would contribute to explain the unbalanced lag. This notion would, however, fail to explain the different directionality we observed between strong ripples in medial and lateral locations. This hints at a more complex situation.

138 Ripples propagates differentially along the hippocampal longitudinal axis.

139 To analyze the propagation of ripples along the hippocampal longitudinal axis we focused on 140 sessions from which ripples were clearly detected in at least two different hippocampal 141 sections at the same time (n = 41). We followed the propagation of strong and common ripples 142 detected in the reference location across the hippocampus (Figure 2A-B) and built an average 143 spatio-temporal propagation map per session (Figure 2C). Strong and common ripples in the medial section showed a divergent propagation pattern: strong ripples travelling 144 145 medio→laterally and common ripples travelling in the opposite direction (Figure 2D-E). Ripples detected in the lateral section did not show such strikingly divergent propagation (Figure 2F-146 G) whereas, in the central section, the propagation was divergent only laterally and not 147 medially (Figure 2H-I). This peculiar propagation profile suggests a not previously described 148 149 underlying directionality along the hippocampal longitudinal axis and can be possibly explained by a spatial bias in strong ripples generation. To understand the mechanism 150 underlying such difference in propagation we examined the location of the seed for each ripple 151 in sessions in which ripples were clearly detected in every hippocampal section (n sessions = 152 25). While we found no differences in the number of ripples detected in each hippocampal 153 section (p-value = 0.55, Kruskal-Wallis test), we observed differences regarding ripple 154 generation. In common ripples, regardless of the reference location, most ripples started from 155 the lateral section (Figure 3A left). On the other hand, strong ripples displayed a more 156 heterogenous picture (Figure 3A right). We identified two principles relative to strong ripples 157 generation: In all hippocampal sections the majority of strong ripples are locally generated, 158 159 and a greater number of strong ripples is generated medially than laterally. Looking at the 160 central section we can appreciate the difference between the number of strong ripples 161 generated medially and laterally (Figure 3A right, mean medial = $36.83 \pm 2.66\%$, mean lateral = $20.55 \pm 2.04\%$, p-value = 3e-05, Pairwise Tukey test). Strong and common ripples had 162 significantly different seed location profiles only in the medial and central section, not in the 163 164 lateral section (Figure 3B). These seed location profiles contribute to explain the propagation 165 idiosyncrasies: major unbalances in seeds location cause propagation patterns with clear 166 directionality, on the contrary, lag measurements hovering around zero are the result of averaging between two similarly numbered groups of ripples with opposite direction of 167 propagation. Notably, propagation speed did not change depending on the seed location 168 169 (Supplementary Figure 6). The reason why strong ripples are only in a minority of cases generated in the lateral section remains nevertheless unclear. Using a 'strength conservation' 170 171 index' (SCI) we measured the ability of a ripple to retain its strength during propagation (a ripple with SCI = 1 is in the top 10% in all hippocampal sections). We observed that ripples 172 generated laterally were effectively less able to retain their strength propagating towards the 173 medial pole (Supplementary Figure 7). This result is not simply explained by differences in 174 ripple strength along the medio-lateral (M-L) axis, as no such gradient was observed ($R^2 =$ 175 0.0012, Supplementary Figure 8). Curiously, ripple amplitude showed a weak trend in the 176 opposite direction (r = 0.25, p-value = 7.21e-04), with higher amplitude ripples in the lateral 177 section (Supplementary Figure 9). 178

179 The hippocampal medial pole can generate longer ripples able to better engage neural180 networks.

181 To understand the reason behind the differential propagation we focused uniquely on the 182 central section, here it was possible to distinguish between ripples generated laterally or 183 medially ('lateral ripples' and 'medial ripples'). We included in the analysis sessions in which ripples were clearly detected in each hippocampal section and with at least 100 ripples of 184 185 each kind (n sessions = 24). We looked at spiking activity associated with these two classes 186 of ripples in the hippocampal formation across the M-L axis (n clusters per session = 650.42 ± 33.16, Figure 4A-B-C). To compare sessions, we created interpolated maps of the difference 187 188 between spiking induced by medial and lateral ripples (Figure 4D). Immediately following ripple start (0-50 ms, "early phase") spiking was predictably influenced by ripple seed 189 proximity: in the lateral section lateral ripples induced more spiking (indicated by the blue 190 color), whereas in the medial section medial ripples dominated (indicated by the red color). 191 Surprisingly, in the 50-120 ms window post ripple start ("late phase"), medial ripples could 192 193 elicit significantly higher spiking activity than lateral ripples along the entire M-L axis (Figure 194 4E). Dividing clusters in putative excitatory and inhibitory using the waveform duration we 195 observed the same effect in both types of neurons (Supplementary Figure 10). In accordance with this result, we found that the medial hippocampal section is able to generate longer 196 ripples (Figure 4F). An important portion of the variance in ripple duration is indeed explained 197

198 by location on the M-L axis both in common ($R^2 = 0.133$) and especially in strong ripples (R^2 199 = 0.463). The observed extended spiking could be due to a increased number of neurons 200 participating in the ripple, to a higher spiking rate per neuron or a combination of these two 201 elements. Fraction of active neurons and spiking rate were both significantly higher in medial 202 ripples (Supplementary Figure 11). Focusing only on the late phase the difference in fraction 203 of active neurons per ripples between medial and lateral ripples was even more striking 204 (Cohen's d = 1.7, Figure 4G). Inversely, in the early phase, lateral ripples could engage more neurons, although, the effect size was much smaller (Cohen's d = 0.39). The same result was 205 206 found in relation to the spiking rate, medial ripples caused a significant and considerable 207 increase in spiking rate in the late phase (Cohen's d = 1.75, Figure 4H). Dividing again the 208 clusters into putative excitatory and inhibitory, significant differences between medial and 209 lateral ripples were present only in the late phase. Spiking frequency and number of engaged neurons were significantly higher in medial ripples both in putative excitatory and inhibitory 210 211 clusters (Supplementary Figure 12). In summary, the prolonged spiking observed in medial ripples was caused both by an increased number of engaged neurons and a higher spiking 212 213 rate per cell, both in putative excitatory and inhibitory neurons. The disparity in network 214 engagement can possibly be in part explained by electrophysiological differences across hippocampal sections (e.g. higher firing rate). We did not find differences in the number of 215 firing neurons (medial = 74.73, lateral = 79.8, p-value = 3.56e-01, Mann-Whitney U test), we 216 did, however, found differences in firing rate, waveform duration, and waveform shape 217 (recovery slope and peak-through ratio, Supplementary Figure 13). Firing rate and waveform 218 duration exhibited respectively a left- and right-shifted distribution in the lateral section, 219 220 reflecting lower firing rate and slower action potentials.

221 Discussion

222 Our results show for the first time that strong ripples propagate differentially along the 223 hippocampal longitudinal axis. This propagation idiosyncrasy can be explained by a specific 224 ability of the hippocampal septal pole (medial section in our analysis) to produce longer ripples 225 that better entrain the hippocampal network and spread across the longitudinal axis. It was 226 previously observed that ripples located at the septal and temporal pole are generated independently from each other, in addition, despite the presence of connections within the 227 hippocampal longitudinal axis (Witter, 2007, van Strien et al., 2009), in the vast majority of 228 229 cases ripples do not propagate to the opposite pole (Sosa et al., 2020). In accordance with

230 these results, we observed a strong effect of spatial distance on ripple strength correlation 231 confirming a previous study (Nitzan et al., 2022): the strength correlation, predictably, was higher in CA1 pairs closer to each other. The effect of distance was also apparent on the ripple 232 233 chance of propagation, only half of the ripples generated in the septal pole were detected 234 additionally in the intermediate hippocampus (lateral section in our analysis). This chance is much higher compared to the ~3.7% reported regarding propagation between opposite poles 235 236 (Sosa et al., 2020), it would be interesting to understand whether the temporal pole is also 237 able to entrain the intermediate hippocampus in similar fashion or it is a peculiarity of the 238 septal pole. A limitation of our work derives from the dataset being limited to the septal and 239 intermediate hippocampus.

240 Ripples can arise at any location along the hippocampal longitudinal axis (Patel et al., 2013). 241 Our analysis shows that ripples are, however, not homogeneously generated across space. We observed important differences between strong ripples and common ripples generation. 242 Common ripples followed a gradient with higher generation probability in the intermediate 243 244 section and lowest in the septal pole. Strong ripples, on the other hand, were mostly 245 generated locally (i.e. a strong ripple detected in the medial section is most likely generated 246 in the medial section itself). Furthermore, only rarely a strong ripple generated in the 247 intermediate hippocampus is able to propagate towards the septal pole retaining its strong status (top 10%). Conversely strong ripples generated in the septal pole have a significantly 248 higher chance of propagate longitudinally and still be in the top 10% in terms of ripple 249 strength. Notably, this is not consequence of a simple longitudinal gradient in ripple strength, 250 251 indeed, we did not observe any difference in ripple strength along the longitudinal axis. Additionally, we show that ripples generated in the septal pole and in the intermediate 252 253 hippocampus have a significantly different ability to engage hippocampal networks in the 50-120 ms window post ripple start. Ripples generated in the septal pole activate more neurons, 254 both excitatory and inhibitory, and, moreover, elicit an higher spiking rate per neuron. This 255 256 prolonged network activation is reflected by the fact that the position on the longitudinal axis 257 explains 13.3% and 46.3% of the variability in ripple duration in common and strong ripples 258 respectively. Consistent with a duration gradient along the longitudinal axis, the temporal 259 hippocampus has been shown to produce shorter ripples both in awake and sleep conditions 260 (Sosa al., 2020). et 261 What is the reason that enables the septal pole to generate longer ripples? There might be for 262 example underlying electrophysiological differences between the septal and intermediate

hippocampus. Looking at units electrophysiological features we found some differences in

263

the waveform shape and duration. We can hypothesize that slower action potentials and, 264 consequentially, longer refractory periods hinder the ability to sustain protracted high 265 frequency spiking. Accordingly, we found an increased firing rate and a smaller waveform 266 duration in the septal pole. This might contribute to explain the prolonged ripples observed in 267 268 the septal pole. We can also speculate that the neuromodulatory inputs gradient, monoamine 269 fibers have been shown to be stronger in the ventral part (Strange et al., 2014), might influence neurons responses. Serotonin (ul Hag et al., 2016, Wang et al., 2015), noradrenaline (Ul Hag 270 et al., 2012, Novitskaya et al., 2016) and acetylcholine (Zhang et al., 2021) have all been shown 271 to suppress ripples. In accordance with this, some ripples are coupled with a reduced 272 activation of the locus coeruleus and the dorsal raphe nucleus in vivo (Ramirez-Villegas et al., 273 274 2015).

275 Ripples can be subdivided in different types according to the relationship between the hippocampal LFP and the ripple itself (Ramirez-Villegas et al., 2015). Intriguingly these 276 subtypes are associated with two different brain-wide networks, the first communicating 277 preferentially with the associative neocortex and a second one biased towards subcortical 278 279 structures. Moreover, these different types of ripples have been proposed to possibly fulfill different functional roles. Given the different input/output connectivity between septal, 280 281 intermediate and temporal hippocampus (Fanselow and Dong, 2010) we hypothesize that ripple generated at different points of the hippocampal longitudinal axis might as well have 282 functional differences, with the longer ripples generated septally possibly able to combine the 283 different kind of informations processed in the distinct hippocampal sections and additionally 284 relaying the integrated information back to the neocortex in accordance with the two-stage 285 memory hypothesis (Diekelmann and Born, 2010, Marr, 1971, Buzsáki, 1989, Rasch and Born, 286 287 2007, McClelland et al., 1995). Long duration ripples have been shown to be of particular importance in situations of high-288 289 memory demand (Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2019), at the same time, previous studies highlighted 290 the role of septal hippocampus in memory tasks and information processing (Hock and 291 Bunsey, 1998, Moser et al., 1993, Moser et al., 1995, Steffenach et al., 2005, Kheirbek et al., 292 2013, McGlinchey and Aston-Jones, 2018, Fanselow and Dong, 2010, Maras et al., 2014, Bradfield et al., 2020, Qin et al., 2020). Our results can contribute to explain the specific role 293

of septal hippocampus in memory-demanding tasks with its ability of generating particularly
long ripples that are able to strongly engage networks in the entire top half of the hippocampal
formation for an extended time.

297 Materials and Methods

298 Dataset

299 Our analysis was based on the Visual Coding - Neuropixels dataset provided by the Allen 300 Institute available and at https://allensdk.readthedocs.io/en/latest/visual_coding_neuropixels.html. We excluded 6 301 sessions because of absence of recording electrodes in CA1 (session ids=732592105, 302 303 737581020, 739448407, 742951821, 760693773, 762120172). Furthermore, one session was 304 excluded (session id = 743475441) because of an artifact in the LFP time series (time was not 305 monotonically increasing) and two other sessions (session ids = 746083955, 306 756029989)because of duplicated LFP traces (see https://github.com/RobertoDF/Allen visual dataset artifacts/blob/main/check lfp errors fro 307 m_files.ipynb). Our analysis was therefore focused on 49 sessions, average animal age = 308 119.22 \pm 1.81. Sex: males n = 38, females n = 11. Genotypes: wt/wt n = 26, Sst-IRES-309 310 Cre/wt;Ai32(RCL-ChR2(H134R) EYFP)/wt n = 10. Vip-IRES-Cre/wt;Ai32(RCL-311 ChR2(H134R)_EYFP)/wt n = 7, Pvalb-IRES-Cre/wt;Ai32(RCL-ChR2(H134R)_EYFP)/wt n = 6. 312 Average probe count per session = 5.73 ± 0.08 . Average number of recording channels per session = 2129.45 ± 29.46 . Probes in each session were numbered according to the position 313 on the M-L axis, with probe number 0 being the most medial. Channels with ambiguous area 314 annotations were discarded (e.g. HPF instead of CA1). We found a number of of small artifacts 315 316 in a variety of sessions, all this timepoints were excluded from the analysis (for more informations: https://github.com/RobertoDF/Allen visual dataset artifacts). Further details 317 about data acquisition can be found at https://brainmapportal-live-4cc80a57cd6e400d854-318 f7fdcae.divio-media.net/filer_public/80/75/8075a100-ca64-429a-b39a-319

569121b612b2/neuropixels_visual_coding_-_white_paper_v10.pdf. Visualization of recording
locations was performed with brainrender (Claudi et al., 2021).

322 **Ripples detection**

The LFP traces sampled at 1250 Hz were filtered using a 6th order Butterworth bandpass filter between 120.0 and 250.0. Ripples were detected on CA1 LFP traces, the best channel (higher ripple strength) was selected by looking at the SD of the envelope of the filtered trace, if multiple SD peaks were present across space (possibly caused by sharp waves in stratum radiatum and ripple activity in stratum pyramidale) we subsequently looked at the channel with higher skewness, in this way we could reliably identify the best ripple channel. The

envelope of the filtered trace was calculated using the Hilbert transform (scipy.signal.hilbert). 329 330 Ripple threshold was set at 5 SDs. Start and stop times were calculated using a 2 SDs threshold on the smoothed envelope with window = 5 (pandas.DataFrame.rolling) to account 331 for ripple phase distortions. Ripple amplitude was calculated as the 90th percentile of the 332 envelope. Ripple duration was limited at > 0.015 s and < 0.25 s. Candidate ripples with starting 333 times closer than 0.05 s were joined in a single ripple with peak amplitude being the highest 334 335 between the candidates. We estimated power density of each candidate using a periodogram 336 with constant detrending (scipy.signal.periodogram) on the raw LFP trace, we checked the 337 presence of a peak > 100 Hz, candidates not fulfilling this condition were discarded, this 338 condition was meant to reduce the number of detected false positives. Ripple candidates detected during running epochs were discarded, an animal was considered to be running if 339 his standardized speed was higher than the 10th percentile plus 0.06. Candidates were also 340 discarded if no behavioral data was available. Code for the detection of ripples resides in 341 342 'Calculate ripples.pv'.

343 Correlation and lag analysis

In each session we uniquely used ripples from the CA1 channel with the strongest ripple 344 activity, we looked at the LFP activity in all brain areas recorded in a window of 100.0 ms pre 345 346 ripple start and 200.0 ms post ripple start, this broad windows account for possible travelling delays due to distance. For each brain area we picked the channel with higher SD of the 347 envelope of the filtered trace. For each ripple considered we calculated integral of the 348 envelope of the filtered trace ([Ripple] and the integral of the raw LFP (ripple-induced voltage 349 350 deflection, RIVD). After discarding channels with weak ripple activity (envelope variance < 5), we computed the pairwise pearson correlation of the envelope traces of CA1 channels 351 (pandas.DataFrame.corr). For the lag analysis we first identified pairs of CA1 that satisfied a 352 distance requirements. Distance threshold were set at 25% (857.29 µm) and 75% (2126.66 353 µm) of the totality of distances. For each ripple detected in the reference channel we identifired 354 the nearest neighbour in the other channel. The analysis was repeated after dividing ripples in 355 strong (top 10% [Ripple) and common ripples (all remaining ripples) per session. Code for the 356 357 correlation and lag analysis resides in 'Calculations_Figure_1.py'.

358 Ripple spatio-temporal propagation maps and ripple seed analysis

The hippocampus was divided in three section with equal number of recordings. Channels with weak ripple activity (envelope variance < 5) were discarded. Sessions with recording

locations only in one hippocampal sections or with less than 1000 ripples in the channel with 361 362 strongest ripple activity were discarded as well. For each ripple detected on the reference CA1 channel we identified ripples in other CA1 channels happening in a window of \pm 60.0 ms, 363 this events were grouped together in a 'cluster'. If more than one event was detected on the 364 same probe we kept only the first event. 'Clusters' were subsequently divided according to 365 Ripple on the reference electrode in strong and common ripples. Lag maps were result of 366 367 averaging lags for each probe. Code for the calculations of propagation maps resides in 368 'Calculate trajectories.py'.

369 **Ripple-associated spiking activity**

370 We focused on sessions with clear ripple activity (envelope variance > 5) in all three 371 hippocampal sections and at least 100 ripples generated both medially and laterally. The 372 reference was always placed in the central section, here it was possible to identify ripples generated medially and laterally. We only considered ripples that were detected in at least half 373 374 of the recording electrodes (in the code: "spatial engagment" > 0.5). For each ripple we computed a histogram of spiking activity of regions belonging to the hippocampal formation 375 (HPF) in a window of 0.5 s centered on the ripple start in each probe. We averaged all the 376 computed histograms to create a spatial profile of spiking activity. To compare spiking activity 377 378 between sessions we interpolated (xarray.DataArray.interp) the difference between medial ripples-induced spiking and lateral ripples-induced spiking over space (this was necessary 379 because probes in each sessions have different M-L coordinates) and time. We calculated the 380 number of active cells (at least one spike) and spiking rate of each cluster per ripple in a 381 382 window of 0.12 s starting from ripple start. We repeated the analysis separating the 0-50 ms 383 and 50-120 ms post ripple start windows.

384 Units selection and features calculations

Clusters were filtered according to the following parameters: Waveform peak-trough ratio < 385 5, ISI violations < 0.5, amplitude cutoff < 0.1 and Presence ratio > 0.1. For an explanation of 386 the 387 parameters see https://github.com/AllenInstitute/ecephys_spike_sorting/blob/master/ecephys_spike_sorting 388 /modules/quality metrics/README.md 389 and https://brainmapportal-live-4cc80a57cd6e400d854-f7fdcae.divio-media.net/filer public/80/75/8075a100-ca64-429a-390 391 b39a-569121b612b2/neuropixels visual coding - white paper v10.pdf. Firing rate was 392 calculated on all clusters with presence ratio > 0.1.

393 Acknowledgements

394 This study supported the German Research Foundation Deutsche was by Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), project 184695641 - SFB 958, project 327654276 - SFB 395 1315, Germany's Excellence Strategy - Exc-2049-390688087 and by the European Research 396 Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme 397 398 (Grant agreement No. 810580). We thank J.T. Tukker, N. Maier for feedback on an early 399 version of the manuscript and the members of the Schmitz lab for scientific discussion. We 400 thank Willy Schiegel and Tiziano Zito for technical help with cluster computing. We thank 401 Federico Claudi for support with brainrender. The authors declare that they have no 402 competing interests.

403 Contributions

404 Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, visualization: RDF. Writing -405 original draft: RDF. Writing - review & editing: RDF, DS. Funding acquisition: DS.

406 Data and materials availability

407 All the code used to process the dataset is available at https://github.com/RobertoDF/De-408 Filippo-et-al-2022, pre-computed data structures be downloaded can at 409 10.6084/m9.figshare.20209913. All figures and text can be reproduced using code present in this repository, each number present in the text is directly linked to a python data structure. 410 411 The original dataset is provided by the Allen Institute and available at https://allensdk.readthedocs.io/en/latest/visual coding neuropixels.html. 412

413 Figures





415 Figure 1. Ripple strength correlation depends significantly on distance.

(A) Correlation matrices showing the variability of ripple strength correlation between pairs of 416 417 recording sites located in different CA1 locations in 4 example sessions. The number on the 418 x and y axis labels indicates the probe number. Probes are numbered according to the 419 position on the hippocampal longitudinal axis (0 is the most medial probe). (B) Scatter plot 420 and linear regression showing the relationship between distance and correlation strength. 421 Distance between recording sites explains 0.576% of the variability in correlation of ripple 422 strength. (C) Ripple strength correlation distribution. Pink represents bottom 25% (< Q₁) and 423 blue top 25% (> Q_4). (D) Violinplots showing that the top and bottom correlation quartile show

424 significantly different distance distributions (Q₁: 2077.57 \pm 68.68 µm, Q₄: 633.56 \pm 44.02 µm, p-value = 4.00e-23, Mann-Whitney U test). (E) Top: Rendering of the long distance (top) and 425 short distance (bottom) CA1 pairs, dark circles are the reference locations in each pair. (F) Top 426 427 and middle: scatter plots showing the relationship between ripple strength (at the reference 428 location) and lag for long distance (top, n ripples = 31855) and short distance (middle, n ripples 429 = 52858) pairs. Bottom: kernel density estimate of the lags of long distance (pink) and short 430 distance (turquoise) pairs. (G): Lag (top) and absolute lag (bottom) comparison between long and short distance pairs (top: long distance = -1.47 ± 0.63 ms, Short distance = -0.51 ± 0.4 431 432 ms, p-value = 2.03e-01, Student's t-test; bottom: long distance = 17.69 ± 0.38 ms, Short 433 distance = 8.69 ± 0.56 ms, p-value = 6.58e-20, Student's t-test). (H) Lag comparison in long distance pairs between common and strong ripples with reference located in the medial (top) 434 435 or lateral hippocampal section (bottom) (top: strong ripples= 17.83 ± 1.02 ms, common ripples = -3.27 ± 0.68 ms, p-values = 2.28e-25, Student's t-test, bottom: strong ripples=3.62 ± 1.05 436 ms, common ripples = 0.88 ± 0.66 ms, p-values = 3.00e-02, Student's t-test). (I) Lag 437 comparison in long distance pairs between ripples with reference located in the medial and 438 439 lateral section in common (top) or strong ripples (bottom) (top: medial reference = -3.27 ± 0.68 ms, lateral reference = 0.88 ± 0.66 ms, p-values = 4.30e-05, Student's t-test, bottom: strong 440 441 ripples = 17.83 ± 1.02 ms, common ripples = 3.62 ± 1.05 ms, p-values = 4.30e-05, Student's 442 t-test).

443

444





446 Figure 2. Direction-dependent differences in ripple propagation along the hippocampal
447 longitudinal axis.

(A) Recording locations for session 768515987. Circles colors represents medio-lateral
location. Bigger circle represents the reference location. (B) Example propagation of a strong
(left column) and common (right column) ripple across the different recording location from
session 768515987, each filtered ripple is color-coded according to A. Grey traces represents

452 raw LFP signal. Dashed vertical line represents the start of the ripple. In the top row the ripple envelope across all locations. Black scale bars: 50 ms, 0.5 mV. Red scale bars: 0.1 mV. (C) 453 454 Average propagation map of strong and common ripples in session 768515987 across the 455 medio-lateral axis. (D) Recording locations relative to E. Red circles represents the reference 456 locations across all sessions (n sessions=41), black circles represents the remaining recording locations. (E) Left: Medio-lateral propagation of strong ripples, each line represents the 457 average of one session. Middle: Medio-lateral propagation of common ripples, each line 458 459 represents the average of one session. Right: Average propagation map across sessions of strong and common ripples. Reference locations are the most lateral per session. (F) Same 460 as D. (G) Same as E. Reference locations are the most lateral per session. (H) Same as D. (I) 461 Same as E. Reference locations are the most central per session. 462

463

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.22.521603; this version posted December 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



464

465 Figure 3. Ripples generation differences along the hippocampal longitudinal axis.

466 (A) Ripple seed location comparison between the three reference locations in common ripples (left) and strong ripples (right). Majority of common ripples seeds are located in the lateral 467 468 hippocampal section regardless of the reference location (medial reference/lateral seed = 42.43 ± 2.45 %, central reference/lateral seed = 43.77 ± 2.9 %, lateral reference/lateral seed 469 470 = 42.83 \pm 2.75 %). Strong ripples are mainly local (medial reference/medial seed = 56.78 \pm 471 2.48 %, central reference/central seed = 41.74 ± 2.58 %, lateral reference/lateral seed = 46.76472 ± 2.89 %).(B) Ripple seed location comparison between strong and common ripples using a 473 medial (left), central (center) or lateral reference (right). Asterisks mean p < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis 474 test with pairwise Mann-Whitney post-hoc test.

475



476

Figure 4. Ripples travelling in the medio→lateral direction show prolonged network
engagement.

(A) Recording location for session 771990200. Circles colors indicate medio-lateral location. 479 Bigger circle represents the reference location. (B) Spiking activity across the hippocampal 480 M-L axis associated with a ripple generated medially (left column) or lateraly (right column) 481 across the different recording location from session 771990200. Spike raster plot and 482 483 normalized density are plotted at each M-L location. In the top row filtered ripple, grey traces represents raw LFP signal. All plots are color coded according to A. Scale bar: 0.5 mV. (C) 484 485 Kernel density estimates of the average spiking activity across different M-L locations and 486 between seed type. Scale bar: 5 spikes per 10 ms. (D) Interpolated heatmap of the difference

487 between medially and laterally generated ripple induced spiking activity in session 771990200. Vertical dashed lines represent borders between early and late post-ripple start phases. 488 489 Horizontal dashed lines represent the spatial limits of the hippocampal sections. (E) Grand 490 average of the differences between medially and laterally initiated ripple induced spiking 491 activity across 24 sessions. Vertical dashed lines represent borders between early and late post-ripple start phases. Horizontal dashed lines represent the spatial limits of the 492 493 hippocampal sections. (F) Regression plot between M-L location and ripple duration in common and strong ripples. Horizontal dashed lines represent the spatial limits of the 494 hippocampal sections. (G) Average fraction of active neurons in medial (pink) and lateral 495 (purple) ripples. Early/medial seed = 0.3 ± 0.69 , early/lateral seed: 31.72 ± 0.84 , p-value = 496 3.23e-05, Student's t-test; late/medial seed = 24.57 ± 0.64 , late/lateral seed = 19.44 ± 0.58 , 497 498 p-value = 4.09e-07. Student's t-test. (H) Average spiking rate medial (pink) and lateral (purple) ripples. Early/medial seed = 0.12 ± 0.004 , early/lateral seed = 0.13 ± 0.005 , p-value = 1.35e-499 04, Student's t-test; late/medial seed =0.07 \pm 0.002, late/lateral seed = 0.05 \pm 0.002, p-value 500 501 = 1.24e-12, Student's t-test.

502

- 503
- - -
- 504
- 505
- BRADFIELD, L. A., LEUNG, B. K., BOLDT, S., LIANG, S. & BALLEINE, B. W. 2020. Goal-directed
 actions transiently depend on dorsal hippocampus. *Nature Neuroscience*, 23, 1194-1197.
- 508 BUZSÁKI, G. 1989. Two-stage model of memory trace formation: a role for "noisy" brain states. 509 *Neuroscience*, 31, 551-70.
- 510 BUZSÁKI, G. 2015. Hippocampal sharp wave-ripple: A cognitive biomarker for episodic memory and 511 planning. *Hippocampus*, 25, 1073-188.
- 512 CARR, M. F., JADHAV, S. P. & FRANK, L. M. 2011. Hippocampal replay in the awake state: a
 513 potential substrate for memory consolidation and retrieval. *Nat Neurosci*, 14, 147-53.
- 514 CLAUDI, F., TYSON, A. L., PETRUCCO, L., MARGRIE, T. W., PORTUGUES, R. & BRANCO, T.
 515 2021. Visualizing anatomically registered data with brainrender. *eLife*, 10, e65751.
- 516 DAVIDSON, T. J., KLOOSTERMAN, F. & WILSON, M. A. 2009. Hippocampal replay of extended
 517 experience. *Neuron*, 63, 497-507.
- 518 DIBA, K. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2007. Forward and reverse hippocampal place-cell sequences during ripples.
 519 *Nat Neurosci*, 10, 1241-2.
- DIEKELMANN, S. & BORN, J. 2010. The memory function of sleep. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*,
 11, 114-126.
- 522 DRAGOI, G. & TONEGAWA, S. 2011. Preplay of future place cell sequences by hippocampal cellular
 523 assemblies. *Nature*, 469, 397-401.
- FANSELOW, M. S. & DONG, H. W. 2010. Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct
 structures? *Neuron*, 65, 7-19.
- FERNÁNDEZ-RUIZ, A., OLIVA, A., FERMINO DE OLIVEIRA, E., ROCHA-ALMEIDA, F.,
 TINGLEY, D. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2019. Long-duration hippocampal sharp wave ripples improve
 memory. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 364, 1082-1086.
- FOSTER, D. J. & WILSON, M. A. 2006. Reverse replay of behavioural sequences in hippocampal place
 cells during the awake state. *Nature*, 440, 680-3.
- GAIS, S., ALBOUY, G., BOLY, M., DANG-VU, T. T., DARSAUD, A., DESSEILLES, M., RAUCHS,
 G., SCHABUS, M., STERPENICH, V., VANDEWALLE, G., MAQUET, P. & PEIGNEUX, P.
 2007. Sleep transforms the cerebral trace of declarative memories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*,
 104, 18778-83.
- GIRARDEAU, G., BENCHENANE, K., WIENER, S. I., BUZSÁKI, G. & ZUGARO, M. B. 2009.
 Selective suppression of hippocampal ripples impairs spatial memory. *Nat Neurosci*, 12, 12223.
- GIRARDEAU, G. & ZUGARO, M. 2011. Hippocampal ripples and memory consolidation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, 21, 452-9.
- HOCK, B. J., JR. & BUNSEY, M. D. 1998. Differential effects of dorsal and ventral hippocampal
 lesions. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 18,
 7027-7032.
- HULSE, B. K., MOREAUX, L. C., LUBENOV, E. V. & SIAPAS, A. G. 2016. Membrane Potential
 Dynamics of CA1 Pyramidal Neurons during Hippocampal Ripples in Awake Mice. *Neuron*, 89,
 800-13.
- JADHAV, S. P., KEMERE, C., GERMAN, P. W. & FRANK, L. M. 2012. Awake hippocampal sharp wave ripples support spatial memory. *Science*, 336, 1454-8.
- 548 KHEIRBEK, M. A., DREW, L. J., BURGHARDT, N. S., COSTANTINI, D. O., TANNENHOLZ, L.,
- 549AHMARI, S. E., ZENG, H., FENTON, A. A. & HEN, R. 2013. Differential control of learning550and anxiety along the dorsoventral axis of the dentate gyrus. *Neuron*, 77, 955-968.
- KHODAGHOLY, D., GELINAS, J. N. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2017. Learning-enhanced coupling between
 ripple oscillations in association cortices and hippocampus. *Science*, 358, 369-372.
- KLINZING, J. G., NIETHARD, N. & BORN, J. 2019. Mechanisms of systems memory consolidation
 during sleep. *Nature Neuroscience*, 22, 1598-1610.

- 555 KUMAR, M. & DESHMUKH, S. S. 2020. Differential propagation of ripples along the proximodistal 556 and septotemporal axes of dorsal CA1 of rats. *Hippocampus*, 30, 970-986.
- MARAS, P. M., MOLET, J., CHEN, Y., RICE, C., JI, S. G., SOLODKIN, A. & BARAM, T. Z. 2014.
 Preferential loss of dorsal-hippocampus synapses underlies memory impairments provoked by short, multimodal stress. *Mol Psychiatry*, 19, 811-22.
- MARR, D. 1971. Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 262, 23-81.
- MCCLELLAND, J. L., MCNAUGHTON, B. L. & O'REILLY, R. C. 1995. Why there are
 complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: insights from the successes
 and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. *Psychol Rev*, 102, 419-457.
- MCGLINCHEY, E. M. & ASTON-JONES, G. 2018. Dorsal Hippocampus Drives Context-Induced
 Cocaine Seeking via Inputs to Lateral Septum. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 43, 987-1000.
- MOSER, E., MOSER, M. & ANDERSEN, P. 1993. Spatial learning impairment parallels the magnitude
 of dorsal hippocampal lesions, but is hardly present following ventral lesions. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 13, 3916-3925.
- MOSER, M. B. & MOSER, E. I. 1998. Functional differentiation in the hippocampus. *Hippocampus*, 8, 608-19.
- MOSER, M. B., MOSER, E. I., FORREST, E., ANDERSEN, P. & MORRIS, R. G. 1995. Spatial
 learning with a minislab in the dorsal hippocampus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 92, 9697-9701.
- NGO, H. V., FELL, J. & STARESINA, B. 2020. Sleep spindles mediate hippocampal-neocortical
 coupling during long-duration ripples. *Elife*, 9.
- 577 NITZAN, N., SWANSON, R., SCHMITZ, D. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2022. Brain-wide interactions during
 578 hippocampal sharp wave ripples. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 119, e2200931119.
- 579 NOGUCHI, A., HUSZÁR, R., MORIKAWA, S., BUZSÁKI, G. & IKEGAYA, Y. 2022. Inhibition
 580 allocates spikes during hippocampal ripples. *Nat Commun*, 13, 1280.
- NORMAN, Y., YEAGLE, E. M., KHUVIS, S., HAREL, M., MEHTA, A. D. & MALACH, R. 2019.
 Hippocampal sharp-wave ripples linked to visual episodic recollection in humans. *Science*, 365, eaax1030.
- NOVITSKAYA, Y., SARA, S. J., LOGOTHETIS, N. K. & ESCHENKO, O. 2016. Ripple-triggered
 stimulation of the locus coeruleus during post-learning sleep disrupts ripple/spindle coupling and
 impairs memory consolidation. *Learn Mem*, 23, 238-48.
- PATEL, J., SCHOMBURG, E. W., BERÉNYI, A., FUJISAWA, S. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2013. Local
 generation and propagation of ripples along the septotemporal axis of the hippocampus. J
 Neurosci, 33, 17029-41.
- 590 PFEIFFER, B. E. & FOSTER, D. J. 2015. PLACE CELLS. Autoassociative dynamics in the generation
 591 of sequences of hippocampal place cells. *Science*, 349, 180-3.
- QIN, C., BIAN, X.-L., WU, H.-Y., XIAN, J.-Y., CAI, C.-Y., LIN, Y.-H., ZHOU, Y., KOU, X.-L.,
 CHANG, L., LUO, C.-X. & ZHU, D.-Y. 2020. Dorsal Hippocampus to Infralimbic Cortex
 Circuit is Essential for the Recall of Extinction Memory. *Cerebral Cortex*, 31, 1707-1718.
- RAMIREZ-VILLEGAS, J. F., LOGOTHETIS, N. K. & BESSERVE, M. 2015. Diversity of sharp-wave ripple LFP signatures reveals differentiated brain-wide dynamical events. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A, 112, E6379-87.
- RASCH, B. & BORN, J. 2007. Maintaining memories by reactivation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, 17, 698 703.
- ROUX, L., HU, B., EICHLER, R., STARK, E. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2017. Sharp wave ripples during
 learning stabilize the hippocampal spatial map. *Nat Neurosci*, 20, 845-853.
- SIEGLE, J. H., JIA, X., DURAND, S., GALE, S., BENNETT, C., GRADDIS, N., HELLER, G.,
 RAMIREZ, T. K., CHOI, H., LUVIANO, J. A., GROBLEWSKI, P. A., AHMED, R.,
 ARKHIPOV, A., BERNARD, A., BILLEH, Y. N., BROWN, D., BUICE, M. A., CAIN, N.,
 CALDEJON, S., CASAL, L., CHO, A., CHVILICEK, M., COX, T. C., DAI, K., DENMAN, D.
 J., DE VRIES, S. E. J., DIETZMAN, R., ESPOSITO, L., FARRELL, C., FENG, D.,
 GALBRAITH, J., GARRETT, M., GELFAND, E. C., HANCOCK, N., HARRIS, J. A.,

- 608 HOWARD, R., HU, B., HYTNEN, R., IYER, R., JESSETT, E., JOHNSON, K., KATO, I., 609 KIGGINS, J., LAMBERT, S., LECOQ, J., LEDOCHOWITSCH, P., LEE, J. H., LEON, A., LI, Y., LIANG, E., LONG, F., MACE, K., MELCHIOR, J., MILLMAN, D., MOLLENKOPF, T., 610 611 NAYAN, C., NG, L., NGO, K., NGUYEN, T., NICOVICH, P. R., NORTH, K., OCKER, G. K., 612 OLLERENSHAW, D., OLIVER, M., PACHITARIU, M., PERKINS, J., REDING, M., REID, 613 D., ROBERTSON, M., RONELLENFITCH, K., SEID, S., SLAUGHTERBECK, C., 614 STOECKLIN, M., SULLIVAN, D., SUTTON, B., SWAPP, J., THOMPSON, C., TURNER, K., 615 WAKEMAN, W., WHITESELL, J. D., WILLIAMS, D., WILLIFORD, A., YOUNG, R., ZENG, 616 H., NAYLOR, S., PHILLIPS, J. W., REID, R. C., MIHALAS, S., OLSEN, S. R. & KOCH, C. 617 2021. Survey of spiking in the mouse visual system reveals functional hierarchy. Nature, 592, 618 86-92.
- SIROTA, A., CSICSVARI, J., BUHL, D. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2003. Communication between neocortex
 and hippocampus during sleep in rodents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 100, 2065-9.
- SOSA, M., JOO, H. R. & FRANK, L. M. 2020. Dorsal and Ventral Hippocampal Sharp-Wave Ripples
 Activate Distinct Nucleus Accumbens Networks. *Neuron*, 105, 725-741.e8.
- 623 STEFFENACH, H. A., WITTER, M., MOSER, M. B. & MOSER, E. I. 2005. Spatial memory in the rat 624 requires the dorsolateral band of the entorhinal cortex. *Neuron*, 45, 301-13.
- STRANGE, B. A., WITTER, M. P., LEIN, E. S. & MOSER, E. I. 2014. Functional organization of the
 hippocampal longitudinal axis. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 15, 655-69.
- TAKAHASHI, S. 2015. Episodic-like memory trace in awake replay of hippocampal place cell activity
 sequences. *Elife*, 4, e08105.
- TONG, A. P. S., VAZ, A. P., WITTIG, J. H., INATI, S. K. & ZAGHLOUL, K. A. 2021. Ripples reflect
 a spectrum of synchronous spiking activity in human anterior temporal lobe. *Elife*, 10.
- TUKKER, J. J., BEED, P., SCHMITZ, D., LARKUM, M. E. & SACHDEV, R. N. S. 2020. Up and
 Down States and Memory Consolidation Across Somatosensory, Entorhinal, and Hippocampal
 Cortices. *Front Syst Neurosci*, 14, 22.
- UL HAQ, R., ANDERSON, M. L., HOLLNAGEL, J.-O., WORSCHECH, F., SHERKHELI, M. A.,
 BEHRENS, C. J. & HEINEMANN, U. 2016. Serotonin dependent masking of hippocampal
 sharp wave ripples. *Neuropharmacology*, 101, 188-203.
- UL HAQ, R., LIOTTA, A., KOVACS, R., RÖSLER, A., JAROSCH, M. J., HEINEMANN, U. &
 BEHRENS, C. J. 2012. Adrenergic modulation of sharp wave-ripple activity in rat hippocampal
 slices. *Hippocampus*, 22, 516-533.
- VAN STRIEN, N. M., CAPPAERT, N. L. & WITTER, M. P. 2009. The anatomy of memory: an
 interactive overview of the parahippocampal-hippocampal network. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 10, 27282.
- VAZ, A. P., INATI, S. K., BRUNEL, N. & ZAGHLOUL, K. A. 2019. Coupled ripple oscillations
 between the medial temporal lobe and neocortex retrieve human memory. *Science*, 363, 975978.
- VOGEL, J. W., LA JOIE, R., GROTHE, M. J., DIAZ-PAPKOVICH, A., DOYLE, A., VACHONPRESSEAU, E., LEPAGE, C., VOS DE WAEL, R., THOMAS, R. A., ITURRIA-MEDINA, Y.,
 BERNHARDT, B., RABINOVICI, G. D. & EVANS, A. C. 2020. A molecular gradient along
 the longitudinal axis of the human hippocampus informs large-scale behavioral systems. *Nat Commun*, 11, 960.
- WANG, D., YAU, H.-J., BROKER, C., TSOU, J.-H., BONCI, A. & IKEMOTO, S. 2015. Mesopontine
 median raphe regulates hippocampal ripple oscillation and memory consolidation. *Nature neuroscience*, 18.
- WITTER, M. P. 2007. Intrinsic and extrinsic wiring of CA3: indications for connectional heterogeneity.
 Learn Mem, 14, 705-13.
- 656 XU, H., BARACSKAY, P., O'NEILL, J. & CSICSVARI, J. 2019. Assembly Responses of Hippocampal
- 657 CA1 Place Cells Predict Learned Behavior in Goal-Directed Spatial Tasks on the Radial Eight-658 Arm Maze. *Neuron*, 101, 119-132.e4.

- YLINEN, A., BRAGIN, A., NÁDASDY, Z., JANDÓ, G., SZABÓ, I., SIK, A. & BUZSÁKI, G. 1995.
 Sharp wave-associated high-frequency oscillation (200 Hz) in the intact hippocampus: network and intracellular mechanisms. *J Neurosci*, 15, 30-46.
- ZHANG, Y., CAO, L., VARGA, V., JING, M., KARADAS, M., LI, Y. & BUZSÁKI, G. 2021.
 Cholinergic suppression of hippocampal sharp-wave ripples impairs working memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 118.

665









Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Spatial coordinates of all recorded brain regions.

2D histograms (upper diagonal), scatter plots (lower diagonal) and kernel density estimate plots (diagonal) of all the recorded regions color-coded according to the Allen Institute color scheme. HPF=hippocampus, TH=thalamus, HY=hypothalamus and MB=midbrain. M-L axis is zeroed at the midline.



Supplementary Figure 2. Correlation between ripple duration and strength per session.

Red line represents linear regression with confidence interval of 95% estimated via bootstrap. *** means p < 0.0005.



bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.22.521603; this version posted December 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Supplementary Figure 3. Comparison between correlation of ripple strength and duration with underlying spiking.

Ripple strength correlates significantly better with the underlying ripple spiking activity. * means p < 0.0005.



Supplementary Figure 4. Ripple-associated LFP responses are predominantly observed in hippocampal structures.

(A) Rendering of probe locatiosn for session 791319847. (B) First column: Raw LFP traces color coded according to probe identity, superimposed in black the trace after high-pass filtering to show the presence of a ripple. Scale bar: 250 μ V. Middle column: Ripple envelope and associated JRipple in red. Last column: Raw LFP trace and associated RIVD in blue. (C) Heatmaps of JRipple (left) and RIVD (right) for the entirety of session 791319847 and for each recorded area. To note the variability in JRipple over time and cross different CA1 locations.(D) Kernel density estimate plot showing the relationship between JRipple and RIVD. Bar plot shows the sum of the z-scored JRipple and RIVD per area.for the areas showing the relationship between JRipple and RIVD for all sessions. Bar plot shows the sum of the z-scored JRipple and RIVD for all sessions. Bar plot shows the sum of the z-scored JRipple and RIVD per area averaged across animals. Most of the activity is confined to the hippocampal formation (DG, CA1, CA2, CA3 Sub and ProS) (n=49). (F) Violin plots showing the

distribution of ∫Ripple and RIVD z-scored per session, hippocampal regions (text in green) show the biggest responses.



Supplementary Figure 5. Hippocampal sections.

(A) Histogram showing the three sections across the M-L axis, the hippocampus was divided in order to have an equal number of recordings in each section. (B) Rendering of the 3 sections and associated recording locations (black dots).



Supplementary Figure 6. Spatio-temporal lag maps of locally and not locally generated ripples

Spatio-temporal profiles are symmetrical, strong indication of similar propagation speed regardless of seed position. (A) Recording locations relative to (B). Red circles represents the reference locations across all sessions (n sessions=41), black circles represents the remaining recording locations. (B) Left: Medio-lateral propagation of locally generated ripples (generated in the reference section), each line represents the average of one session. Middle: Medio-lateral propagation of non-locally generated ripples, each line represents the average of one session. Right: Average propagation

map across sessions of strong and common ripples. Reference locations are the most lateral per session. (C) Same as A. (D) Same as B. Reference locations are the most lateral per session. (E) Same as A. (F) Same as B. Reference locations are the most central per session.



Supplementary Figure 7. Strength conservation in medially and laterally generated ripples.

(A) Strength conservation index in strong ripples grouped by reference location.Ripples generated in the lateral section showsignificantly lower strength conservation (p=7e-09, Student's t-test). (B) Strength conservation index in common ripples grouped by reference location.



Supplementary Figure 8. Spatial location does not influence ∫Ripple.

Relationship between Z-scored ∫Ripple (top row) or ∫Ripple (bottom row) and each spatial axis (M-L, A-P or D-V). Spatial location has a negligible effect on ∫Ripple.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.22.521603; this version posted December 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



Supplementary Figure 9. Spatial location does not influence ripple amplitude.

Relationship between Z-scored amplitude (top row) or amplitude (bottom row) and each spatial axis (M-L, A-P or D-V). Spatial location has a negligible effect on ripple amplitude.



Supplementary Figure 10. Putative excitatory and inhibitory neurons show similiar spiking patterns in lateral and medial ripples.

Grand average of the differences between medial and lateral ripples induced spiking activity in putative excitatory (A) and inhibitory neurons (B).



Supplementary Figure 11. Spiking rate and fraction of active neurons are significantly higher in medial ripples

(A) Fraction of active neurons per ripple grouped by ripple seed location. (Medial seed= $40.0\pm1.0\%$, lateral seed= $39.0\pm1.0\%$, p-value=9.52e-05, Student's t-test). (B) Average spiking rate grouped per ripple grouped by ripple seed location (Medial seed= $9.0\pm0.0\%$, lateral seed= $8.0\pm0.0\%$, p-value=5.20e-10, Student's t-test). Asterisks mean p < 0.05, Student's t-test.



Supplementary Figure 12. Spiking rate and fraction of active neurons are increased in the late phase post-ripple start in medial ripples both in putative excitatory and inhibitory neurons.

(A) Average spiking rate in early (left) and late (right) phase post-ripple start grouped by ripple seed location and putative neuron identity. Asterisks mean p < 0.05, ANOVA with pairwise Tukey post-hoc test. (B) Fraction of active neurons per ripple in early (left) and late (right) phase post-ripple start grouped by ripple seed location and putative neuron identity. Asterisks mean p < 0.05, ANOVA with pairwise Tukey post-hoc test.



Supplementary Figure 13. Units features in medial and lateral sections

(A) Kernel density estimate plot of waveform duration (p-value=1.64e-33), firing rate (p-value=6.41e-01), waveform amplitude (p-value=5.48e-01), waveform

repolarization slope (p-value=4.09e-01), waveform recovery slope (p-value=1.13e-10) and waveform peak-through ratio (p-value=5.42e-05) grouped by hippocampal section. Asterisks mean p<0.05, Mann-Whitney U test. (B) Cumulative distribution plot of waveform duration (p-value=0.00e+00), firing rate (p-value=9.26e-03), waveform amplitude (p-value=9.09e-02), waveform repolarization slope (p-value=6.90e-02), waveform recovery slope (p-value=1.58e-10) and waveform peak-through ratio (p-value=2.27e-05) grouped by hippocampal section. Asterisks mean p < 0.05, Kolgomorov-Smirnov test.