Retained functional normal and preleukemic HSCs at diagnosis are associated with good prognosis in *DNMT3A*^{mut}*NPM1*^{mut} AMLs

Elisa Donato,^{1,2,*} Nadia Correia,^{1,2,*} Carolin Andresen,^{1-3,*} Darja Karpova,^{1,2} Roberto Würth,^{1,2} Corinna Klein,^{1,2} Markus Sohn,^{1,2} Adriana Przybylla,^{1,2} Petra Zeisberger,^{1,2} Kathrin Rothfelder,⁴ Helmut Salih,⁴ Halvard Bonig,⁵ Sebastian Stasik,⁶ Christoph Röllig,⁶ Anna Dolnik,⁷ Lars Bullinger,^{7,8} Frank Buchholz,^{6,9-13} Christian Thiede,^{6,†} Daniel Hübschmann,^{1,2,8,14,†} and Andreas Trumpp^{1,2,8,†}

¹ Division of Stem Cells and Cancer, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and DKFZ-ZMBH Alliance, Heidelberg, Germany; ² Heidelberg Institute for Stem Cell Technology and Experimental Medicine (HI-STEM gGmbH), Heidelberg, Germany; ³ Faculty of Biosciences, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany; ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine II, Hematology and Oncology, Eberhard-Karls University, Tübingen, Germany; ⁶ Institute for Transfusion Medicine and Immunohematology, Goethe University, and German Red Cross Blood Service Baden-Württemberg-Hessen, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; ⁶ Medical Department I, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität (TU) Dresden, Dresden, Germany; ⁷ Department of Hematology, Oncology and Cancer Immunology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany; ⁸ German Cancer Consortium (DKTK); ⁹National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT/UCC), Dresden, Germany; ¹⁰ German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; ¹¹ German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site Dresden and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Germany; ¹² Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; ¹³ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden - Rossendorf (HZDR), Dresden, Germany; and ¹⁴ Computational Oncology, Molecular Precision Oncology Program, German Cancer Research Center/ National Center for Tumor Diseases Heidelberg (NCT), Heidelberg, Germany

Key Points

- Positive selection for GPR56 and negative selection for NKG2D ligands enrich for LSCs in both CD34^{neg} and CD34^{pos} AMLs.
- DNMT3A^{mut}NPM1^{mut} AML patients with retained functional normal and/or preleukemic HSCs at time of diagnosis have better clinical outcome.

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disease characterized by high rate of relapse and mortality. Current chemotherapies whilst successful in eradicating blasts, are less effective in eliminating relapse-causing leukemic stem cells (LSCs). Although LSCs are usually identified as CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells, there is significant heterogeneity in surface marker expression, and CD34⁻ LSCs exist particularly in NPM1^{mut} AMLs. By analyzing diagnostic primary DNMT3A^{mut}NPM1^{mut} AML samples, we suggest a novel flow cytometry sorting strategy particularly useful for CD34^{neg} AML subtypes. To enrich for LSCs independently of CD34 status, positive selection for GPR56 and negative selection for NKG2D ligands are used. We show that the functional reconstitution capacity of CD34⁻ and CD34⁺ LSCs as well as their transcriptomes are very similar which support phenotypic plasticity. Furthermore, we show that although CD34⁺ subpopulations can contain next to LSCs also normal and/or preleukemic hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), this is not the case in CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ enriched LSCs which thus can be isolated with high purity. Finally, we show that patients with AML, who retain at the time of diagnosis a reserve of normal and/or preleukemic HSCs in their bone marrow able to reconstitute immunocompromised mice, have significantly longer relapse-free and overall survival than patients with AML in whom functional HSCs are no longer detectable.

Submitted 5 July 2022; accepted 8 November 2022; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 1 December 2022. https://doi.org/10.1182/ bloodadvances.2022008497.

*E.D., N.C., and C.A. have contributed equally to this study.

tA.T., D.H., C.T. jointly supervised the study.

Data are deposited in the European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA) with the following accession numbers: EGAS00001006527 (study); EGAD00001009293

(data set). Contact the corresponding authors for other forms of data sharing: a.trumpp@dkfz-heidelberg.de and d.huebschmann@dkfz-heidelberg.de.

The full-text version of this article contains a data supplement.

© 2023 by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

Introduction

Chemopersistent leukemic stem cells (LSCs) are the cause of relapse in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML).^{1,2} LSCs are historically defined as CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells. However, CD34⁺ sub-population can also include healthy hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and progenitor cells.³ In addition, the LSC immunophenotype is more heterogeneous than initially anticipated.⁴⁻⁷ This is particularly true for *NPM1*^{mut} AML with low or absent CD34 expression⁵ in which LSCs are also present in the CD34⁻ population.

Here, we suggest a novel sorting strategy particularly useful for CD34^{neg} AMLs. Moreover, we show that retained functional normal/preleukemic HSCs are strongly associated with patient outcome.

Material and methods

Patient samples

DNMT3A^{mut}*NPM1*^{mut} AML samples were selected from the AML-SG and SAL biorepositories.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and RNA sequencing (RNAseq)

Detailed protocols are described in the supplemental Methods.

Mice

Mice experiments were performed according to the German federal and state regulations (Tierversuchsantrag number G43/18, Z110/02).

Results and discussion

About 25% of human AMLs are characterized by a low frequency (<10%) of CD34⁺ cells by flow cytometry and are defined as CD34^{neg} AMLs.⁸ Previous reports have shown that *NPM1*^{mut} AMLs are enriched for this phenotype with almost 50% showing low to absent CD34 expression.^{5,9-11} Consequently, CD34^{neg} AMLs could contain simultaneously LSCs without cell surface expression of CD34 as well as ones with CD34 expression.^{5,9,10} Therefore, isolation of functional LSCs from CD34^{neg} AMLs is not trivial.

To test and validate alternative LSC isolation strategies, we retrospectively collected a genetically harmonized cohort of primary diagnostic samples from patients with DNMT3A^{mut}NPM1^{mut} AML (n = 46) (supplemental Table 1A-B). Because only 40% to 66% of AML samples engraft in mice,¹² we first screened our cohort performing xenotransplantation assays. Of the 46 AML samples, 36 generated human engraftment and were used for further phenotypic and molecular characterization (supplemental Table 2). As expected, we observed a very heterogeneous CD34 expression ranging from 0.260% to 75% (Figure 1A). The cut-off to define CD34 positivity is controversial, ranging from 2%¹¹ to 10%.⁸ We therefore classified our cohort in CD34^{neg} and CD34^{pos} AMLs using the average fraction of CD34⁺ cells in healthy bone marrow samples (nBM) as cut-off (Figure 1A, supplemental Methods). Consequently, phenotypic analyses using CD34 and CD38 showed a reduction of CD34⁺CD38⁺ and CD34⁺CD38⁻

subpopulations in CD34^{neg} AMLs, mirrored by an increase in CD34⁻CD38⁺ (Figure 1B). Because previous data showed the presence of LSCs with engrafting potential also in CD34⁻ subpopulations, we hypothesized that a significant fraction of LSCs would be neglected if CD34 is the sole marker used to enrich for stem cells.

Hence, we combined CD34 with 2 well-known markers to identify functional LSCs: GPR56¹³⁻¹⁵ and NKG2D ligands (NKG2DL) ¹⁶ (Figure 1C; supplemental Methods). We observed no differences between CD34^{neg} and CD34^{pos} AMLs in GPR56 and NKG2DL expression within either CD34⁻ or CD34⁺ populations (Figure 1D). Nonetheless, we could observe that CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ phenotype is rather frequent in AMLs and is rarer in nBM.

To functionally test our sorting strategy, we intrafemorally transplanted the FACS-sorted subpopulations into NOD/SCID/ IL2Ry^{null} mice (Figure 1E). Although only the CD34⁺GPR56⁺ NKG2DL⁻ subpopulation from nBM engrafted in mice, both CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ leukemic subpopulations generated human progeny (Figure 1F; supplemental Table 3). In addition, we showed the long-term repopulating capacity of both leukemic CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁺GPR56⁺ NKG2DL⁻ cells by performing secondary xenotransplantation assay (Figures 1 G-H; supplemental Table 4). Surprisingly, on performing clonogenic assays *in vitro*, we could identify colony formation capacity only for CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ but not for the corresponding CD34⁻ subpopulation (Figure 1I-J).

We therefore assessed transcriptomic profiles of CD34 GPR56⁺ NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ subpopulations of primary AMLs and nBM (Figure 2A). We first confirmed the differential messenger RNA (mRNA) expression of CD34, but we observed similar expression levels for GPR56 and NKG2DLs in the 2 populations (supplemental Figure 1A). By performing principal component analysis (PCA), we could identify 3 clusters with CD34 GPR56⁺NKG2DL and CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL from nBM leukemic clustering far apart, whereas CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ highly intermingled (Figure 2B). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) on loadings that contribute to PC1 showed enrichment for cell cycle progression in CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ cells from nBM, whereas terms related to HSCs, LSCs, and NPM1 mutated AML were associated with CD34+GPR56+NKG2DL from nBM and both leukemic subpopulations (Figure 2C). Importantly, the LSC17¹⁴ (Figure 2D) and the LSC104 scores¹⁴ (supplemental Figure 1B) were highly expressed in leukemic CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ populations as well as CD34⁺GPR56⁺ NKG2DL⁻ from nBM, supporting the *in vivo* engraftment data.

We then performed differential gene expression analyses comparing CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ from nBM with either CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ or CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ from AMLs. We identified 1903 and 1508 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), including 851 common ones (supplemental Figure 1C). In line with previous publications, CD96,²⁰ CD97,²¹ IL1RAP,^{22,23} HAVCR2,²⁴ and IL2RA²⁵ were upregulated in LSCs compared with HSCs (supplemental Figure 1D). Finally, we compared CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ fractions. Although in nBM we could identify substantial transcriptional differences with 5041 DEGs between CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and



Figure 1. (A) Bar plot representing the fraction of CD34⁺ cells (relative to lineage low side scatter dim) in primary samples of patient with AML or healthy donors. The dashed line represents the mean frequency of CD34⁺ cells in healthy donors (range = 5.74%-14.80%). This value (10.5%) is used as threshold to categorize the AML samples in CD34^{neg} AML (n = 18, CD34⁺ range: 0.26%- 9.83%, average: 4.57%, blue bar) and CD34^{pos} AML (n = 14, CD34⁺ range: 13.1%-75%, average: 31.89%, red bar). (B) Bar plot showing the fraction of CD34⁻CD38⁺ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 87.5%, min = 66%, max = 98.9%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 69%, min = 22%, max = 85.4%; nBM: median = 80.3%, min = 73.3%, max = 90.7%), CD34⁺CD38⁺ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 3.48%, min = 0.24%, max = 8.85%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 19.75%, min = 5.48%, max = 59.1%; nBM: median = 8.7%, min = 5.34%, max = 12.3%), CD34⁺CD38⁺ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 0.34%, min = 0.018%, max = 4.92%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 4.405%, min = 0.057%, max = 45.8%; nBM: median = 1%, min = 0.47%, max = 1.65%), CD34^{-CD38⁺} (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 6.04%, min = 0.39%, max = 27.9%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 3.85%, min = 0.57%, max = 16.9%; nBM: median = 8.83%, min = 3.46%, max = 15%) cells. Frequencies are calculated relative to lineage low side scatter dim.



Figure 1 (continued) CD34^{neg} AML (blue, n = 18), CD34^{pos} AML (red, n = 14), and healthy donors (orange, n = 4). Multiple unpaired t test were used to calculate statistical differences: P value < .001: ***, P value < .01: ***. Error bars indicate standard deviations. (C) Schematic representation of novel sorting strategy using a combination of CD34, GPR56, and pan-NKG2DL. (D) Bar plot showing the fraction of CD34 GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 26.95%, min = 0.16%, max = 84.2%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 18.1%, min = 0.92%, max = 88.5%; nBM: median = 6.24%, min = 1.59%, max = 12.6%), CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 27.25%, min = 2.9%, max = 90.6%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median= 64.6%, min = 1.1%, max = 91.4%; nBM: median = 69.5%, min = 47.6%, max = 76.5%), CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁺ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 57.25%, min = 3.58%, max = 94.8%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 22.2%, min = 1.65%, max = 98.2%; nBM: median = 4.96%, min = 1.43%, max = 6.03%), CD34^{·G}PR56[·]NKG2DL[·] (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 1.7%, min = 0.089%, max = 44.3%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 5.17%, min = 0.38%, max = 22%; nBM: median = 6.26%, min = 1.8%, max = 8.17%), and CD34 GPR56 NKG2DL⁺ (CD34^{neg} AMLs: median = 42.5%, min = 6.86%, max = 95%; CD34^{pos} AMLs: median = 38.1%, min = 5.5%, max = 64.8%; nBM: median = 46%, min = 28.2%, max = 59.6%). Fractions are calculated relative to parental gate (either CD34⁻ or CD34⁺) in CD34^{neg} AML (blue, n = 18), CD34^{pos} AML (red, n = 14) and healthy donors (orange, n = 4). Error bars indicate standard deviations. Multiple unpaired t test was used to calculate statistical differences: no statistically significant differences were observed. (E) Schematic representation of experimental pipeline to generate PDX models using primary human samples FACS-sorted according to the gating strategy shown in C. (F) Summary table showing fractions of engrafted primary PDXs from AML primary samples and healthy donors FACSsorted according to the scheme in panel C. Human engraftment was defined as hCD45⁺> 0.1%. (G) Schematic representation of experimental workflow to generate secondary PDXs from primary PDXs. (H) Summary table showing fractions of engrafted secondary PDXs. Human engraftment was defined as hCD45⁺ >0.1%. (I) Schematic representation of experimental workflow for clonogenic assay. (J) Bar plot showing the number of colonies per 40 000 FACS-sorted cells after 14 days from plating. CD34^{neg} AML (blue, n = 9), CD34^{pos} AML (red, n = 6).

CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻, we could detect only 99 DEGs when CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ comparing and CD34⁺GPR56⁺ NKG2DL subpopulations in AMLs (supplemental Figure 1E). GSEA on CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ and CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ from nBM showed enrichment for immune response or HSC gene sets (supplemental Figure 1F). GSEA comparing leukemic subpopulations revealed terms associated to pyroptosis, microautophagy and vesicles trafficking in CD34 GPR56⁺NKG2DL, whereas enrichment for quiescence, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and interferon alpha was detected in CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ subpopulation (supplemental Figure 1G). Moreover, signatures associated to HSCs were enriched in the CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ leukemic subpopulation compared with its CD34⁻ counterpart (Figure 2E). Intrigued by these results, we inferred the proportions of cell types in our bulk RNAseq using the Corces et al¹⁹ data set as reference. We could observe enrichment for HSCs and lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitors in CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ leukemic fractions, whereas a higher frequency of multipotent progenitors and common myeloid

progenitors was present in CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ leukemic subpopulations (Figure 2F). Megakaryocytic-erythroid progenitor and common lymphoid progenitor frequencies were neglectable (supplemental Figure 1H).

This let us hypothesize that CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ subpopulation may retain normal or preleukemic HSCs not yet outcompeted by leukemic cells. In line with this hypothesis, we observed a significantly higher fraction of CD99⁻ cells²⁶ in CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ compared with CD34⁻ counterpart (supplemental Figure 1J). We therefore functionally tested the presence of retained normal and/or preleukemic HSCs analyzing the reconstituted progeny in patient derived xenografts (PDXs) (Figure 2G). Although CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ leukemic cells generated only myeloid progeny, CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ ones could generate multilineage (myeloid + lymphoid) engraftment, supporting the hypothesis of retained normal/preleukemic HSCs in CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (Figure 2H).



Figure 2. (A) Schematic representation of the experimental workflow for generation of low input RNAseq from primary human samples FACS-sorted according to the scheme in Figure 1C. (B) Principal component analysis plot using RNAseq data from FACS-sorted populations (CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL[:] purple; CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL[:] green) derived from either primary AML samples (circles) or healthy donors (triangles). (C) Dot plot of significantly enriched gene sets when GSEA is performed using loadings contributing to PC1. (D) Box plot showing the LSC17 score¹⁵ for CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (purple) and CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (green) in primary samples of patient with AML or healthy donors. (E) GSEA on CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (purple) using EPPERT_HSC_P¹⁷ or JAATINEN_HEMATOPOIETIC_STEM_CELL_UP¹⁸ as gene sets. (F) Box plot representing the inferred frequency of HSCs, multipotent progenitor, lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitor, common myeloid progenitor, and granulocyte-monocyte progenitor obtained by deconvoluting bulk RNAseq from FACS-sorted primary AML and healthy donors samples with RNAseq data from Corces et al¹⁹ (G) Schematic representation of the experimental workflow to assess the type of engraftment (myeloid vs lymphoid) in primary PDXs models. (H) Bar plot showing the frequency of either CD33⁺ (triangles) or CD19⁺ (circles) relative to hCD45⁺ in primary PDX models obtained by transplanting CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ or CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ FACS-sorted populations. (I) Schematic representation of experimental workflow to assess mutational profile by digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR) from hCD45⁺hCD33⁺ or hCD45⁺hCD19⁺ cells from primary PDX models. (J) Scatter plot showing variant allele frequency for DNMT3A R822 (*x*-axis) and NPM1 (*y*-axis) for hCD45⁺hCD33⁺ (triangles) or hCD45⁺hCD19⁺ (circles) cells from primary PDX transplanted with CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ (right) FACS-sorted populations. Samples which gave multilineage engraftment (hcCd5⁺hCD19⁺ >20%)



Figure 2 (continued) are marked in yellow, whereas samples generating myeloid engraftment (hCD45⁺hCD19⁺ <20%) are marked in light blue. (K) Dot plot showing the correlation between the percentage of either hCD45⁺hCD19⁺ cells (upper panel) or hCD45⁺hCD33⁺ cells in the PDX (lower panel) and RFS. In both plots gray points represent patients without further clinical information available at the end of the clinical study. Pearson correlation was computed. (L) Kaplan-Meyer plots showing RFS (upper plot) and overall survival (lower plot) of patients with AML based on whether samples after transplantation reconstituted either in a multilineage manner (yellow line) or gave exclusive myeloid engraftment (light blue). Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test was used (*P* value = .0407 and *P* value = .0224 for RFS and OS, respectively).

To specifically discriminate whether engrafting cells were derived from leukemic, preleukemic or normal stem and progenitors, we FACS-sorted hCD45⁺hCD33⁺ and hCD45⁺hCD19⁺ and performed digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR) using mutation-specific probes (Figure 2I).

All the progenies derived from CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ cells have a variant allele frequency (VAF) around 0.5 for both *DNMT3A*^{R882} and *NPM1*^{mut} confirming that all human engrafted cells are double mutant leukemic cells (Figure 2J). In contrast, the progeny derived from CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ cells show 3 different genetic makeups: double mutant leukemic cells, preleukemic cells (VAF *DNMT3A*^{R882} = 0.5 but VAF *NPM1*^{mut} <0.1), and normal progeny without mutations in either gene (Figure 2J). This observation was confirmed by the reduced expression of the *NPM1*^{mut} allele in CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ cells in primary AMLs (supplemental Figure 1I).

Finally, we observed a statistically significant positive correlation between the fraction of hCD45⁺hCD19⁺ in our 36 bulk PDXs (supplemental Table 2) and relapse-free survival (RFS) data of each patient. This is mirrored by a statistically significant anticorrelation between the fraction of hCD45⁺hCD33⁺ and RFS (Figure 2K). Moreover, patients with AML with bone marrow cells harboring multilineage engraftment potential show a much better RFS and overall survival (OS) compared with patients showing exclusive myeloid-engraftment potential (Figure 2L).

In summary, by using a genetically harmonized cohort of 46 DNMT3A^{mut}NPM1^{mut} diagnostic samples, we showed that positive selection for immature cells marker, as GPR56, and negative selection for mature cells, by using an Fc chimera recognizing pan-NKG2DLs, is a valid sorting strategy to enrich for LSCs in both CD34^{pos} and CD34^{neg} AMLs. Although our study is focusing exclusively on DNMT3A^{mut}NPM1^{mut} AMLs, evidence from the literature and our own unpublished observations suggest that this marker combination enrich for LSCs in AMLs of any mutational background.^{13,14,16} We showed that although the CD34⁺GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ subpopulation can contain a mix of normal, preleukemic and leukemic stem cells (LSCs), the CD34⁻GPR56⁺NKG2DL⁻ phenotype is exclusive for malignant LSCs or progenitors. We also observed that although both CD34⁻ and CD34⁺ LSCs are able to engraft in mice, only CD34⁺ but not CD34⁻ cells form colonies in vitro, highlighting the limitations of such assays and the need for multiple different methodologies in assessing potency and self-renewal of human progenitor cells.

Previous reports indicated that multilineage engraftment, potentially caused by nonleukemic multipotent stem cells, was an exclusive characteristic of some diagnostic samples, whereas matched relapse samples only generated leukemic engraftment.²⁷ Here, we expand these initial observations by providing evidence that the presence of functional, normal and/or preleukemic HSCs in the bone marrow at diagnosis of patients with *DNMT3A*^{mut}*NPM1*^{mut} AML is associated with better RFS and OS. Patients with AML without detectable normal and/or preleukemic HSCs activity at diagnosis show rather poor RFS and OS suggesting that more aggressive AMLs mediate the fast elimination of non-LSCs. Our results may be used as the basis for the development of biomarkers

that predict response and relapse probability in patients treated with standard chemotherapy.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all technicians of the A.T. laboratory for technical assistance; Steffen Schmitt, Marcus Eich, Klaus Hexel, Tobias Rubner, and Florian Blum from the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Flow Cytometry Core Facility for their assistance; and K. Reifenberg, P. Prückl, M. Durst, M. Schorpp-Kistner, A. Rathgeb, and all members of the DKFZ Laboratory Animal Core Facility for excellent animal welfare and husbandry. The authors also thank the DKFZ Genomics and Proteomics Core Facility and the DKFZ ODCF System Administration for their assistance. The graphical abstract and the schematic experimental workflows were created using BioRender.com. This work was also supported by DFG consortia FOR2033, FOR2674, SFB873, German Cancer Consortium (DKTK) joint funding project "RiskY-AML," the SyTASC Consortium funded by the Deutsche Krebshilfe, the ERC Advanced Grant SHATTER-AML (AdG-101055270) and the Dietmar Hopp Foundation (all to A.T.) and DFG consortia SFB1074 (to L.B.). C.A. is supported by an add-on Fellowship of the Joachim Herz Foundation.

Authorship

Contribution: E.D., N.C., C.A., C.T., D.H., A.T. wrote the manuscript; E.D., N.C., A.T. designed the experiments; E.D., N.C., C.K., M.S., A.P., P.Z., D.K., R.W. performed the experiments; K.R. and H.S. provided biotinylated NKG2D ligands and staining protocol; E.D., N.C. and C.A. analyzed the data; C.T., D.H. and A.T. supervised the analysis of the data. H.B. provided healthy bone marrow samples; S.S., C.R., C.T., A.D., L.B. provided primary AML samples, DNA mutation sequencing data and patient clinical information.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: C.T. is co-owner and CEO of AgenDix GmbH, has received lecture fees from Novartis, JAZZ, Janssen, TEVA, Life Technologies, Archer; has served on advisory boards for Novartis, JAZZ, and received research support from Novartis. None of these activities is directly linked to the data presented here. L.B. has advisory role for AbbVie, Amgen, Astellas, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo, Gilead, Hexal, Janssen, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Menarini, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, Seattle Genetics; research funding from Bayer, Jazz Pharmaceuticals.

ORCID profiles: E.D., 0000-0003-3541-9170; N.C., 0000-0003-1823-5564; C.A., 0000-0002-8960-7719; R.W., 0000-0002-8156-6756; H.S., 0000-0002-6719-1847; H.B., 0000-0003-0088-2675; S.S., 0000-0003-0271-4894; F.B., 0000-0002-4577-3344; C.T., 0000-0003-1241-2048; D.H., 0000-0002-6041-7049; A.T., 0000-0002-6212-3466.

Correspondence: Daniel Hübschmann, Computational Oncology, Molecular Diagnostics Program, National Center for Tumor Diseases, German Cancer Research Center, Im Neuenheimer Field 280, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; email: d.huebschmann@dkfz-heidelberg.de; and Andreas Trumpp, DKFZ Research Program A, Cell and Tumor Biology, Im Neuenheimer Field 280, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; email: a.trumpp@dkfz-heidelberg.de.

References

- 1. Shlush LI, Mitchell A, Heisler L, et al. Tracing the origins of relapse in acute myeloid leukaemia to stem cells. Nature. 2017;547(7661):104-108.
- 2. Trumpp A, Haas S. Cancer stem cells: The adventurous journey from hematopoietic to leukemic stem cells. Cell. 2022;185(8):1266-1270.
- 3. Gerber JM, Smith BD, Ngwang B, et al. A clinically relevant population of leukemic CD34(+)CD38(-) cells in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood.* 2012; 119(15):3571-3577.
- 4. Kreso A, Dick JE. Evolution of the cancer stem cell model. Cell Stem Cell. 2014;14(3):275-291.
- Taussig DC, Vargaftig J, Miraki-Moud F, et al. Leukemia-initiating cells from some acute myeloid leukemia patients with mutated nucleophosmin reside in the CD34– fraction. Blood. 2010;115(10):1976-1984.
- Taussig DC, Miraki-Moud F, Anjos-Afonso F, et al. Anti-CD38 antibody-mediated clearance of human repopulating cells masks the heterogeneity of leukemia-initiating cells. Blood. 2008;112(3):568-575.
- Sarry J-E, Murphy K, Perry R, et al. Human acute myelogenous leukemia stem cells are rare and heterogeneous when assayed in NOD/SCID/IL2Rγcdeficient mice. J Clin Invest. 2011;121(1):384-395.
- 8. Thomas D, Majeti R. Biology and relevance of human acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. Blood. 2017;129(12):1577-1585.
- 9. Falini B, Mecucci C, Tiacci E, et al. Cytoplasmic nucleophosmin in acute myelogenous leukemia with a normal karyotype. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(3): 254-266.
- Martelli MP, Pettirossi V, Thiede C, et al. CD34+ cells from AML with mutated NPM1 harbor cytoplasmic mutated nucleophosmin and generate leukemia in immunocompromised mice. *Blood.* 2010;116(19):3907-3922.
- 11. Quek L, Otto GW, Garnett C, et al. Genetically distinct leukemic stem cells in human CD34- acute myeloid leukemia are arrested at a hemopoietic precursor-like stage. J Exp Med. 2016;213(8):1513-1535.
- 12. Paczulla AM, Dirnhofer S, Konantz M, et al. Long-term observation reveals high-frequency engraftment of human acute myeloid leukemia in immunodeficient mice. *Haematologica*. 2017;102(5):854-864.
- Pabst C, Bergeron A, Lavallée V-P, et al. GPR56 identifies primary human acute myeloid leukemia cells with high repopulating potential in vivo. Blood. 2016;127(16):2018-2027.
- 14. Ng SW, Mitchell A, Kennedy JA, et al. A 17-gene stemness score for rapid determination of risk in acute leukaemia. Nature. 2016;540(7633):433-437.
- Daga S, Rosenberger A, Quehenberger F, et al. High GPR56 surface expression correlates with a leukemic stem cell gene signature in CD34-positive AML. Cancer Med. 2019;8(4):1771-1778.
- Paczulla AM, Rothfelder K, Raffel S, et al. Absence of NKG2D ligands defines leukaemia stem cells and mediates their immune evasion. Nature. 2019; 572(7768):254-259.
- 17. Eppert K, Takenaka K, Lechman ER, et al. Stem cell gene expression programs influence clinical outcome in human leukemia. *Nat Med.* 2011;17(9): 1086-1093.
- Jaatinen T, Hemmoranta H, Hautaniemi S, et al. Global gene expression profile of human cord blood-derived CD133+ cells. Stem Cells. 2006;24(3): 631-641.
- Corces MR, Buenrostro JD, Wu B, et al. Lineage-specific and single-cell chromatin accessibility charts human hematopoiesis and leukemia evolution. Nat Genet. 2016;48(10):1193-1203.
- 20. Hosen N, Park CY, Tatsumi N, et al. CD96 is a leukemic stem cell-specific marker in human acute myeloid leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104(26):11008-11013.
- 21. Martin GH, Roy N, Chakraborty S, et al. CD97 is a critical regulator of acute myeloid leukemia stem cell function. J Exp Med. 2019;216(10):2362-2377.
- 22. Mitchell K, Barreyro L, Todorova TI, et al. IL1RAP potentiates multiple oncogenic signaling pathways in AML. J Exp Med. 2018;215(6):1709-1727.
- 23. Zhao K, Yin LL, Zhao DM, et al. IL1RAP as a surface marker for leukemia stem cells is related to clinical phase of chronic myeloid leukemia patients. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014;7(12):4787-4798.
- 24. Jan M, Chao MP, Cha AC, et al. Prospective separation of normal and leukemic stem cells based on differential expression of TIM3, a human acute myeloid leukemia stem cell marker. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(12):5009-5014.
- Nguyen CH, Schlerka A, Grandits AM, et al. IL2RA promotes aggressiveness and stem cell-related properties of acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Res. 2020;80(20):4527-4539.
- 26. Chung SS, Eng WS, Hu W, et al. CD99 is a therapeutic target on disease stem cells in myeloid malignancies. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(374):eaaj2025.
- 27. Shlush LI, Zandi S, Mitchell A, et al. Identification of pre-leukaemic haematopoietic stem cells in acute leukaemia. Nature. 2014;506(7488):328-333.