scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Myofibrillar protein synthesis rates are increased in chronically exercised skeletal muscle despite decreased anabolic signaling

Henning T. Langer^{1,2\approx}, Daniel West^{2,3,4}, Joan Senden⁵, Simone Spuler¹, Luc J. C. van Loon⁵ & Keith Baar^{2,6,7}

The molecular responses to acute resistance exercise are well characterized. However, how cellular signals change over time to modulate chronic adaptations to more prolonged exercise training is less well understood. We investigated anabolic signaling and muscle protein synthesis rates at several time points after acute and chronic eccentric loading. Adult rat tibialis anterior muscle was stimulated for six sets of ten repetitions, and the muscle was collected at 0 h, 6 h, 18 h and 48 h. In the last group of animals, 48 h after the first exercise bout a second bout was conducted, and the muscle was collected 6 h later (54 h total). In a second experiment, rats were exposed to four exercise sessions over the course of 2 weeks. Anabolic signaling increased robustly 6 h after the first bout returning to baseline between 18 and 48 h. Interestingly, 6 h after the second bout mTORC1 activity was significantly lower than following the first bout. In the chronically exercised rats, we found baseline anabolic signaling was decreased, whereas myofibrillar protein synthesis (MPS) was substantially increased, 48 h after the last bout of exercise. The increase in MPS occurred in the absence of changes to muscle fiber size or mass. In conclusion, we find that anabolic signaling is already diminished after the second bout of acute resistance type exercise. Further, chronic exposure to resistance type exercise training results in decreased basal anabolic signaling but increased overall MPS rates.

Abbreviations

MPS	Muscle protein synthesis
D2O	Deuterium oxide
MPE	Mole percent excess
FSR	Fractional synthetic rate
GC-MS	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
mTORC1	Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1
TA	Tibialis anterior
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EGTA	Ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid
DC	Detergent compatible
PVDF	Polyvinylidene difluoride
TBST	Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20
SLB	Sucrose lysis buffer

¹Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ²Department of Physiology and Membrane Biology, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA. ³KITE Research Institute, University Health Network, Toronto, Canada. ⁴Faculty of Kinesiology and Physical Education, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ⁵Department of Human Biology, NUTRIM School of Nutrition and Translational Research in Metabolism, Maastricht University Medical Centre+, Maastricht, The Netherlands. ⁶Neurobiology, Physiology and Behavior, University of California, Davis, CA, USA. ⁷VA Northern California Health Care System, Mather, CA, USA. ^{\inflex}email: htlanger@ucdavis.edu

MTBSTFA *N-tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl-*N*-methyltrifluoroacetamide TBDMS *tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl

Skeletal muscle is a highly plastic tissue whose mass increases in response to repeated exposure to stimuli such as resistance type exercise and nutrition, or decreases in response to stimuli such as unloading, nerve damage, or aging. As a post-mitotic tissue the primary mode by which skeletal muscle mass is thought to increase in adult animals, including humans, is hypertrophy^{1,2}. The molecular signals underlying the anabolic response to an acute bout of resistance type exercise are well characterized and correlate with changes in muscle size after chronic training: muscle contractions cause increased activation of the canonical mTORC1 axis, resulting in phosphorylation of S6K1 (Thr389), its downstream target ribosomal protein S6 (Ser240/244) and eventually translation initiation of contractile proteins³. As such, molecular signaling through S6K1 is associated with a robust increase in global and myofibrillar protein synthesis after acute exercise⁴. However, how these changes in signaling and muscle protein synthesis govern long term adaptions to exercise and orchestrate increases in muscle size is less clear. Indeed, chronic exposure to resistance type exercise in rats causes a decrease in anabolic signaling through mTORC1 which can be recovered through detraining⁵. Conversely, data in the context of sarcopenia suggests that age-related muscle loss is associated with an overactivation of mTORC1 that, in rodents, can be ameliorated through rapamycin treatment^{6–9}.

Adding to the notion that the downregulation or a more transient activation of mTORC1 might be a physiological response to chronic training, gene expression data from humans showed a strong correlation between markers of mTORC1 inhibition and gains in lean mass following chronic resistance type exercise¹⁰. Furthermore, myofibrillar protein synthesis rates after an acute bout do not consistently predict long-term changes in muscle mass in response to resistance type exercise^{11,12}. However, if contractile protein synthesis is measured at a later time point, when exercise-induced muscle damage is less pronounced, myofibrillar protein synthesis rates start to correlate better with the observed long-term changes in muscle mass¹³. This indicates muscle protein synthesis rates reflect an adaptive response to exercise that includes muscle remodeling rather than muscle growth per se.

The goal of this study was to examine the relationship between acute signaling and protein synthesis responses after eccentric contractions and chronic changes in molecular signaling and myofibrillar protein synthesis after repeated exposure. We hypothesized that mTORC1 signaling would progressively decline over the course of the training regime and become inversely related to myofibrillar protein synthesis.

Methods

Animals and stimulation. We conducted two sets of experiments. The first consisted of the stimulation of 5 groups of 10 months old, male Fischer 344-Brown Norway rats (each n = 5-6) through ten sets of 6 eccentric contractions of the tibialis anterior (TA) at 4-8 V and 100 Hz as described previously³. The TA was collected immediately before stimulation or at 6 h, 18 h and 48 h after stimulation. A subset of these samples has been analyzed and published previously¹⁴. In the fifth group, the animals were stimulated a second time at 48 h and collected 6 h later (i.e., at 54 h). In the second experiment, we stimulated 7-month-old, male Sprague–Dawley (n = 4) with the same parameters outlined above four times over the course of two weeks. To avoid any potential interference of the last stimulation bout with our subsequent analysis of muscle mass (e.g. exercise-induced edema), the TA was collected 48 h after the last bout when the animals were in a fasted state. To facilitate the repeated stimulations, an electrode wire was implanted onto the sciatic nerve above its point of trifurcation running to the back of the neck of the rats³. All stimulations and muscle collections were conducted under isoflurane anesthesia (2-3%). Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation (first experiment) or cardiac puncture (second experiment). Animals on the second experiment were fed a diet of 20 g chow (ssniff Spezialdiäten GmbH, Soest, Germany) equivalent to 79 kcal day⁻¹ to slow weight gain in rats on an ad libitum diet. Experiments were approved and carried out according to the guidelines of the University of California Davis Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) (USA) and the Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales (LaGeSo) in Berlin (Germany). All animal experiments were in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines.

Western blot analysis. Aliquots of frozen powdered tibialis anterior muscles were homogenized in 200 µL sucrose lysis buffer (SLB; 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% protease inhibitor complex) on a vortexer for 60 min at 4 °C. Following centrifugation at 10,000g for 10 min, the supernatant was collected. Protein concentrations were determined in triplicates using the DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Sample concentrations were adjusted using SLB. Following dilution in Laemmli sample buffer, 1 µg protein/µL were denatured at 100 °C for 5 min. Protein (10–15 µg protein per lane) was loaded on 4-20% Criterion TGX Stain-free gels (Bio-Rad), run for 45 min at 200 V and visualized after a UV-induced 1-min reaction to produce fluorescence. Following quantification, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose or polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane at 100 V for 30-60 min, depending on the size of the protein of interest. Efficient transfer was confirmed using Ponceau staining of the membrane. Membranes were then air dried and directly incubated with the primary antibody or washed and blocked in 1% fish skin gelatin dissolved in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h. To allow for the probing of multiple antibodies, the membrane was cut above and below the appropriate molecular weight of the protein of interest prior to incubation with the antibody overnight at 4 °C. The next day, membranes were washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies at 1:5000 (goat) to 1:10,000 (mouse, rabbit) in 1% skim milk-TBST for 1 h at room temperature. Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Millipore, Hayward, CA, USA) was then applied to the membranes for protein visualization by chemiluminescence. Image acquisition and band quantification was performed using the ChemiDoc MP System and Image Lab 5.0 software (Bio-Rad). Protein levels of each sample were calculated as band intensities relative to total protein as described previously¹⁵. Representative pictures of the blots were cropped to display proteins and samples of interest. Unaltered pictures of every membrane and gel can be found in the supplement (Supplementary Information). The following antibodies were used in this study at a concentration of 1 to 1000. Cell Signaling (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA): Akt (#9272), phospho-S6 Kinase 1 (S6K1) (Thr389) (#9205; lot 16), phospho-ribosomal protein S6 (S6) (Ser240/244) (#5364), phospho-eEF2 (Thr56) (#2331), phospho-4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) (Thr37/46) (#2855); Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc, Dallas, TX): muscle LIM protein/cysteine and glycine-rich protein 3 (mLIM) (#166930; lot E2814); Millipore Sigma (Merck Group): insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) (#06-248; lot 2465193), puromycin (MABE343).

SUNSET measurement of protein synthesis. Global muscle protein synthesis after acute exercise was assessed using the SUrface SEnsing of Translation (SUNSET) method as described previously¹⁶. Puromycin was dissolved in sterile saline (0.9% NaCl) and delivered via i.p. injection (0.02 μ mol puromycin×g⁻¹ body weight) 30 min prior to muscle collection. Puromycin-truncated peptides, reflecting the rate of global muscle protein synthesis, were analyzed by western blot as described above.

Histological analysis. The blocked TA was cut into sections using a Leica CM3050 S cryostat (Leica Microsystems, Germany) and Gomori trichrome stainings were performed as described previously¹⁷. Images were collected using the Leica DMI6000 and digital images were processed using the Leica LAS AF software.

Deuterium oxide labeling. We used a labeling protocol suitable to detect deuterium (²H) enrichments in alanine of the myofibrillar protein fraction of skeletal muscle via GC–MS similar to what has been published previously^{18,19}. Briefly, 2 weeks after surgery the animals received an intraperitoneal injection of 0.014 mL g⁻¹ bodyweight of D2O (99.8% + Atom D, Euriso-Top GmbH Saarbrücken) and 0.9% NaCl. This injection primed the animals and enriched their body water levels to approximately 2.5% D2O. To maintain the label concentration, the rats received drinking water with 4% D2O enrichment for 14 days prior to collection.

Myofibrillar protein extraction. Myofibrillar protein isolation was performed as described previously²⁰. Briefly, 80-120 mg muscle sample of rat TA (n=4) was weighed into an Eppendorf tube and stored on ice. A standard buffer solution was added to each sample at $10 \,\mu L \,mg^{-1}$ and the muscle tissue was thoroughly homogenized. Scissors were used to mince the tissue before subsequent homogenization by plastic pestles. To fractionate a pellet rich in myofibrillar- and other structural proteins, the sample was spun at 700g for 10 min at 4 °C. The remaining pellet was washed twice with buffer and dH2O, the supernatant was discarded and 1 mL 0.3 NaOH was added to the pellet to further solubilize the myofibrillar proteins and isolate them from collagen. The samples were heated at 50 °C for 30 min. Subsequently the sample was spun at 10,000g for 5 min at 4 °C and the supernatant containing the myofibrillar protein was transferred into 4 mL screw-cap glass vials. One milliliter of 1 M PCA was added to each glass vial to precipitate the myofibrillar proteins. After centrifugation, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet washed twice with 500 µL 70% EtOH. After removal of the EtOH, 1.5 mL of 6 M HCL was added to hydrolyze the samples over night at 110 °C. The next day the samples were put in a heating block (120 °C) and dried under a nitrogen steam. To further purify the amino acids, the samples were passed through Dowex exchange resin (AG 50 W-X8 Resin, Bio-Rad) prior to derivatization. After purification, the glass vials were carefully vortexed and put under a nitrogen steam to dry before derivatization. Samples containing the free amino acids of the myofibrillar protein fraction were then converted to their tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) derivatives via the addition of 50 µL of N-tert-Butyldimethylsilyl-N-methyltrifluoroacetamide (MTB-STFA) and 50 µL of acetonitrile to the sample. Each sample was then incubated for 1 h at 70 °C. The sample was then transferred to 2 mL screwcap chromacol vials (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Schwerte, Germany) suitable for GC-MS injection.

Plasma protein extraction. To precipitate plasma protein, 40 μ L perchloric acid (20%) were added to 360 μ L plasma sample. After vortexing, free amino acids were separated from protein bound amino acids by centrifugation (3500 rpm, 20 min, 4 °C). The pellet was collected and washed three times with 1 mL perchloric acid (2%) before being hydrolyzed over night as described above. After hydrolysis, samples were purified and processed for GC–MS injection as described above. Values of unlabeled samples were used as a baseline control for ²H enrichment in plasma protein bound alanine.

Free alanine enrichments in plasma. Plasma samples were thawed on ice and dry 5-sulfosalicylic acid was added to the sample to deproteinize it as described previously²¹. After vortexing, the sample was spun at 1000*g* for 15 min. The supernatant was collected and then purified, processed, and measured on the GC–MS as described in the sections above.

Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. The alanine enrichment was determined by electron ionization gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS; Agilent 6890N GC/5973N MSD) using selected ion monitoring of masses 232, 233, 234, 235, and 236 for their unlabeled and labeled ²H-alanine. We applied standard regression curves to assess linearity of the mass spectrometer and to control for the loss of tracer.

Calculations. Myofibrillar protein synthesis rates were calculated using the precursor-product method^{22,23}.



Figure 1. Anabolic signaling in response to an acute exercise bout of eccentric contractions. Protein levels of Puromycin (**A**), phosphorylated S6K1 (Thr389) (**B**), phosphorylated eEF2 (Thr56) (**C**) and phosphorylated S6 (Ser240/244) (**D**). Protein levels are displayed relative to the non-exercised contralateral control leg. Collection time points were at 0 h (no exercise) and after one bout of eccentric contractions at 6 h, 18 h and 48 h (n=5-6). At 48 h after the first stimulation a second bout with identical parameters was conducted and the animals were collected 6 h later (54 h) (n=5). Intensity of the bands was normalized to total protein content of the gel or membrane. Data points between 0-48 h have been part of a previous publication by our laboratory¹⁴. Data is represented as mean ± SD. * indicates a p-value of < 0.05, ** indicates a p-value of < 0.01, and **** indicates a p-value of < 0.0001.

 $FSR(\% * d^{-1}) = (\Delta MPEmyo/(\Delta MPEplasma * t)) * 100$

where FSR is the fractional synthesis rate of myofibrillar proteins, ΔMPE_{myo} is the change in enrichment of ²H in muscle protein-bound alanine, ΔMPE_{plasma} is the change in enrichment of ²H in free alanine found in plasma and t is time.

Statistics. Depending on the number of the groups compared, an unpaired t-test or a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons test was used to test the null hypothesis. An alpha of P < 0.05 was deemed statistically significant, and a P value between 0.05 and 0.1 was deemed a trend. Data in the text are reported as mean ± standard deviation, and data in the figures are visually represented as mean ± standard deviation of the mean, scatter dot plot with error bars indicating standard deviation, or box with whiskers where the whiskers indicate the minimal to maximal values, the line indicates the median and the box the 25th to 75th percentile of all values. All analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism Version 8 (La Jolla, CA, USA). All data from our experiments is available in the figures.

Results

The effect of a repeated bout of eccentric contractions on anabolic signaling. Signaling through the mTORC1 axis was activated significantly following the first acute bout of eccentric contractions. Phosphorylated S6K1 (Thr389) levels increased 26-fold at 6 h (p < 0.01) and decreased back to 11-fold and less than twofold at 18 h and 48 h, respectively (Fig. 1B). The second bout of eccentric contractions at 48 h did not significantly increase p-S6K1 levels 6 h later, at 54 h total time (p > 0.99). Similarly, phosphorylation of S6K1's downstream target S6 (Ser240/244) increased fivefold at 6 h following eccentric contractions (p < 0.0001), declined back to twofold at 18 h and stayed at about twofold higher at 48 h (Fig. 1D). The second bout did not increase p-S6 levels 6 h after the second bout, at 54 h total time (p = 0.94). At 6 h after eccentric contractions, phospho-

rylation of translation elongation factor (eEF)2 (Thr56) decreased to about 70% of the control value (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1C). Phosphorylation stayed depressed (73% at 18 h) rising back to baseline at 48 h. Phosphorylation of eEF2 decreased about 18% 6 h after the second bout without reaching statistical significance (p=0.55). Finally, protein synthesis as assessed via puromycin increased by about 36% at 6 h after the first bout without reaching significance (p=0.16) (Fig. 1A). Protein levels decreased back to about 18% above baseline at 18 h and stayed around this level at 48 h. The second bout at 48 h did not significantly increase global protein synthesis at 54 h, with puromycin levels staying 21% above baseline levels compared to 0 h. Data points between 0-48 h have been part of a previous publication by our laboratory¹⁴.

Chronic exposure to eccentric contractions reduces basal mTORC1 signaling. In the chronic experiment, 48 h after the last bout many signals that were elevated after an acute bout of exercise were down-regulated in the repeatedly stimulated leg. Phosphorylated S6K1 (Thr389) decreased 50% in the stimulated compared to the control leg (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2C). Similarly, its downstream target phosphorylated S6 (Ser240/244) was decreased ~75% in the stimulated compared to the control leg (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2D). Additionally, there was a trend for a decrease in phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (Thr37/46) in the stimulated leg (p = 0.1) (Fig. 2E). Simultaneously, upstream of growth factor activation of mTORC1, IRS1 levels tended to increase to 2.7-fold in the stimulated leg compared to the control leg (p = 0.06) (Fig. 2A). Similarly, total Akt levels were increased by 59% in the stimulated compared to the control leg (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2B). Finally, mLIM protein levels were increased to about threefold in the stimulated compared to the control leg (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2F). Representative pictures of the blots are displayed in Fig. 2G.

No changes to myofiber size, muscle mass or body weight following chronic exercise. We performed histological assessment of the TA using a standard Gomori trichrome staining. We found no obvious changes in myofiber size, central nuclei, connective tissue accumulation, denervation, or regenerating fibers with chronic exercise (Fig. 3A). In line with this, mass of the TA was unchanged between the control leg $(0.97 \pm 0.1 \text{ g})$ and the exercised leg $(0.97 \pm 0.1 \text{ g})$ (Fig. 3B). We limited food access throughout the intervention to keep the body weight of the animals stable; as a result, no significant increase in body weight was observed (Fig. 3C).

Chronic eccentric contractions increase myofibrillar protein synthesis. We measured the incorporation of deuterium labelled alanine from the circulation into the myofibrillar fraction of the TA over the course of two weeks. The enrichment of ²H-ala label in the protein bound myofibrillar fraction of the TA increased from 2.8 (\pm 0.4) mole percent excess (MPE) in the control leg to 3.8 (\pm 0.3) MPE in the chronically exercised leg (p<0.05) (Fig. 4A). Similarly, myofibrillar protein synthesis rates in the control leg were 2.6% per day (\pm 0.3%) but about 38% higher in the chronically exercised leg (3.3 \pm 0.3% per day) (Fig. 4B) in good agreement with the acute change in protein synthesis measured by SuNSET (Fig. 1).

Discussion

This study addressed the complex relationship between molecular signaling, muscle protein synthesis and morphological adaptations by examining changes from an acute bout of eccentric contractions to a second bout and finally an early chronic setting of repeated bouts over multiple weeks. We found that an acute resistance-type exercise stimulus is associated with a robust increase in anabolic signaling and protein synthesis in the hours after exercise; however, this signal is significantly dampened after a second bout. The decrease in molecular signaling with repeated stimuli became even more pronounced at rest after two weeks of eccentric contractions, decreasing below control for p-S6K1 (Thr389) and p-rpS6 (Ser240/244). Interestingly, despite the decrease in baseline anabolic signaling, myofibrillar protein synthesis was substantially higher in the stimulated leg. Despite the increase in myofiber lar two weeks of training. These data highlight the non-linear relationship between acute anabolic signaling, muscle protein turnover and the long-term adaption of muscle hypertrophy.

Classically, the response to resistance type exercise is characterized as an increase in anabolic signaling that results in upregulated synthesis of contractile proteins and eventually muscle fiber hypertrophy³. However, even though these experiments identified an association between the acute activation of the mTORC1 signaling pathway and long-term muscle growth, the extent to which there was a causal relationship between the acute signal (i.e., from a first exercise bout) and chronic adaptations is unclear. The strength of this study was to fill in some of the gaps in our understanding between the acute molecular signaling following a first (untrained) exercise bout, that was immediately followed by an analysis of the molecular signature of a second bout, before investigating chronic changes to protein turnover. Previous studies have commonly directly compared acute and chronic adaptations to exercise, without investigating how rapidly after a first bout these changes might already occur.

A first bout of resistance type exercise, and specifically eccentric contractions, is known to cause substantial muscle damage that results in delayed onset muscle soreness, inflammation and swelling of the tissue^{24,25}. This extreme response becomes less pronounced with every following stimulus and the tissue appears largely protected from excessive damage once acclimated to the training. This process of rapid adaptation is called the repeated bout effect²⁶. Therefore, it stands to reason that part of the molecular signature of a first exercise bout might not be due to mechanotransduction signals that causally relate to contractile protein accrual, but rather the response to acute muscle damage.

Recent data supports this idea of an amplified "anabolic response" due to muscle damage. Mitchell and colleagues found that acute rates of myofibrillar protein synthesis are a poor predictor of long-term muscle growth with resistance type exercise¹¹. The same group later found that while protein synthesis does not correlate with



Figure 2. Baseline anabolic signaling in response to chronic exposure to eccentric contractions. Protein levels of total IRS1 (**A**), total Akt (**B**), phosphorylated S6K1 (Thr389) (**C**), phosphorylated S6 (Ser240/244) (**D**), phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (**E**) and mLIM (**F**). Representative blots of all proteins and total protein content of the gel are displayed in (**G**). Pictures of the membranes were cropped to display proteins and samples of interest. Protein levels in (**A**–**F**) are displayed relative to the non-exercised contralateral control leg. Animals were stimulated four times over two weeks, collection time point was 48 h after the last exercise bout with all animals being in a fasted state (n = 4). Intensity of the bands was normalized to total protein content of the gel or membrane. Data is represented as mean \pm SD * indicates a p-value of <0.05.

long-term growth after a first bout, the change in protein synthesis rates following acclimatization to the resistance type exercise program correlate well with muscle growth at the end of the program¹³. Indeed, they found that the early amplification of myofibrillar protein synthesis was directly associated with structural muscle damage, whereas the correlation between protein synthesis and growth after acclimatization was unrelated to muscle damage. This suggests that mTORC1 activity and increased muscle protein synthesis rates after a first bout largely reflect an adaptive response to exercise and muscle remodeling, rather than muscle growth per se.

In both kinase-dependent and independent manners, mTORC1 is required for muscle repair following injury²⁷, suggesting that the acute mTORC1 time course response could be biased by the inflammatory response, or other damage response mechanisms, to unaccustomed exercise. Consistent with this hypothesis, Trappe



Figure 3. Muscle cross sections and body characteristics after chronic exposure to eccentric contractions. Gomori trichrome stainings show no obvious change in respect to myofiber size or number, and no change in pathophysiological characteristics such as central nuclei, remodeling fibers, connective tissue infiltration or denervation (**A**). No change in muscle mass was observed over the 2-week time frame (**B**). Body weight of the animals remained unchanged over the course of the intervention (**C**). Data is represented as mean \pm SD.

et al. demonstrated that anti-inflammatory drugs reduce protein synthesis in human skeletal muscle after acute eccentric exercise²⁸, possibly by blocking the activity of cyclooxygenase enzymes which stimulate mTORC1²⁹ and muscle cell growth³⁰, and regulate muscle regeneration³¹. Broadening this hypothesis, recent studies found that canonical anabolic signaling pathways and myofibrillar protein synthesis can be increased in situations of severe muscle damage or atrophy, where a concomitant increase in proteolysis outpaces anabolism resulting in atrophy^{32–37}.

Our finding that chronic exposure to resistance type exercise results in a decrease in anabolic signaling is supported by a clinical trial from Phillips and colleagues, in which the authors observed a genetic signature consistent with mTORC1 inhibition that was correlated with gains in muscle mass over 20 weeks of resistance type exercise¹⁰. This is in line with data on the protein level from Ogasawara et al. in rats, who found that 12 bouts of resistance type exercise led to a decrease in S6K1 activity which could be reestablished through a 12-day break before the 13th bout^{5,38}. Similar to our second experiment, Glynn et al. found that physically active rats had reduced baseline S6K1 (Thr389) levels compared to sedentary controls³⁹. Multiple clinical trials in humans found that in chronically trained muscle, anabolic signaling through mTORC1 after exercise becomes less pronounced⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ while MPS still increases, albeit in a dampened or shortened manner⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸. In our animal model, we found a robust increase in integrated MPS over the two-week course of stimulation. A possible explanation for why MPS is relatively robustly increased in our chronic rat model compared to the clinical trial data in chronically exercised humans is that we measured MPS over the entire two-week intervention period. In other words, MPS in our experiment is an average of the acute response to exercise, likely including a damage-induced amplification of MPS, as well as the response to later stimulation bouts which is likely to be attenuated. An additional explanation along the same line is that while the acute response of MPS to exercise is usually



Figure 4. Changes to myofibrillar protein turnover with chronic exposure to eccentric contractions. Incorporation of deuterium-labelled alanine (d-ala) into the myofibrillar muscle fraction of TA was assessed via GC–MS (n=4). Enrichment of d-ala was increased in the chronically exercised muscle compared to the contralateral control leg (**A**). Similarly, fractional synthetic rate (FSR) of d-ala between circulation and the myofibrillar subfraction of the TA was significantly increased with chronic eccentric contractions compared to the contralateral control condition (**B**). * indicates a p-value of < 0.05. The floating boxes represent the data between the 25th and 75th percentile of the results, the line is the median and the whiskers are the minimum and maximal values.

decreased in the trained state, basal MPS has been found to be increased⁴⁹. Therefore, since our measurement of MPS captured exercise induced as well as basal rates, it is possible that increases in the latter contributed to the overall increase observed in our study.

Interestingly, studies over the last decade have also shown that aging causes increased baseline mTORC1 activity in stem cells⁵⁰, liver⁵¹ and muscle^{6,7}, and that inhibition of mTORC1 through rapamycin is able to reduce age-associated muscle loss and extend lifespan in model organisms^{6-8,52}. Phosphorylation of the downstream targets of mTORC1, S6K1 and S6, is linked to a negative feedback loop that decreases IRS1 levels and insulin sensitivity⁵³. This has caused the hypothesis that age-induced hyperactivity of mTORC1 plays a key role in the development of insulin resistance and diabetes, supported by data that showed that the absence of S6K1 improves insulin sensitivity and glucose homeostasis⁵⁴. To this end, decreased insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle is the main problem underlying type 2 diabetes⁵⁵ which in turn is closely associated with anabolic resistance and sarcopenia^{56,57}. In line with this, we have recently confirmed that the age-induced increase in mTORC1 activity negatively feeds back on IRS1 levels resulting in decreased IRS1 protein levels and a shortened muscle protein synthesis response to resistance type exercise¹⁴. In humans, immediately after a single bout of resistance exercise insulin sensitivity decreases⁵⁸, whereas following training insulin sensitivity increases concomitant with an increase in IRS1 levels⁵⁹. In the current study, chronic stimulation decreased baseline S6K activity, IRS1 levels tended to increase along with total Akt and myofibrillar protein synthesis in a muscle-specific manner (i.e. only in the exercised muscle). The increase in Akt could be important in the increase of MPS since blocking Akt phosphorylation is necessary to completely blunt the protein synthetic response to resistance exercise and significantly decreases basal skeletal muscle protein synthesis⁶⁰.

A limitation of this study is the relatively short duration of the chronic training stimulus. It is possible that 14 days were insufficient to cause significant changes in myofiber size or muscle mass. However, it is important to keep in mind that by directly stimulating the sciatic nerve in our animal model, we are causing a contraction intensity that is beyond what could be achieved in wake men or rats. This, in addition to the already faster metabolism of rodents compared to men⁶¹, causes a more intense stimulus that is followed by an accelerated time course of adaptation. Previous studies by our laboratory found that 6-weeks of the same stimulation protocol led to a ~ 14% increase in muscle mass³. The more extreme method of synergist ablation causes increases of over 50% in muscle mass of rodents in just 9 days. Even in humans, a recent study has found that as little as four weeks of resistance exercise are sufficient to detect changes in muscle mass⁶². Therefore, we believe that the time frame was sufficient to detect at least tendencies in our animal model. The fact that we did not see such tendencies indicates that the adaptive response to eccentric exercise in respect to the molecular signature as well as MPS are largely independent and timely dissociated from phenotypical changes to size. Another potential reason for the absence of muscle growth in our model could be that we restricted energy intake to keep our animals relatively weight stable. The absence of a calorie surplus that usually occurs in laboratory animals on an ad libitum diet⁶³,

may have decreased their ability to add de novo muscle tissue to their body. However, the role of total energy availability for skeletal muscle growth in response to resistance exercise is not very well understood and a benefit for increased energy intake has yet to be supported by direct evidence⁶⁴.

Taken together, the collective reports in the literature and the data from this current study suggest that to maintain muscle mass with aging and for muscle to adapt to exercise stimuli, perpetual mTORC1 activity is undesirable. Instead, high degrees of activation of mTORC1 in the early phase following exercise facilitate acute increases in protein synthesis and muscle remodeling, which is followed by the downregulation of mTORC1 signaling components in a more chronic setting. This decrease in mTORC1 is accompanied by a concomitant upregulation of IRS1/Akt that leads to greater insulin sensitivity and nutrient sensing, resulting in elevated muscle protein turnover rates in exercise-adapted muscle.

Received: 28 December 2021; Accepted: 23 March 2022 Published online: 09 May 2022

References

- Goldberg, A. L., Etlinger, J. D., Goldspink, D. F. & Jablecki, C. Mechanism of work-induced hypertrophy. *Med. Sci. Sports* 7, 248–261 (1975).
- 2. Goldberg, A. L. Mechanisms of growth and atrophy of skeletal muscle. *Muscle Biol.* 1, 89 (1972).
- Baar, K. & Esser, K. Phosphorylation of p70S6kcorrelates with increased skeletal muscle mass following resistance exercise. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 276, C120–C127 (1999).
- Burd, N. A. et al. Muscle time under tension during resistance exercise stimulates differential muscle protein sub-fractional synthetic responses in men. J. Physiol. 590, 351–362 (2012).
- Ogasawara, R. *et al.* mTOR signaling response to resistance exercise is altered by chronic resistance training and detraining in skeletal muscle. J. Appl. Physiol. 114, 934–940 (2013).
- 6. Joseph, G. A. *et al.* Partial inhibition of mTORC1 in aged rats counteracts the decline in muscle mass and reverses molecular signaling associated with sarcopenia. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2019**, 39 (2019).
- 7. Ham, D. J. et al. The neuromuscular junction is a focal point of mTORC1 signaling in sarcopenia. Nat. Commun. 11, 4510 (2020).
- 8. Tang, H. *et al.* mTORC1 underlies age-related muscle fiber damage and loss by inducing oxidative stress and catabolism. *Aging Cell* **18**, e12943 (2019).
- Ham, A. S. et al. mTORC1 signalling is not essential for the maintenance of muscle mass and function in adult sedentary mice. J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 11, 259–273 (2020).
- 10. Phillips, B. E. et al. Molecular networks of human muscle adaptation to exercise and age. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003389 (2013).

11. Mitchell, C. J. *et al.* Acute post-exercise myofibrillar protein synthesis is not correlated with resistance training-induced muscle hypertrophy in young men. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e89431 (2014).

- Mitchell, C. J., Churchward-Venne, T. A., Cameron-Smith, D. & Phillips, S. M. What is the relationship between the acute muscle protein synthesis response and changes in muscle mass?. J. Appl. Physiol. (Bethesda, Md : 1985) 118, 495–497 (2015).
- 13. Damas, F. et al. Resistance training-induced changes in integrated myofibrillar protein synthesis are related to hypertrophy only after attenuation of muscle damage. J. Physiol. 594, 5209–5222 (2016).
- 14. West, D. W. D. *et al.* Normal ribosomal biogenesis but shortened protein synthetic response to acute eccentric resistance exercise in old skeletal muscle. *Front. Physiol.* 9, 1915 (2018).
- Gilda, J. E. & Gomes, A. V. Stain-free total protein staining is a superior loading control to β-actin for western blots. *Anal. Biochem.* 440, 186–188 (2013).
- West, D. W. D. et al. Acute resistance exercise activates rapamycin-sensitive and-insensitive mechanisms that control translational activity and capacity in skeletal muscle. J. Physiol. 594, 453–468 (2016).
- Engel, W. K. & Cunningham, G. G. Rapid examination of muscle tissue. An improved trichrome method for fresh-frozen biopsy sections. *Neurology* 13, 919 (1963).
- Busch, R. et al. Measurement of protein turnover rates by heavy water labeling of nonessential amino acids. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Gen. Subj. 1760, 730–744 (2006).
- Gasier, H. G., Fluckey, J. D., Previs, S. F., Wiggs, M. P. & Riechman, S. E. Acute resistance exercise augments integrative myofibrillar protein synthesis. *Metabolism* 61, 153–156 (2012).
- Burd, N. A. et al. Resistance exercise volume affects myofibrillar protein synthesis and anabolic signalling molecule phosphorylation in young men. J. Physiol. 588, 3119–3130 (2010).
- Trommelen, J. et al. Resistance exercise augments postprandial overnight muscle protein synthesis rates. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 48, 2517–2525 (2016).
- 22. Wall, B. T. *et al.* Disuse impairs the muscle protein synthetic response to protein ingestion in healthy men. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **98**, 4872–4881 (2013).
- Baumann, P. Q., Stirewalt, W. S., O'Rourke, B. D., Howard, D. & Nair, K. S. Precursor pools of protein synthesis: A stable isotope study in a swine model. Am. J. Physiol. 267, E203–E209 (1994).
- 24. Ebbeling, C. B. & Clarkson, P. M. Exercise-induced muscle damage and adaptation. Sports Med. (Auckland, NZ) 7, 207–234 (1989).
- 25. Kuipers, H. Exercise-induced muscle damage. Int. J. Sports Med. 15, 132–135 (1994).
- Clarkson, P. M., Nosaka, K. & Braun, B. Muscle function after exercise-induced muscle damage and rapid adaptation. *Med. Sci.* Sports Exerc. 24, 512–520 (1992).
 Ge, Y. et al. mTOR regulates skeletal muscle regeneration in vivo through kinase-dependent and kinase-independent mechanisms.
- Ge, Y. et al. mTOR regulates skeletal muscle regeneration in vivo through kinase-dependent and kinase-independent mechanisms. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 297, C1434–C1444 (2009).
- Trappe, T. A. *et al.* Effect of ibuprofen and acetaminophen on postexercise muscle protein synthesis. *Am. J. Physiol.-Endocrinol. Metab.* 282, E551–E556 (2002).
- 29. Markworth, J. F. & Cameron-Smith, D. Prostaglandin F2 alpha stimulates PI3K/ERK/mTOR signaling and skeletal myotube hypertrophy. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **300**, C671–C682 (2011).
- Markworth, J. F. & Cameron-Smith, D. Arachidonic acid supplementation enhances in vitro skeletal muscle cell growth via a COX-2-dependent pathway. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 304, C56-67 (2013).
- Bondesen, B. A., Mills, S. T., Kegley, K. M. & Pavlath, G. K. The COX-2 pathway is essential during early stages of skeletal muscle regeneration. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 287, C475–C483 (2004).
- 32. Langer, H. T. *et al.* Muscle atrophy due to nerve damage is accompanied by elevated myofibrillar protein synthesis rates. *Front. Physiol.* **9**, 1220 (2018).
- Dalle, S. et al. Cardiotoxin-induced skeletal muscle injury elicits profound changes in anabolic and stress signaling, and muscle fiber type composition. J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil. 41, 375–387 (2020).

- Rønning, S. B. et al. Syndecan-4(-/-) mice have smaller muscle fibers, increased Akt/mTOR/S6K1 and notch/HES-1 pathways, and alterations in extracellular matrix components. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 8, 730 (2020).
- 35. Liu, X. *et al.* Evaluation of Akt/mTOR activity in muscle atrophy after rotator cuff tears in a rat model. *J. Orthopaed. Res.* **30**, 1440–1446 (2012).
- 36. Nilsson, M. I. *et al.* Abnormal protein turnover and anabolic resistance to exercise in sarcopenic obesity. *FASEB J.* **27**, 3905–3916 (2013).
- You, J. S., Kim, K., Steinert, N. D., Chen, J. & Hornberger, T. A. mTORC1 mediates fiber type-specific regulation of protein synthesis and muscle size during denervation. *Cell Death Discov.* 7, 74 (2021).
- 38. Ato, S. *et al.* The effect of changing the contraction mode during resistance training on mTORC1 signaling and muscle protein synthesis. *Front. Physiol.* **10**, 406 (2019).
- Glynn, E. L. et al. A chronic increase in physical activity inhibits fed-state mTOR/S6K1 signaling and reduces IRS-1 serine phosphorylation in rat skeletal muscle. Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab. (Physiol. Appl. Nutr. Metab.) 33, 93–101 (2008).
- Farnfield, M. M., Breen, L., Carey, K. A., Garnham, A. & Cameron-Smith, D. Activation of mTOR signalling in young and old human skeletal muscle in response to combined resistance exercise and whey protein ingestion. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* (*Physiol. Appl. Nutr. Metab.*) 37, 21–30 (2012).
- 41. Wilkinson, S. B. *et al.* Differential effects of resistance and endurance exercise in the fed state on signalling molecule phosphorylation and protein synthesis in human muscle. *J. Physiol.* **586**, 3701–3717 (2008).
- Rahbek, S. K. et al. Effects of divergent resistance exercise contraction mode and dietary supplementation type on anabolic signalling, muscle protein synthesis and muscle hypertrophy. Amino Acids 46, 2377–2392 (2014).
- Brook, M. S. *et al.* Skeletal muscle hypertrophy adaptations predominate in the early stages of resistance exercise training, matching deuterium oxide-derived measures of muscle protein synthesis and mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling. *FASEB* J. 29, 4485–4496 (2015).
- 44. Vissing, K. et al. Differentiated mTOR but not AMPK signaling after strength vs endurance exercise in training-accustomed individuals. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports 23, 355–366 (2013).
- 45. Brook, M. S. *et al.* Synchronous deficits in cumulative muscle protein synthesis and ribosomal biogenesis underlie age-related anabolic resistance to exercise in humans. *J. Physiol.* **594**, 7399–7417 (2016).
- Phillips, S. M. et al. Resistance-training-induced adaptations in skeletal muscle protein turnover in the fed state. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 80, 1045–1053 (2002).
- Phillips, S. M., Tipton, K. D., Ferrando, A. A. & Wolfe, R. R. Resistance training reduces the acute exercise-induced increase in muscle protein turnover. Am. J. Physiol.-Endocrinol. Metab. 276, E118–E124 (1999).
- Tang, J. E., Perco, J. G., Moore, D. R., Wilkinson, S. B. & Phillips, S. M. Resistance training alters the response of fed state mixed muscle protein synthesis in young men. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 294, R172–R178 (2008).
- Reidy, P. T. et al. Post-absorptive muscle protein turnover affects resistance training hypertrophy. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 117, 853–866 (2017).
- Chen, C., Liu, Y., Liu, Y. & Zheng, P. mTOR regulation and therapeutic rejuvenation of aging hematopoietic stem cells. *Sci. Signal.* 2, 75 (2009).
- Sengupta, S., Peterson, T. R., Laplante, M., Oh, S. & Sabatini, D. M. mTORC1 controls fasting-induced ketogenesis and its modulation by ageing. *Nature* 468, 1100–1104 (2010).
- 52. Harrison, D. E. et al. Rapamycin fed late in life extends lifespan in genetically heterogeneous mice. Nature 460, 392-395 (2009).
- Zoncu, R., Efeyan, A. & Sabatini, D. M. mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 12, 21–35 (2011).
- 54. Um, S. H. *et al.* Absence of S6K1 protects against age- and diet-induced obesity while enhancing insulin sensitivity. *Nature* **431**, 200–205 (2004).
- 55. DeFronzo, R. A. & Tripathy, D. Skeletal muscle insulin resistance is the primary defect in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **32**(Suppl 2), S157–S163 (2009).
- Cleasby, M. E., Jamieson, P. M. & Atherton, P. J. Insulin resistance and sarcopenia: Mechanistic links between common comorbidities. J. Endocrinol. 229, R67-81 (2016).
- Morton, R. W., Traylor, D. A., Weijs, P. J. M. & Phillips, S. M. Defining anabolic resistance: implications for delivery of clinical care nutrition. *Curr. Opin. Crit. Care* 24, 124–130 (2018).
- Howlett, K. F., Salamoto, K., Garnham, A., Cameron-Smith, D. & Hargreaves, M. Resistance exercise and insulin regulate AS160 and interaction with 14-3-3 in human skeletal muscle. *Diabetes* 56, 1608–1614 (2007).
- Jorge, M. L. et al. The effects of aerobic, resistance, and combined exercise on metabolic control, inflammatory markers, adipocytokines, and muscle insulin signaling in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 60, 1244–1252 (2011).
- Ogasawara, R. & Suginohara, T. Rapamycin-insensitive mechanistic target of rapamycin regulates basal and resistance exerciseinduced muscle protein synthesis. FASEB J. 2018, fj201701422R (2018).
- 61. Waterlow, J. C. Protein turnover with special reference to man. Q. J. Exp. Physiol. (Cambridge, England) 69, 409–438 (1984).
- 62. Stock, M. S. *et al.* The time course of short-term hypertrophy in the absence of eccentric muscle damage. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **117**, 989–1004 (2017).
- 63. Martin, B., Ji, S., Maudsley, S. & Mattson, M. P. "Control" laboratory rodents are metabolically morbid: Why it matters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 6127–6133 (2010).
- 64. Slater, G. J. *et al.* Is an energy surplus required to maximize skeletal muscle hypertrophy associated with resistance training. *Front. Nutr.* **6**, 131 (2019).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Annemie Gijsen and Joy Goessens for their help with the GC-MS analysis.

Author contributions

H.L. conceived and executed the chronic experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. D.W. conceived and conducted the acute experiments. J.S. contributed to processing of tissue and GC–MS analysis. S.S. planned the chronic experiments and contributed to the manuscript. L.v.L. planned the chronic experiments, analyzed GC–MS data and contributed to the manuscript. K.B. planned the acute and chronic experiments, analyzed immunoblot data and wrote the manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was supported by National Institutes of Health (Grant No. R01AG056999), Boehringer Ingelheim Stiftung, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant No. "MyoGrad" IGK1631).

Competing interests

HTL, DW, JS and SS declare no conflict of interest. LvL and KB are paid by professional sports and Olympic teams to consult on nutrition/muscle/tendon/performance issues and have received grants, honoraria, and travel support from PepsiCo for nutrition research.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-11621-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.T.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022