Increased Serum Neurofilament Light and Thin Ganglion Cell–Inner Plexiform Layer Are Additive Risk Factors for Disease Activity in Early Multiple Sclerosis
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Abstract

Objective
To investigate the association of combined serum neurofilament light chain (sNfL) and retinal optical coherence tomography (OCT) measurements with future disease activity in patients with early multiple sclerosis (MS).

Methods
We analyzed sNfL by single molecule array technology and performed OCT measurements in a prospective cohort of 78 patients with clinically isolated syndrome and early relapsing-remitting MS with a median (interquartile range) follow-up of 23.9 (23.3–24.7) months. Patients were grouped into those with abnormal or normal sNfL levels, defined as sNfL ≥/≤80th percentile of age-corrected reference values. Likewise, patients were grouped by a median split into those with thin or thick ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (GCIP), peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer, and inner nuclear layer in nonoptic neuritis eyes. Outcome parameters were violation of no evidence of disease activity (NEDA-3) criteria or its components.

Results
Patients with abnormal baseline sNfL had a higher risk of violating NEDA-3 (hazard ratio [HR] 2.28, 95% CI 1.27–4.09, p = 0.006) and developing a new brain lesion (HR 2.47, 95% CI 1.30–4.69, p = 0.006), but not for a new relapse (HR 2.21, 95% CI 0.97–5.03, p = 0.058). Patients with both abnormal sNfL and thin GCIP had an even higher risk for NEDA-3 violation (HR 3.61, 95% CI 1.77–7.36, p = 4.2e−4), new brain lesion (HR 3.19, 95% CI 1.51–6.76, p = 0.002), and new relapse (HR 5.38, 95% CI 1.61–17.98, p = 0.006) than patients with abnormal sNfL alone.

Conclusions
In patients with early MS, the presence of both abnormal sNfL and thin GCIP is a stronger risk factor for future disease activity than the presence of each parameter alone.
Multiple sclerosis (MS) usually manifests with a monophasic demyelinating episode, referred to as clinically isolated syndrome (CIS). As the further clinical course of MS can be highly variable, reliable biomarkers for future disease activity in patients at early stage of the disease are valuable for individual counseling and guiding treatment decisions.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a noninvasive technique that can visualize axonal loss (peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer [pRNFL] thinning), neuronal damage (ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer [GCIP] thinning), and neuroinflammation (inner nuclear layer [INL] edema) in the retina. Our previous work showed that in patients with CIS, reduced GCIP thickness is associated with future disease activity.

Neurofilament light chain (NfL) protein is a promising biomarker for neuroaxonal damage. Serum NfL (sNfL) levels in MS were found to increase in cases where MRI measurements indicated new or enlarged brain lesions and decreased brain volume and were associated with diffuse white matter damage. In addition, higher sNfL levels in patients with early MS were also associated with higher probability of disability worsening.

Despite the fact that retinal layer thinning is a chronic process, and sNfL reflects shorter-term disease activity, both parameters may each provide a value for subsequent disease activity. However, the combined association of elevated sNfL and abnormal OCT measurements with future disease activity in patients with CIS/early MS has not been well-explored.

In this study, we aimed at investigating the potentially additive value of sNfL and OCT measurements as markers for subsequent disease activity in patients with CIS or early MS.

Methods

Study Design
All patients included in this study participate in an ongoing prospective, observational cohort study (Berlin CIS cohort: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01371071) at the NeuroCure Clinical Research Center, Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin of patients with a CIS or early MS (up to 2 years since disease onset) according to the McDonald 2017 criteria. The baseline OCT measurements and sNfL samples in this study were collected at the same visit. All patients underwent annual follow-up visits for clinical examination, serum sample collection, retinal OCT, and brain MRI scanning.

Inclusion criteria for this study were (1) the availability of baseline serum samples obtained between 12 and 24 months after the first disease manifestation and (2) a follow-up period of at least 12 months after enrollment. Exclusion criteria were (1) relapse events within 120 days before the study inclusion, (2) optic neuritis (ON) in both eyes, or (3) contraindications for OCT analysis, including a refractive error above ±6 diopters or retinopathy unrelated to MS.

The primary study outcome was violating the no evidence of disease activity (NEDA-3) criteria, which are composed of the absence of new relapses, no new gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) or T2-hyperintense lesions on MRI, and the absence of confirmed Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) worsening. We also analyzed the 3 components of NEDA-3 as separate outcome parameters. Confirmed EDSS worsening was defined as an increase of at least 1.5, 1.0, or 0.5 and consequently being sustained in the consecutive annual follow-up visit in patients with a baseline EDSS score of 0, at least 1.0 or above 5.5, respectively.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents
This study followed the Declaration of Helsinki in its currently applicable version and was approved by the institutional ethics committee at the Charité–Universitätsmedizin Berlin (EA1/182/10). All participants provided written informed consent before their inclusion in the study.

sNfL Measurements
sNfL was measured at the Department of Neurology, University of Basel, Switzerland, in frozen serum samples shipped on dry ice. Operators masked to clinical data performed the analysis using a highly sensitive single molecule array (Simoa Technology; QUANTERIX Corporation, Billerica, MA) immunoassay.

Optical Coherence Tomography
All OCT images were obtained under normal room light conditions by experienced operators through spectral domain OCT and Heidelberg Eye Explorer (HeyEx) version 1.9.10.0
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3D = 3-dimensional; ART = automatic real-time; CIS = clinically isolated syndrome; DMT = disease-modifying therapy; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; FLAIR = fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; GCIP = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer; Gd+ = gadolinium-enhancing; HeyEx = Heidelberg Eye Explorer; HR = hazard ratio; INL = inner nuclear layer; IQR = interquartile range; LMM = linear mixed effect model; MPRAGE = magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo; MS = multiple sclerosis; NEDA-3 = no evidence of disease activity; NfL = neurofilament light chain; OCT = optical coherence tomography; ON = optic neuritis; pRNFL = peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer; RRMS = relapsing-remitting MS; sNfL = serum NfL; T2w = T2-weighted; TE = echo time; TI = inversion time; TR = repetition time.
(Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) with automatic real-time (ART) function for image averaging and an activated eye tracker. All individual scans were quality controlled according to the OSCAR-IB criteria and reported based on the APOSTEL recommendations. A peripapillary circular scan within a 12° (~3.4 mm) diameter ring (1,536 A-scans; 9 ≤ ART ≤ 99) around the optic nerve head was used to measure the pRNFL thickness. The pRNFL on ring scans was segmented with HeyEx viewing module version 6.0.14.0. Macular volume scans (25° × 30°, 61 vertical B-scans, 12 ≤ ART ≤ 18) were segmented with the SAMIRIX pipeline. The GCIP and INL thickness were calculated as a 6-mm diameter cylinder around the fovea. Segmentation errors were manually corrected if necessary.

**MRI**

All MRI data were acquired using two 3 T machines (Tim Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The scanning protocol included a 3-dimensional (3D) T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence (repetition time [TR] = 1,900 milliseconds, echo time [TE] = 2.55 milliseconds, inversion time [TI] = 900 milliseconds) for precontrast and postcontrast administration and 3D T2-weighted (T2w) fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence (TR/TE/TI = 6,000/388/2,100 milliseconds; 1 × 1 × 1 mm³ resolution).

For brain lesion segmentation, precontrast and postcontrast MPRAGE images were coregistered to MNI-152 standard space for all patients using FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool from FMRIB Software Library with linear-rigid registration. Subsequently, FLAIR images were coregistered with precontrast MPRAGE images. Brain T2-hyperintense lesions were manually marked and segmented using both FLAIR and MPRAGE scans to create binary masks by 2 expert raters under the supervision of a board-certified radiologist using ITK-SNAP (itksnap.org). Gd+ lesions were segmented by the same raters and ITK-SNAP using postcontrast MPRAGE scans only.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed in R version 4.0.2 with packages lme4, lmerTest, MuMIn, ggplot2, ggpubr, survival, and survminer. Continuous variables are presented as either mean with SD or median with interquartile range (IQR).

Only OCT measurements of eyes with no history of ON at baseline were included. GCIP was considered the primary OCT variable because it has shown highest associations with future disease activity in an earlier study, whereas pRNFL and INL were analyzed as exploratory variables.

Age-specific sNfL percentile values were calculated from a generalized additive models for location scale and shape model based on a large cohort of 259 healthy controls provided by the Department of Neurology, University of Basel, Switzerland. Under the concern of potential ceiling effect while using percentiles, age-specific sNfL Z-scores were also derived from the same model.

The baseline associations of sNfL and OCT measurements including pRNFL, GCIP, and INL thickness were analyzed using linear mixed effect model (LMM) (fixed effect: sNfL value; random effects: inter-eye within-patient correlations). No imputation was carried out in the analysis.

To investigate the risk of violating the NEDA-3 criteria or its components with sNfL or/and OCT parameters as risk factors, Kaplan-Meier analyses and Cox regression models were implemented. In case of measurements for 2 non-ON eyes were available, the mean of both eyes was used. After performing sensitivity analysis (eTable 1, links.lww.com/NXI/AS23, and eTable 2, links.lww.com/NXI/AS24), we defined sNfL values higher than the 80th percentile as abnormal sNfL and used median as dividers for each OCT parameter. Thinner pRNFL and GCIP and thicker INL were considered as pathologic, respectively. To assess the individual risk associated with a combination of sNfL and OCT groups, we combined the sNfL and OCT parameters together as 1 variable with 4 categories: (1) normal sNfL + thick GCIP/thick pRNFL/thin INL, (2) normal sNfL + thin GCIP/thin pRNFL/thick INL, (3) abnormal sNfL + thick GCIP/thick pRNFL/thin INL, and (4) abnormal sNfL + thin GCIP/thin pRNFL/thick INL. In addition, we computed multivariable Cox regression models including—besides sNfL and GCIP thickness—age, sex, T2w lesion counts, and disease-modifying therapy (DMT), which are previously established factors associated with future disease activity in patients with CIS/early MS. Analysis was censored after 760 days because of low numbers at risk after this period. Furthermore, we evaluated the interaction term between sNfL and GCIP thickness in the Cox regression models and the synergistic effects between the 2 factors by calculating the attributable proportion that was because of their interaction as a measure of excess risk.

**Data Availability**

All data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

**Results**

**Cohort Characteristics**

Of 131 patients with CIS or early MS screened, 78 patients met all the inclusion criteria. Eight patients had baseline sNfL value acquired more than 24 months after the initial attack; 29 patients did not have follow-up of more than 365 days; 10 patients had a relapse within 120 days before the baseline sNfL collection; 2 patients had ON in both eyes; 4 patients had OCT measurements with insufficient quality in both eyes (eFigure 1, links.lww.com/NXI/A518).

Among the 78 patients included, 2 pRNFL measurements from 2 patients and 5 GCIP and INL measurements from 5 other patients with insufficient OCT quality in 1 eye were...
omitted from the respective analyses. Sixty-two (79%) patients fulfilled the 2017 McDonald MS diagnostic criteria for relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS); the remaining 16 (21%) patients were considered CIS. Eighteen (23%) patients with RRMS had clinically definite MS, defined by a second clinical episode. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study cohort are summarized in Table 1, and comorbidities are described in supplemental data (eTable 3, links.lww.com/NXI/A525).

**Baseline Associations**

LMM revealed an association between baseline age-specific sNfL Z-score with GCIP (B [SE] = −0.921 [0.448] μm, p = 0.043), indicating that patients with 1 SD higher in sNfL Z-score had a lower GCIP thickness by 0.921 μm. No association between baseline sNfL Z-score with pRNFL (B [SE] = −1.495 [0.795] μm, p = 0.064) or INL (B [SE] = −0.156 [0.168] μm, p = 0.356) was found (eFigure 2, links.lww.com/NXI/A519).

**Association of sNfL and Retinal Thickness Measurements With Future Disease Activity**

Of the 78 patients included in this study, 46 (59%) patients violated NEDA-3, 23 (29%) patients experienced a clinical relapse, 38 (49%) patients developed new T2w or Gd+ brain lesions on MRI, and 9 (12%) patients had confirmed EDSS worsening during a median follow-up of 23.9 months. We first investigated baseline abnormal sNfL (≥80th reference percentile), thinner pRNFL (≤100 μm; median divider), thinner GCIP (≥70.4 μm; median divider), and thicker INL (≥36.4 μm; median divider) separately regarding an association with the risk for future violation of the NEDA-3 criteria.

Patients with baseline abnormal sNfL showed a higher risk of the NEDA-3 violation (hazard ratio [HR] 2.28, 95% CI 1.27–4.09, p = 0.006; Figure 1A), whereas thinner GCIP (Figure 1D), thinner pRNFL (eFigure 3A, links.lww.com/NXI/A520), or thicker INL (eFigure 3D) showed no significant association with future NEDA-3 violation. When analyzing the association of sNfL with single components of NEDA-3, patients with abnormal sNfL had an increased risk of developing new lesions (HR 2.47, 95% CI 1.30–4.69, p = 0.006; Figure 1C), but not for a new relapse (HR 2.21, 95% CI 0.97–5.03, p = 0.058; Figure 1B). Thinner GCIP was associated with a higher risk of a new relapse (HR 2.88, 95% CI 1.18–7.01, p = 0.021; Figure 1E), but not for new lesions. Neither abnormal sNfL nor OCT parameters showed an association with future confirmed EDSS worsening (results not shown). These results were also confirmed when taking OCT measurements as continuous variables instead of dichotomizing into categorical variables (eTable 4, links.lww.com/NXI/A526).

In the multivariable analysis, abnormal sNfL was associated with the NEDA-3 violation (HR 2.11, 95% CI 1.16–3.86, p = 0.015) and a new lesion (HR 2.04, 95% CI 1.06–3.94, p = 0.033), whereas higher T2w lesion counts were associated with future lesion development (HR 1.01, 95% CI 1.00–1.03, p = 0.043). Patients with thinner GCIP had a higher, yet non-significant risk of new clinical relapse compared with patients with thicker GCIP. The risk of violating the NEDA-3 criteria was not affected by age, sex, or receiving DMT at the time of study enrollment (Table 2). Higher T2w lesion volume was also associated with subsequent NEDA-3 violation and lesion development (eTable 5, links.lww.com/NXI/A527).

To analyze whether sNfL and OCT parameters combined show stronger associations with future MS disease activity than each parameter alone, we combined sNfL and different OCT parameters as an univariable risk factor for further analysis. For the NEDA-3 criteria violation, patients with (1) abnormal sNfL + thin GCIP (HR 3.61, 95% CI 1.77–7.36, p = 4.2e−4; Figure 2A), (2) abnormal sNfL + thin pRNFL (HR 2.63, 95% CI 1.21–5.70, p = 0.015; eFigure 4A, links.lww.com/NXI/A521), or (3) abnormal sNfL + thick INL (HR 3.05, 95% CI 1.32–7.05, p = 0.009; eFigure 4D), all have increased risks in comparison with patients without abnormal sNfL or thin GCIP/thin pRNFL/thick INL as risk factors. Higher hazard ratios were observed when the sNfL and OCT measurements were combined than abnormal sNfL or thin GCIP/thin pRNFL/thick INL alone as a single risk factor, as in the analysis above. Regarding the occurrence of new relapses, the Cox regression analysis revealed a higher risk associated with (1) abnormal sNfL + thin GCIP (HR 5.38, 95% CI 1.61–17.98, p = 0.006; Figure 2B), (2) abnormal sNfL + thin pRNFL (HR 4.77, 95% CI 1.39–16.38, p = 0.013; eFigure 4B), or (3) abnormal sNfL + thick INL (HR 3.26, 95% CI 1.09–9.76, p = 0.034; eFigure 4E) as a combined univariable risk factor. For new lesion development, an increased HR was achieved when combining abnormal baseline sNfL value with reduced GCIP thickness (HR 3.19, 95% CI 1.51–6.76, p = 0.002; Figure 2C). As for confirmed worsening of the EDSS

---

Table 1 Baseline Cohort Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients (N = 78)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, F (%)/M (%)</td>
<td>50 (64.1/28 (35.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>33.7 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sNfL level, pg/mL, median (IQR)</td>
<td>19.7 (15.2–28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since disease onset, mo, median (IQR)</td>
<td>12.1 (11.8–12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up duration, mo, median (IQR)</td>
<td>23.9 (23.3–24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCIP thickness, μm, mean (SD)</td>
<td>69.4 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRNFL thickness, μm, mean (SD)</td>
<td>98.7 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL thickness, μm, mean (SD)</td>
<td>36.8 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total T2w lesion count, N, median (IQR)</td>
<td>11 (5–28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total T2w lesion volume, mL, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4–2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0–2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EDSS = expanded disability status scale; GCIP = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer; INL = inner nuclear layer; IQR = interquartile range; pRNFL = peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer; sNfL = serum neurofilament light chain; T2w = T2-weighted.
Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier Plots for Meeting NEDA-3 Criteria or Its Components

Patients violating NEDA-3 criteria with (A) abnormal sNfL or (D) thin GCIP as risk factors, patients experiencing new relapse with (B) abnormal sNfL or (E) thin GCIP as risk factors, and patients having new lesion development with (C) abnormal sNfL or (F) thin GCIP as risk factors. Shady regions describe the 95% CI of the curve. GCIP = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer; HR = hazard ratio; NEDA-3 = no evidence of disease activity-3 criteria; sNfL = serum neurofilament light chain.

Table 2 Multivariable Analysis With Cox Proportional Hazard Models of Factors Associated With NEDA-3 Violation, Relapse, and Lesion Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NEDA-3 violation</th>
<th></th>
<th>Relapse</th>
<th></th>
<th>New lesion</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sNfL ≥80th percentile</td>
<td>2.11 (1.16–3.86)</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td>1.98 (0.85–4.64)</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>2.04 (1.06–3.94)</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCIP ≤70.4 μm</td>
<td>1.42 (0.78–2.60)</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>2.42 (0.97–6.03)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>1.14 (0.58–2.26)</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96–1.04)</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.99 (0.94–1.05)</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96–1.04)</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male</td>
<td>1.39 (0.75–2.61)</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>1.51 (0.63–3.61)</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.89 (0.44–1.80)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2w lesion count</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.02)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99–1.02)</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.03)</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMT at baseline</td>
<td>1.53 (0.82–2.84)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>1.71 (0.68–4.26)</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>1.20 (0.61–2.36)</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DMT = disease-modifying therapy; GCIP = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer; HR = hazard ratio; NEDA-3 = no evidence of disease activity-3 criteria; sNfL = serum neurofilament light chain; T2w = T2-weighted.

* Significant.
score, a higher association cannot be achieved in combination of sNfL with any of the 3 OCT parameters (results not shown). A summary of the HRs for future disease activity outcomes associated with abnormal sNfL and thinner GCIP alone and their combination is presented in Figure 3.

The interaction between abnormal sNfL and thin GCIP is summarized in the supplemental data (eTable 6, links.lww.com/NXI/A528). We found an interaction effect between abnormal sNfL and thin GCIP for future NEDA-3 violation and new lesion development, but not for relapses. The attributable proportion showed that the interaction of abnormal sNfL and thin GCIP added 75% to the subsequent NEDA-3 violation risk and 85% to the new brain lesion risk determined with the sum of the individual risks (eTable 6).

**Discussion**

We investigated the relation between baseline sNfL levels and OCT and their individual and combined association with future disease activity in a well-characterized cohort of patients with CIS or early MS. We found (1) a weak cross-
sectional association of increased sNfL levels with thinner GCIP but no association of sNfL with pRNFL or INL; (2) an association of increased sNfL with future NEDA-3 violation, mainly driven by the development of new lesions; (3) an association of thinner GCIP with future relapses; and (4) combinations of increased sNfL levels with thinner GCIP or pRNFL or thicker INL is associated with a higher risk of future disease activity than each parameter alone.

Two studies had previously investigated the relationship between sNfL and OCT values.31,32 These studies found contradictory results regarding cross-sectional associations of sNfL levels with retinal layer thickness measurements: The first study reported results of 80 patients with RRMS from a 3-year study showed that an increase of sNfL level is associated with the annual reduction of pRNFL.31 However, no cross-sectional association of sNfL and pRNFL was identified. On the contrary, the second study reported cross-sectional evidence that sNfL concentration was significantly associated with both pRNFL and GCIP from 110 patients with MS.32 Our baseline results showed that the sNfL level is associated with GCIP but missed significance for an association with pRNFL. Both studies have only limited comparability with each other and to our study because they analyzed patients with RRMS with a mean disease duration of 4.6 years (SD 5.0)31 and a mixed RRMS and progressive MS cohort with a median disease duration of 16.5 years (IQR 8.7–23.0),32 respectively, whereas our study included patients with CIS and early MS with a median disease duration of 12.1 months (IQR 11.8–12.7). The association between increased sNfL levels and neuroaxonal retinal damage might be more pronounced in later and more progressive stages of the disease because it was the case in the cohort of the second study.32 However, this potentially may not result from a causal association but from a general and less focal chronic neurodegeneration.

In our study, abnormal sNfL levels were associated with an increased risk of subsequent violation of the NEDA-3 criteria. Regarding the components of the NEDA-3 criteria, abnormal sNfL was especially related to a 2.5-fold increased risk of new brain lesions development on MRI. As for the other 2 components in the NEDA-3 criteria contravention, we did not observe an association of abnormal sNfL with future relapses or confirmed EDSS worsening. Only 9 patients in our cohort had confirmed EDSS increase within the observation period, limiting the power of the latter analyses.

To determine whether the effects of sNfL were independently associated with future disease activity, several risk factors for MS disease activity were included for the multivariable analysis. Patients with more brain MRI lesions were identified to be a high-impact prognostic factor for future disease activity in large prospective cohorts previously.29,33 Nevertheless, our study demonstrated that patients with more T2w lesions on brain MRI had a higher but nonsignificant HR for violating the NEDA-3 criteria. The resulting difference could be explained by the fact that our study only had a relatively small sample size and shorter follow-up duration compared with the previous studies. Furthermore, our cohort comprised patients with a higher lesion burden than in other studies, in which a large portion of patients did not show any lesion at all.

Our group has shown earlier that thinner GCIP and pRNFL are associated with future disease activity in CIS and early MS, in a cohort partly overlapping with this study.8 Interestingly, the association of thinner GCIP and pRNFL with future NEDA-3 violation was almost exclusively driven by an association with new lesions in the previous study; although in this study, it is mainly driven by an association with future relapses. This can be explained by (1) different patients—only 31 patients who overlap between this and the previous study, (2) different timepoints—the earlier study established the baseline visit in the first year after onset, whereas the baseline for this study is at the end of the first or second year after disease onset, (3) diagnostic criteria—the earlier study included patients with CIS in line with the 2010 McDonald criteria; this study included patients with CIS and RRMS in
In the 2017 McDonald criteria, and (4) different protocols—this study has only annual MRI follow-up visits, whereas patients received more frequent follow-ups in the first year after onset, which was analyzed in the earlier study. Despite these differences, we would have expected a stronger association of thinner GCIP with future new lesions. An investigation of those associations in larger and independent cohorts would be helpful to unravel these inconsistencies.

The most important finding of our study is that thinning of GCIP and pRNFL can both provide combined benefits to abnormal sNfL regarding their association with subsequent NEDA-3 violation, as demonstrated by higher HRs. In addition, abnormal sNfL value with thinner pRNFL or GCIP shows a higher association with future relapses, and abnormal sNfL value with thinner GCIP shows a higher HR for a new lesion, in comparison to sNfL alone, respectively. The combined benefits of sNfL with either GCIP or pRNFL thickness may be explained by the nature of the biomarkers. The concentration of sNfL in samples collected within 3–4 months after relapse was significantly higher compared with the samples collected after this time window or during remission phase.9,16 In contrast with sNfL, both GCIP and pRNFL thickness on OCT show continuous thinning over time, reflecting a chronic neuroaxonal injury. Patients with MS who have both abnormal acute and chronic biomarkers could indicate that the damage is more profound, leading to a higher rate of NEDA-3 violation. Together, our data suggest a synergistic effect of GCIP/pRNFL and sNfL measurements and exemplifies the potential when combining biomarkers to increase disease relevance. NfL by itself is unspecific for MS-related damage as it is, e.g., increased in other neurodegenerative disorders34 and in association with cardiovascular risk factors or traumatic brain injury.35 The normal range of pRNFL and GCIP thickness is broad, impeding an individual risk assessment in early MS.9 But together, the combination of abnormal sNfL and thin GCIP/pRNFL may have the specificity to identify a group of patients in which both markers are related to MS-associated damage and thus outperforms the prognostic value of each marker alone.

INL thickening can mirror not only active retinal inflammation but the inflammatory status of MS disease activity.36 Longitudinal reduction in INL volume was found to be correlated with meeting the NEDA-3 criteria.37 Although, in our study, thicker INL alone was not a risk factor for future disease activity, we still demonstrate that thicker INL increases the hazard ratio of abnormal sNfL as a risk factor for NEDA-3 violation and new relapses. The thickening of INL in MS could be caused by dynamic change of fluid homeostasis in the retinal glymphatic system or dysfunction of the Müller cell.36 However, the true mechanism of INL thickening in patients with MS remains unclear. Therefore, although INL thickening and elevated sNfL level both suggest active inflammation in patients with MS, no established evidence has proven the causality between them.

The timing for retinal OCT examination and sNfL sample collection should be taken into consideration in clinical application. During acute episode, the pRNFL is often affected by early inflammation or edema within 3 months, whereas GCIP thickness seems to decrease.38-40 Afterward, both pRNFL and GCIP continue to have progressive thinning.39,40 Therefore, the quantification of retinal layer thickness should be made no earlier than 3 months after the attack. On the other hand, the concentration of sNfL will transiently increase during relapse11 and is likely to return to a steady state 4 months afterwards.9,16 Our study started with 12 months after first clinical event and excluded patients with clinical relapses within 120 days before the inclusion, presenting the utility of these 2 biomarkers under a disease stable phase.

Strengths of our study include its prospective design, standardized acquisition of clinical and paraclinical data, and a decent follow-up time. In addition, the dividers we chose for sNfL and OCT measurements are similar to previous studies, supporting the generalizability of our results.31,41 However, because only few patients showed confirmed EDSS worsening during the follow-up period, we could not adequately address the influence of sNfL and OCT parameters on EDSS worsening. The limited sample size may also mask significant effects of age, sex, or treatment in the multiple Cox regression model. In addition, our study lacks the power to determine the optimal cutoff value for either sNfL or OCT parameters through receiver operator characteristic analyses, limiting the generalizability of our results. Furthermore, there are several factors that may cause within-subject sNfL variation.42-45 Although none of the patients in our cohort have small infarcts or neuropathy, we did not adjust the analysis for body mass index, participation in physical contact sports, high systolic blood pressure, and hemoglobin A1c level. Larger-scale multicenter studies should consider tackling these limitations to establish more concrete evidence on the relationship between sNfL and different OCT measurements throughout the disease course.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates an additive, potentially synergistic, effect of sNfL and retinal OCT, especially GCIP, as risk factors for future disease activity in patients with CIS or early MS. Our findings encourage the application of both sNfL and retinal measurements for risk stratification in clinical routine and trials.
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