Increased salt consumption induces body water conservation and decreases fluid intake
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Introduction
Balance studies (1–4) and direct 23Na MRI measurements (5–10) suggest that humans store significant amounts of Na⁺ in their bodies, without increasing body fluid content or body weight. We recently reported ultra-long-term balance studies in 10 healthy young men simulating a flight to Mars (11, 12). We found that Na⁺ was rhythmically stored and released with about-weekly (circaseptan) and circa-monthly (circalunar) periodicity. Rhythmic body Na⁺ storage occurred when aldosterone excretory levels were high and glucocorticoid levels were low. Contrariwise, rhythmical body Na⁺ release was independent of salt intake and occurred when the subjects’ aldosterone excretory levels were low and glucocorticoid levels were high (11). Contrarily, rhythmical body Na⁺ storage occurred when aldosterone excretory levels were high and glucocorticoid levels were low. Contrary to the traditional view (13–15), these rhythmical body Na⁺ changes occurred without parallel body weight changes, suggesting that the body maintains constant water content, while body Na⁺ content is variable. Earlier 28-day water balance studies in humans showed about-weekly rhythmical changes in urine excretion (16). These findings led us to reinvestigate long-term control of Na⁺ and water balance, as well as long-term Na⁺ balance in relation to water balance in humans.

In humans, a high dietary salt intake is assumed to increase fluid intake (15, 17). As a result, health authorities have suggested that a salt-driven increase in soft-drink consumption could contribute to the obesity epidemic (18). However, much of the data are based on epidemiological surveys rather than direct experiments (19, 20). In addition, some investigators have operated on the assumption that urine volume invariably reflects fluid intake.

BACKGROUND. The idea that increasing salt intake increases drinking and urine volume is widely accepted. We tested the hypothesis that an increase in salt intake of 6 g/d would change fluid balance in men living under ultra-long-term controlled conditions.

METHODS. Over the course of 2 separate space flight simulation studies of 105 and 205 days’ duration, we exposed 10 healthy men to 3 salt intake levels (12, 9, or 6 g/d). All other nutrients were maintained constant. We studied the effect of salt-driven changes in mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid urinary excretion on day-to-day osmolyte and water balance.

RESULTS. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake increased urine osmolyte excretion, but reduced free-water clearance, indicating endogenous free water accrual by urine concentration. The resulting endogenous water surplus reduced fluid intake at the 12-g/d salt intake level. Across all 3 levels of salt intake, half-weekly and weekly rhythmical mineralocorticoid release promoted free water reabsorption via the renal concentration mechanism. Mineralocorticoid-coupled increases in free water reabsorption were counterbalanced by rhythmical glucocorticoid release, with excretion of endogenous osmolyte and water surplus by relative urine dilution. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake decreased the level of rhythmical mineralocorticoid release and elevated rhythmical glucocorticoid release. The projected effect of salt-driven hormone rhythm modulation corresponded well with the measured decrease in water intake and an increase in urine volume with surplus osmolyte excretion.

CONCLUSION. Humans regulate osmolyte and water balance by rhythmical mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid release, endogenous accrual of surplus body water, and precise surplus excretion.
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Increased salt consumption induces body water conservation and decreases fluid intake
behavior (17, 21). While an experimental increase in salt intake elevates fluid intake in various animal species (22–28), clinical studies have shown that varying salt intake in the range of 0.6 g/d to 24 g/d may not change fluid intake or urine volume in humans (29). We therefore reinvestigated the relationship between osmolyte and water balance in ultra-long-term experiments.

Results

Infradian biological variability in long-term salt and water homeostasis. In the 105-day Mars105 Study, we reduced the subjects’ average daily salt intake from 12 g/d (200 mmol/d sodium) to 9 g/d (150 mmol/d) and then to 6 g/d (100 mmol/d). In the 205-day Mars520 study, all subjects were additionally reexposed to the 12-g/d salt intake regime after salt intake had been reduced from 12 g/d to 6 g/d over the course of months. Long-term urinary Na+ excretion (UNaV), long-term urine volume, and long-term water intake (Figure 1A) are shown for a representative subject (see Supplemental Figure 1 for data on each individual subject; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI88530DS1). As expected, the average daily urinary Na+ excretion rapidly adjusted to the new salt intake levels. The subject exhibited additional rhythmical day-to-day variability in UNaV at each dietary salt intake level. This highly variable UNaV was not paralleled by obvious changes in urine volume. Furthermore, and contrary to our initial hypothesis, low UNaV in response to a reduction in dietary salt intake was accompanied by increased fluid intake in the subject.

We next analyzed the effect of the prescribed dietary salt intake (dietary salt phase) and 24-hour UNaV on water intake and urine volume in all subjects. The rhythmical nature of urinary Na+ excretion led to a lagged elimination of dietary salt, resulting in periodically low and high levels of salt excretion at each dietary salt intake phase, which may have masked the diuretic effect of elevated salt intake. We therefore additionally classified UNaV values into 3 tertiles of low, medium, and high UNaV levels to test for the effect of renal Na+ elimination on water balance. We found that higher salt intake reproducibly reduced water intake (Figure 1B).

Mixed linear model analysis showed that water intake was 293 ± 50 ml/d higher during the 6-g/d salt intake phase than during the 12-g/d salt intake phase (Figure 1C). Water intake in the lowest (first) tertile of UNaV was 237 ± 27 ml/d higher than in the highest (third) tertile of UNaV (Figure 1C). A 6-g/d increase in salt intake did not significantly increase the urine volume (Figure 1, D and E). In contrast, urine volume in the lowest (first) tertile of UNaV was 316 ± 24 ml/d lower than in the highest (third) tertile of UNaV (Figure 1E). We interpret this finding as showing that an increase in urinary salt excretion induced some diuresis. This salt-osmolyte-driven diuretic response was often prevented at the 12-g/d salt intake level. Finally, we confirmed the accuracy of our long-term Na+ balance approach. We found the expected increase of approximately 50 mmol/d in UNaV per salt phase when salt intake was increased by 3 g/d (Figure 1, F and G).

Urine osmolyte excretion induces osmolyte-free water generation in the kidneys. The renal concentration process is characterized by osmolyte excretion with parallel renal water reabsorption, resulting in a negative clearance of osmolyte-free water. We therefore next tested the hypothesis that the salt-driven increase in urine volume...
Spontaneous variability in urine cortisone level was coupled with enhanced free water reabsorption. We found that the sum of 2Na⁺ concentration (2-fold linear model. Details on statistical analysis for Table 1 are provided in the expressed as the mean ± SD and were statistically analyzed by mixed anions), and urea concentration (U[2Na⁺2K⁺Urea]) amounted to

At 12 g/d salt intake

Spontaneous variability in urine aldosterone level

First UAldoV tertile (n = 244) 7.6 ± 2.5 78 ± 21 196 ± 24
Second UAldoV tertile (n = 254) 10.5 ± 3.1 79 ± 19 196 ± 25
Third UAldoV tertile (n = 242) 14.4 ± 5.3 77 ± 19 197 ± 22

Spontaneous variability in urine cortisol level

First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 243) 11.0 ± 4.8 60 ± 12 197 ± 23
Second UCortisoneV tertile (n = 253) 10.7 ± 4.3 78 ± 10 197 ± 23
Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244) 10.7 ± 5.1 97 ± 15 196 ± 25

At 9 g/d salt intake

Spontaneous variability in urine aldosterone level

First UAldoV tertile (n = 168) 10.2 ± 3.1 69 ± 19 147 ± 21
Second UAldoV tertile (n = 164) 13.7 ± 3.2 69 ± 18 148 ± 20
Third UAldoV tertile (n = 167) 18.2 ± 4.4 67 ± 18 146 ± 21

Spontaneous variability in urine cortisol level

First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168) 14.0 ± 5.0 54 ± 11 146 ± 21
Second UCortisoneV tertile (n = 163) 14.2 ± 4.8 67 ± 10 147 ± 20
Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168) 13.7 ± 4.9 84 ± 16 147 ± 21

At 6 g/d salt intake

Spontaneous variability in urine aldosterone level

First UAldoV tertile (n = 136) 11.8 ± 3.1 68 ± 20 99 ± 22
Second UAldoV tertile (n = 132) 15.7 ± 3.5 69 ± 19 100 ± 20
Third UAldoV tertile (n = 135) 20.3 ± 5.0 65 ± 18 102 ± 18

Spontaneous variability in urine cortisol level

First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136) 16.6 ± 5.9 52 ± 13 104 ± 21
Second UCortisoneV tertile (n = 132) 15.7 ± 5.1 67 ± 14 99 ± 18
Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 135) 15.4 ± 4.6 84 ± 15 96 ± 20

These data were analyzed as the mean ± SD and were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model. Details on statistical analysis for Table 1 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (page 292).

omostylyte excretion was coupled with enhanced free water reabsorption. We found that the sum of 2Na⁺ concentration (2-fold Na⁺ to account for unmeasured accompanying anions), 2K⁺ concentration (2-fold K⁺ to account for unmeasured accompanying anions), and urea concentration ([U2Na⁺2K⁺Urea]) amounted to almost 100% of the subjects’ urine solutes (Supplemental Figure 2). We show the relationship between the salt intake phase, osmolyte excretion, and free water clearance (FWC) in the same representative subject (Figure 2A). Any decrease or increase in the subject’s dietary salt intake resulted in a parallel decrease or increase in his urine osmolyte excretion. The salt intake–driven changes in urine osmolyte excretion, however, were coupled with antiparallel changes in the subject’s osmolyte-free water excretion in the urine. This finding, which indicates that increasing osmolyte excretion was associated with renal water conservation, was reproducible in 10 of 10 study subjects (see Supplemental Figure 3 for data on each individual subject). Quantitative analysis showed that increasing the salt intake level gradually decreased FWC (Figure 2B). A 6-g/d increase in salt intake (corresponding to =100 mmol/d Na⁺ and Cl⁻) decreased renal FWC by 540 ± 27 ml/d (Figure 2C), while urine osmolyte excretion was increased by 201 ± 8 mmol/d (Figure 2, D and E). Increasing osmolyte excretion and increasing Na⁺ intake reduced FWC (Figure 2, F and G) and reduced fluid intake (Figure 1, B and C). In contrast, increasing fluid intake increased FWC (Figure 2H), indicating excretion of surplus consumed water by an intact urine dilution process.

Water intake was 32% higher than urine volume (Figure 1, B and D), indicating normal levels of insensible water loss in our subjects (25). On the assumption that 32% of the osmolyte-free water generated by the kidneys was similarly excreted by insensible water loss, the salt-driven 540-ml/d reduction in renal osmolyte–free water excretion increased our subjects’ osmolyte-free body water content by ≈540 ml/d × −1 × 0.68 = 367 ml/d (Figure 3A). This projected salt-driven increase in osmolyte-free body water by improved urine concentration (Figure 3B) can reduce thirst and corresponds well to the measured 293 ± 27 ml/d decrease in fluid intake in response to a 6-g/d increase in salt intake in our subjects. This state of affairs suggests that the physiological response to daily-life increases in salt intake in humans relies on selective renal osmolyte elimination by urine concentration, which allows maintenance of a body fluid balance in the absence of environmental water sources.

Dietary salt is excreted within the renal concentration mechanism. Figure 4A shows how increasing UNaV led to a rise in urinary Na⁺ concentration in our representative subject (see Supplemental Figure 4 for data on each individual subject). We next questioned which alternative urinary osmolytes might be retained and accumulated in the kidney to provide the antidiuretic driving force necessary to concentrate dietary salt in the urine. We observed an antidiromic reduction in the urea and K⁺ concentration when Na⁺ was concentrated in the subject’s urine (Figure 4A). In all subjects, the excretion of dietary salt increased the urinary Na⁺ and accompanying anion concentration by 98 ± 3 mmol/l (Figure 4, B and C). The excretion of dietary salt by urinary concentration was paralleled by a 37 ± 4 mmol/l reduction in the urinary urea concentration (Figure 4, D and E), while the K⁺ concentration and accompanying anion concentration showed no reproducible changes (Figure 4, F and G). We interpret these findings as suggesting that urea accumulation in the renal interstitium provides the osmotic driving force necessary for antidiuretic water movement when dietary salt is concentrated in the urine.

Mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid release in relation to water balance. A 6-g/d reduction in salt intake increased 24-hour urine aldosterone excretion (UAldoV) by 5.1 ± 0.2 μg/d (P < 0.001) and reduced 24-hour urine cortisone excretion (UCortisoneV) by 11.4 ± 1.0 μg/d (P < 0.001). However, as reported earlier (11), UAldoV and UCortisoneV showed additional rhythmical half-weekly and weekly patterns of change that were independent of salt intake. This spontaneous endogenous variability led us to stratify our data into tertiles of low, medium, or high UAldoV or UCortisoneV across each salt intake level (Table 1) and to study the effect of hormone level on water balance (Table 2), as well as on osmolyte-driven urine volume formation (Table 3).

The aldosterone-driven changes in body fluid balance occurred across all 3 levels of salt intake (Table 2). The sponta-
neously rhythmical 7.6 ± 0.2 μg/d UAldoV increase reduced urine volume by 219 ± 25 ml/d (P < 0.001) and increased fluid intake by 95 ± 27 ml/d (P < 0.001; Figure 5A). The resulting increase in water balance was coupled with a measurable body weight increase in the subjects (+0.41 ± 0.10 kg; P < 0.05). We interpret these findings as indicating that the high mineralocorticoid levels induced body fluid retention.

Next we studied the role of the rhythmical effect of aldosterone on the urinary concentrating mechanism. Across all levels of salt intake, the highly rhythmical UAldoV levels reduced 2NaV by 58.9 ± 6.1 mmol/d (P < 0.001). However, this aldosterone-driven reduction in urine Na⁺ excretion did not significantly reduce the sum excretion of Na⁺, K⁺, and urea osmolites in the urine (–16.0 ± 8.9 mmol/d, P = 0.07), because high aldosterone levels increased 2UKV by 22.6 ± 2.1 mmol/d (P < 0.001) as well as urinary urea excretion by 20.7 ± 3.3 mmol/d (P < 0.001). High excretory aldosterone levels instead were associated with an increase in the urine concentration of 53 ± 7 mOsm/kg (P < 0.001). The well-known antidiuretic effect of aldosterone thus became clinically visible within the context of the urine concentration mechanism by reduced FWC (Table 2). This effect resulted in predominant osmolyte-free water reabsorption (see the supplemental calculations in the Supplemental Materials and Figure 5A).

The glucocorticoid-associated changes in body fluid balance occurred across all 3 levels of salt intake (Table 2). Rhythmical elevation of urinary cortisone excretory levels by 33.8 ± 0.7 μg/d (P < 0.001) was linked with increases in urine volumes of 627 ± 20 ml/d (P < 0.001), without quantifiable changes in fluid intake (P = 0.67; Figure 5B). However, the resulting negative renal fluid balance was not accompanied by changes in body weight (P = 0.25). This state of affairs suggests that the water surplus that was excreted when glucocorticoid levels were high had been generated endogenously. The renal water conservation mechanism did not contribute to endogenous water generation when glucocorticoid levels were high. The rhythmical increase in UCortisoneV was associated with increased urinary 2Na⁺, 2K⁺, and urea excretion across all 3 levels of salt intake (Table 3), resulting in an increase of 114.0 ± 8.5 mmol/d in the sum of 2Na⁺, 2K⁺, and [Urea] osmolyte excretion in the urine (P = 0.001). High rhythmical glucocorticoid levels were additionally linked with a reduction of 126 ± 7 mmol/l in the sum of [Na⁺], [K⁺], and [Urea] osmolyte concentrations (P = 0.001). Rhythmical glucocorticoid release was therefore linked to increased diuresis in the context of the urine dilution mechanism by increasing FWC (Table 2). The clinical readout was predominant osmolyte-free water excretion (see the supplemental calculations in the Supplemental Materials and Figure 5B).

Table 2. Urine volume, water intake, the resulting difference, i.e., water balance gap, body weight, and urine osmolality in response to the spontaneous changes in urine aldosterone and cortisone levels at 3 different levels of salt intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urine volume (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water intake (ml/d)</th>
<th>Water balance gap (ml/d)</th>
<th>Body weight (kg)</th>
<th>Urine osmolality (mOsm/kg)</th>
<th>Calculated FWC (ml/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At 12 g/d salt intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine aldosterone level (ΔUAldoV tertiles: 6.8 ± 0.2 μg/d; P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UAldoV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>1,914 ± 566</td>
<td>2,410 ± 525</td>
<td>512 ± 631</td>
<td>81.5 ± 7.9</td>
<td>486 ± 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UAldoV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>1,702 ± 480</td>
<td>2,506 ± 513</td>
<td>804 ± 585</td>
<td>82.3 ± 8.6</td>
<td>537 ± 177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine cortisone level (ΔUCortisoneV tertiles: 36.8 ± 0.8 μg/d; P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>1,479 ± 427</td>
<td>2,492 ± 525</td>
<td>1,013 ± 567</td>
<td>81.8 ± 8.4</td>
<td>584 ± 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244)</td>
<td>2,128 ± 477</td>
<td>2,497 ± 527</td>
<td>377 ± 591</td>
<td>82.0 ± 8.4</td>
<td>448 ± 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 9 g/d salt intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine aldosterone level (ΔUAldoV tertiles: 8.0 ± 0.2 μg/d; P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UAldoV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>1,768 ± 503</td>
<td>2,573 ± 537</td>
<td>804 ± 647</td>
<td>81.7 ± 7.9</td>
<td>473 ± 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UAldoV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>1,544 ± 485</td>
<td>2,710 ± 492</td>
<td>1,166 ± 565</td>
<td>81.7 ± 7.7</td>
<td>535 ± 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine cortisone level (ΔUCortisoneV tertiles: 30.9 ± 0.8 μg/d; P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>1,392 ± 453</td>
<td>2,613 ± 504</td>
<td>1,221 ± 566</td>
<td>81.6 ± 7.8</td>
<td>568 ± 202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168)</td>
<td>1,956 ± 443</td>
<td>2,651 ± 525</td>
<td>695 ± 606</td>
<td>81.8 ± 7.0</td>
<td>429 ± 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 6 g/d salt intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine aldosterone level (ΔUAldoV tertiles: 8.5 ± 0.3 μg/d; P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UAldoV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>1,886 ± 576</td>
<td>2,764 ± 526</td>
<td>878 ± 687</td>
<td>79.9 ± 7.2</td>
<td>412 ± 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UAldoV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>1,660 ± 570</td>
<td>2,805 ± 443</td>
<td>1,145 ± 606</td>
<td>80.3 ± 7.3</td>
<td>459 ± 181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of urine cortisone level (ΔUCortisoneV tertiles: 32.0 ± 0.8 μg/d; P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>1,449 ± 495</td>
<td>2,783 ± 438</td>
<td>1,334 ± 510</td>
<td>80.2 ± 7.2</td>
<td>507 ± 198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136)</td>
<td>2,112 ± 514</td>
<td>2,776 ± 518</td>
<td>663 ± 604</td>
<td>80.0 ± 7.1</td>
<td>369 ± 132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Projected and measured salt-induced change in water balance. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake reduced UAldoV excretion by 5.1 ± 0.2 μg/d. The high salt intake thus reduced the level of spontaneously rhythmical 7.6 ± 0.2 μg/d UAldoV release by 73%, resulting in a projected −5.1/7.6 = −0.67-fold change in water intake, urine excre-
tion, water balance, and body weight (Supplemental Figure 5). In contrast, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake increased UCortisoneV excretion by 11.4 ± 1.0 μg/d. The high salt intake thus increased the level of spontaneously rhythmical 33.8 ± 0.7 μg/d UCortisoneV release by 38%, resulting in a projected 11.4/33.8 = 0.34-fold increase in urine excretion due to the increase in aldosterone concentration or dilution mechanism. The reason for the increase in aldosterone excretion was the spontaneous rhythmical aldosterone secretion. UCortisoneV tertiles: 30 μg/d

At 9 g/d salt intake

Effect of urine aldosterone level (ΔUAldoV tertiles: 3 μg/d)

First UAldoV tertile (n = 168) 297 ± 62 158 ± 35 325 ± 68 778 ± 136 179 ± 55 97 ± 36 201 ± 80 477 ± 160
Third UAldoV tertile (n = 168) 229 ± 57 177 ± 44 350 ± 69 754 ± 146 160 ± 53 124 ± 44 252 ± 99 538 ± 184

Effect of urine corticosterone level (ΔUCortisoneV tertiles: 3 μg/d)

First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168) 234 ± 61 157 ± 37 325 ± 66 715 ± 127 182 ± 64 125 ± 51 261 ± 106 569 ± 204
Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 168) 299 ± 65 177 ± 44 345 ± 75 821 ± 135 159 ± 44 95 ± 27 187 ± 63 440 ± 120

At 6 g/d salt intake

Effect of urine aldosterone level (ΔUAldoV tertiles: 8.5 μg/d)

First UAldoV tertile (n = 136) 216 ± 71 153 ± 38 333 ± 77 702 ± 163 126 ± 55 90 ± 39 200 ± 95 417 ± 180
Third UAldoV tertile (n = 136) 159 ± 52 167 ± 44 348 ± 77 671 ± 140 104 ± 39 113 ± 48 238 ± 102 454 ± 178

Effect of urine corticosterone level (ΔUCortisoneV tertiles: 32 μg/d)

First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136) 165 ± 62 151 ± 36 330 ± 71 646 ± 143 124 ± 52 118 ± 51 259 ± 112 501 ± 199
Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 136) 207 ± 60 169 ± 41 356 ± 86 729 ± 161 105 ± 43 86 ± 32 182 ± 75 372 ± 140

At 12 g/d salt intake

Effect of urine aldosterone level (ΔUAldoV tertiles: 6.8 μg/d)

First UAldoV tertile (n = 244) 393 ± 85 163 ± 39 319 ± 73 869 ± 166 220 ± 71 94 ± 37 183 ± 71 459 ± 168
Third UAldoV tertile (n = 244) 339 ± 77 194 ± 40 339 ± 85 867 ± 154 213 ± 67 123 ± 44 215 ± 80 549 ± 172

Effect of urine corticosterone level (ΔUCortisoneV tertiles: 37 μg/d)

First UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244) 327 ± 73 170 ± 39 310 ± 64 803 ± 135 237 ± 78 126 ± 48 228 ± 85 589 ± 191
Third UCortisoneV tertile (n = 244) 405 ± 74 189 ± 46 348 ± 87 941 ± 165 193 ± 54 94 ± 32 174 ± 64 467 ± 138

Discussion

We show that long-term water balance in humans is regulated by spontaneously rhythmical mineralocorticoid-coupled osmolyte-free water accrual, which results in a body water surplus, and by spontaneously rhythmical glucocorticoid-coupled osmolyte-free water excretion for elimination of the water surplus. We have reported previously that rhythmical about–half weekly (circaseptan) and about-weekly (circaseptan) aldosterone and cortisone release occurred independently of salt intake at each dietary salt intake level (11). We now show that this infradian rhythmical physiological regulatory pattern is linked to periodical generation of an endogenous water surplus. The process occurs via time-dependent mineralocorticoid release and promotion of antidiuresis, which alternates with time-dependent glucocorticoid release and promotion of diuresis and excretion of an endogenous water surplus. The obvious advantage of this endogenously driven generation and release of osmolyte-free water within the renal concentration or dilution mechanism is that long-term maintenance of body fluid homeostasis in humans may be less dependent on external water sources than is currently believed.

The physiological principle of water conservation within the renal concentration mechanism is that the excretion of surplus osmolytes is coupled with antidiromic water conservation by reducing renal FWC. We show that increasing salt intake from 6 g/d to

---

Table 3. Osmolyte excretion and osmolyte concentration in response to the spontaneous changes in urine aldosterone and cortisone levels at 3 different levels of salt intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt Intake</th>
<th>2UNaV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>2UKV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>UreaV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>U2Na2KUreaV (mmol/d)</th>
<th>2UNa (mmol/l)</th>
<th>2UK (mmol/l)</th>
<th>U[Urea] (mmol/l)</th>
<th>U2Na2K[Urea] (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>393 ± 85</td>
<td>163 ± 39</td>
<td>319 ± 73</td>
<td>869 ± 166</td>
<td>220 ± 71</td>
<td>94 ± 37</td>
<td>183 ± 71</td>
<td>459 ± 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>339 ± 77</td>
<td>194 ± 40</td>
<td>339 ± 85</td>
<td>867 ± 154</td>
<td>213 ± 67</td>
<td>123 ± 44</td>
<td>215 ± 80</td>
<td>549 ± 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>327 ± 73</td>
<td>170 ± 39</td>
<td>310 ± 64</td>
<td>803 ± 135</td>
<td>237 ± 78</td>
<td>126 ± 48</td>
<td>228 ± 85</td>
<td>589 ± 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>405 ± 74</td>
<td>189 ± 46</td>
<td>348 ± 87</td>
<td>941 ± 165</td>
<td>193 ± 54</td>
<td>94 ± 32</td>
<td>174 ± 64</td>
<td>467 ± 138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>216 ± 71</td>
<td>153 ± 38</td>
<td>333 ± 77</td>
<td>702 ± 163</td>
<td>126 ± 55</td>
<td>90 ± 39</td>
<td>200 ± 95</td>
<td>417 ± 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>159 ± 52</td>
<td>167 ± 44</td>
<td>348 ± 77</td>
<td>671 ± 140</td>
<td>104 ± 39</td>
<td>113 ± 48</td>
<td>238 ± 102</td>
<td>454 ± 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 g/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>393 ± 85</td>
<td>163 ± 39</td>
<td>319 ± 73</td>
<td>869 ± 166</td>
<td>220 ± 71</td>
<td>94 ± 37</td>
<td>183 ± 71</td>
<td>459 ± 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>339 ± 77</td>
<td>194 ± 40</td>
<td>339 ± 85</td>
<td>867 ± 154</td>
<td>213 ± 67</td>
<td>123 ± 44</td>
<td>215 ± 80</td>
<td>549 ± 172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΔP(hormone tertile) < 0.05, ΔP(hormone tertile) < 0.01, ΔP(hormone tertile) < 0.001. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD and were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model.

Details on statistical analysis for Table 3 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (page 748).
12 g/d led to endogenous osmolyte-free water accrual. The 6-g/d increase in salt intake decreased osmolyte-free water excretion by 540 ± 27 ml/d and resulted in the successful generation of an endogenous osmolyte-free water surplus. This water accrual process was so effective that a body water surplus was available at the 12-g/d salt intake level and could be excreted and thereby increase the urine volume. The endogenous nature of this water surplus explains the seemingly counterintuitive finding that the excretion of a Na+ and Cl– osmolyte surplus of 201 ± 8 mmol/d at the 12-g/d salt intake level eventually reduced fluid intake, even though urine volume was increased.

We conclude that the primary physiological response of the body to increased salt intake in our subjects was an antidromic management of osmolyte and water balance; osmolytes were excreted and water was retained. Thus, the kidneys acted as a biological barrier designed for water conservation. These renal changes occurred at a urine osmolality of approximately 500 to 600 mOsm/kg, which is not near the maximal values of more than 1,000 mOsm/kg for humans. This concentration maximum leaves a much wider range for endogenous water accrual before increased fluid intake in response to increased salt intake were to become necessary.

The idea is well accepted that increased salt intake induces thirst, thereby leading to increased fluid intake and secondary parallel elimination of the ingested excess salt and fluid in the urine (14, 15, 30) and supports arguments favoring strategies directed at reducing dietary salt intake in the population (18, 21). To test this hypothesis, we selected salt intake levels of 12 g/d, the value identified in the international worldwide INTERSALT study of approximately 9 g/d (31), as well as the value of 6 g/d or less recommended by guidelines committees (32). The fact that increasing salt intake may not increase fluid intake or urine volume has been demonstrated earlier in shorter sodium and water balance studies. Luft et al. varied daily salt intake from 10 mmol/d to 200 and 400 mmol/d for 7 days and found no influence of dietary salt intake levels on water intake or urine volume (29). Even increas-
Hypothesis 1: Increasing salt intake promotes accrual of endogenous water. This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, the long-term physiological pattern of increased Na+ (with anions), K+ (with anions), and urea excretion was coupled with decreased FWC in 10 study subjects. This water conservation principle with increased osmolyte excretion occurred spontaneously at each of our 3 dietary salt intake levels and was more likely to occur at the 12-g/d salt intake level, when the dietary salt-induced Na+ and Cl– osmolyte surplus of 201 ± 8 mmol/d was released. Second, the resulting body water accrual pattern during states of surplus osmolyte excretion such as that occurring with increased dietary salt intake is apparently efficient enough to generate an endogenous, fluid intake-independent water surplus, as demonstrated by a quantifiable increase in body weight despite decreased fluid intake. Third, all study subjects excreted the dietary Na+ within the urine concentration process. This physiological principle of dietary salt excretion has been demonstrated previously: excess dietary salt was concentrated in the urine in the DASH study (31), as well as in the clinical salt-loading study by Luft et al. (27). In addition, our data suggest that the more negative FWC needed to concentrate salt osmolytes in the urine requires the accumulation of other osmolytes in the renal interstitium to provide the alternative osmotic driving force necessary to reabsorb water and counterbalance the osmotic-diuretic effect of salt osmolyte excretion. We show that the concentration of dietary salt in the urine was characterized by a predominantly reduced urinary urea concentration. These findings suggest that, in states of high dietary salt excretion, humans accumulate urea osmolytes in the renal medulla to support the renal concentration mechanism and thereby successfully reabsorb water. Similarly, as demonstrated earlier by McCance and Widdowson (26), urea-driven fluid retention may limit renal water loss during exercise-induced water loss from the skin. The idea that increased salt intake modulates such natriuretic-ureotelic regulation of body fluids suggests that a major regulatory pattern of dietary salt excretion involves renal elimination of osmolytes, which is coupled with urea-driven, osmolyte-free fluid retention to prevent salt-driven osmotic diuresis and dehydration (Supplemental Figure 7).

Hypothesis 2: Dietary salt modulates endogenous infradian-rhythmic control of osmolyte and water accrual and release. This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, across all 3 salt intake levels and independent of salt intake, spontaneously rhythmic aldosterone release was linked to Na+ reabsorption and antiurea-sis, favoring a body water surplus with a measurable increase in body weight, primarily by free water reabsorption. These effects occurred within the renal concentration mechanism. Second, across all 3 salt intake levels, and therefore not primarily dependent on salt intake, spontaneously rhythmic glucocorticoid release was linked to the excretion of all 3 major osmolytes and their accompanying anions and was associated with urine formation by relative urine dilution. The linkage between increased glucocorticoid excretion and osmolyte-free water excretion occurred in the absence of increased fluid intake, indicating excretion of a previously generated water surplus. Third, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake reduced the level of spontaneously rhythmic endogenous mineralocorticoid hormone release and increased the level of spontaneously rhythmic endogenous glucocorticoid hormone release. We show that the projected combined effect of salt-
driven suppression of rhythmical mineralocorticoid and promotion of rhythmical glucocorticoid release predicts a negative renal fluid balance, with reduced fluid intake, increased urinary volume, and reduced body weight. This projected hormone-driven effect on fluid balance corresponds well to the measured negative renal water balance in the third tertile of renal Na⁺ excretion. This observation suggests that long-term water balance critically relies on rhythmical mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid hormone action. In contrast to the predicted combined hormone-driven effect, the relative dominance of the glucocorticoid-associated osmolyte and water excretion pattern in the third tertile of UNaV excretion was characterized by a measured increase in body weight, and not by a reduction in body weight. We interpret this finding as indicating that high glucocorticoid levels occur when increased osmolyte intake and increased endogenous water accrual have led to a surplus in body osmolyte and water content that requires excretion (Supplemental Figure 8).

**Hypothesis 3: High salt intake induces glucocorticoid-driven metabolic water production.** This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake not only suppressed urinary aldosterone excretion, but also increased cortisol and cortisone excretion in the urine (11). This finding is in line with the study by Kerstens et al. that examined the effect of dietary salt ingestion on glucocorticoid excretion in salt-resistant subjects under daily life conditions (34). Thus, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake induces a catabolic hormone profile in humans. Second, we found that high glucocorticoid levels occurred with increased Na⁺, K⁺, and urea osmolyte excretion. However, protein intake was constant during the study. Urea is generated from exogenous or endogenous protein sources. This process is energy intensive and utilizes 3 moles ATP per mole of generated urea osmolyte. The increased urea excretion at a constant protein intake level therefore suggests that the catabolic hormone profile predisposed the subjects to increases in urea production with higher energy expenditure when their salt intake was elevated. Third, approximately 90% of the diuretic response observed with high glucocorticoid levels was osmolyte-free water excretion, which occurred in the absence of increased water intake and resulted in a negative renal water balance. Increased body weight in the morning preceded a negative water balance within the next 24 hours. Thus, a 24-hour water balance at high levels of driven suppression of rhythmical mineralocorticoid release and promotion of rhythmical glucocorticoid release predicts a negative renal fluid balance, with reduced fluid intake, increased urinary volume, and reduced body weight. This projected hormone-driven effect on fluid balance corresponds well to the measured negative renal water balance in the third tertile of renal Na⁺ excretion. This observation suggests that long-term water balance critically relies on rhythmical mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid hormone action. In contrast to the predicted combined hormone-driven effect, the relative dominance of the glucocorticoid-associated osmolyte and water excretion pattern in the third tertile of UNaV excretion was characterized by a measured increase in body weight, and not by a reduction in body weight. We interpret this finding as indicating that high glucocorticoid levels occur when increased osmolyte intake and increased endogenous water accrual have led to a surplus in body osmolyte and water content that requires excretion (Supplemental Figure 8).

**Hypothesis 3: High salt intake induces glucocorticoid-driven metabolic water production.** This hypothesis is based on 3 findings. First, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake not only suppressed urinary aldosterone excretion, but also increased cortisol and cortisone excretion in the urine (11). This finding is in line with the study by Kerstens et al. that examined the effect of dietary salt ingestion on glucocorticoid excretion in salt-resistant subjects under daily life conditions (34). Thus, a 6-g/d increase in salt intake induces a catabolic hormone profile in humans. Second, we found that high glucocorticoid levels occurred with increased Na⁺, K⁺, and urea osmolyte excretion. However, protein intake was constant during the study. Urea is generated from exogenous or endogenous protein sources. This process is energy intensive and utilizes 3 moles ATP per mole of generated urea osmolyte. The increased urea excretion at a constant protein intake level therefore suggests that the catabolic hormone profile predisposed the subjects to increases in urea production with higher energy expenditure when their salt intake was elevated. Third, approximately 90% of the diuretic response observed with high glucocorticoid levels was osmolyte-free water excretion, which occurred in the absence of increased water intake and resulted in a negative renal water balance. Increased body weight in the morning preceded a negative water balance within the next 24 hours. Thus, a 24-hour water balance at high levels of
glucocorticoid action may increase metabolic water production by successful free water reabsorption. In addition, increased rhythmical free water surplus may have been acquired earlier as a result of suc-

our study are in agreement with this textbook assumption (Fig-

(25). The average water intake levels and urine excretion data from unusual low rate of insensible losses via the skin, lungs, and intestines the corresponding urine volume is 1–2 liters per day, assuming the surplus. Normal adults usually ingest 1.5–2.5 liters of water per day; water production contributed to the generation of the body water es thirst and fluid intake. However, we cannot provide information resulting in endogenous generation of a water surplus that reduc-

Figure 5. Long-term rhythmical hormonal control of water balance and its modulation by dietary salt intake. (A) Effect of rhythmical mineralocorticoid release, independent of salt intake, on water intake (n = 1,646), urine volume (n = 1,644), renal water balance (n = 1,646), and body weight (n = 1,631). (B) Effect of rhythmical glucocorticoid release, independent of salt intake, on water intake (n = 1,646), urine volume (n = 1,644), renal water balance (n = 1,646), and body weight (n = 1,631). (C) Projected combined effect of salt-driven modulation of rhythmical mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid release on water intake, urine volume, renal water balance, and body weight. (D) Measured effect of third tertile Na+ excretion in the urine on water intake (n = 1,646), urine volume (n = 1,644), renal water balance (n = 1,646), and body weight (n = 1,631). Data were statistically analyzed by mixed linear model and are expressed as the Δ change ± SEM (A, B, and D). The projected combined effect of mineralocorticoid suppression and glucocorticoid increase by dietary salt intake in C was calculated from the data presented in A and B and the measured suppression of mineralocorticoid and increase in glucocorticoid levels by a 6-g/d increase in salt intake. Details on the supplemental calculations and statistical analyses pertaining to Figure 5 are provided in the Supplemental Materials (pages 22 and 148, respectively).

Summary. In summary, our ultra-long-term balance studies suggest that humans maintain water balance by endogenous rhythmical accrual and excretion of body water. Rhythmical mineralocorticoid regulation promotes Na+ retention and osmolyte-free water accrual by enhancing the water-economizing nature of the renal concentration mechanism (39, 40), resulting in increased body water. Contrariwise, rhythmical glucocorticoid release is associated with osmolyte excretion and inhibits the renal concentration mechanism in order to excrete surplus body water. This surplus had been accrued earlier, either by successful water conservation in the renal barrier, or by additional (unmeasured) water conservation in the extrarenal epithelial barriers, or, alternatively, by increasing the rate of (unmeasured) metabolic water generation. A 6-g/d increase in salt intake reduces rhythmical aldosterone and enhances rhythmical glucocorticoid action, increases endogenous osmolyte-free water accrual, and ultimately results in the excretion of surplus salt osmolytes, together with endogenously accrued surplus water. However, the accompanying relative predominance of rhythmical glucocorticoid release

(40%-65%), the average transepidermal water loss in resting adults is approximately 10 g/m²/h (23, 28, 35), corresponding to approximately 500 ml/d in our subjects. If increased salt intake had promoted osmolyte-free water conservation not only in the kidney barrier, but also simultaneously in the epithelial skin barrier, an unmeasured reduction in skin water loss could have significantly contributed to the body water surplus detected in our subjects. Similarly, we have no information on differential osmolyte and water handling in the lungs and intestinal barrier. These sites, while possibly important, require additional experiments beyond the scope of the present study.

While a 6-g/d increase in salt intake increased glucocorticoid levels and therefore induced a relatively catabolic hormone profile, we cannot provide direct or indirect measurements of metabolic water production at the tissue level. In the absence of indirect calorimetric measurements, molecular glucocorticoid receptor–binding studies, and MRI-based body composition studies, we can only hypothesize that the salt-driven increase in glucocorticoid levels led to increased breakdown of cellular fuels and increased metabolic water production, thereby contributing to the body water surplus observed in our subjects. We addressed this limitation in additional animal experiments and showed that a high-salt diet can increase corticoste-

rone release and thereby induce a catabolic state, with increased fatty acid oxidation and exploitation of muscle nitrogen stores for urea osmolyte generation.

The experimental design of our study did not allow us to investigate the role of vasopressin release. In response to small increases in plasma osmolality, vasopressin release occurs before thirst is triggered. This neuroendocrine hormone system could be an important contributor to the endogenous rhythmical free water accrual and its modulation by dietary salt we observed in our subjects (36–38).
suggests that the maintenance of water homeostasis in states of surplus salt excretion is intimately coupled with changes in body energy expenditure in humans. Thus, salt-driven changes in energy metabolism may link high salt intake with diabetes mellitus (41–43), osteoporosis (44–48), and increased cardiovascular and neurovascular disease risk (49–54), even in the absence of any salt-sensitive blood pressure responses.

Methods

Study subjects
In the Mars105 and Mars520 space flight simulation studies, 12 healthy young male volunteers provided written informed consent following due approval to spend 105 and 520 days, respectively, in an enclosed habitat consisting of hermetically sealed interconnecting modules. The crews lived and worked like cosmonauts on the International Space Station. Environmental factors were maintained constant. Microgravity was not simulated. Anthropometric data on each participant are provided in Supplemental Table 1.

Diets and the ultra-long balance approach
Nutritional intervention took place during the complete Mars105 study and during the first 205 days of the simulated flight to Mars in the Mars520 study. Dietary salt intake was controlled throughout the study. Dietary salt reduction during the Mars105 study was performed stepwise from 12 g/d to 9 g/d to 6 g/d salt. Given the longer duration of the Mars520 study, we could reexpose the subjects to 12 g/d salt after salt depletion to 6 g/day salt (Supplemental Table 2). Each salt intake level was maintained constant for at least 29 days. Calorie intake necessary to satisfy energy expenditure with a moderately active lifestyle was permitted, and all other nutrients in the diet were maintained constant throughout the study. In contrast to salt intake, the subjects had unrestricted access to fluids, which included tap water, tea, coffee, juice, and milk. Monitoring of beverage consumption during the Mars520 study showed that the salt intake level had no influence on the subjects’ beverage selection (Supplemental Table 3). The study subjects measured their fluid intake volumetrically and recorded each serving in their log books, which resulted in precise information on daily fluid intake. The subjects carefully collected 24-hour urine samples and measured their urine volume gravimetrically or volumetrically. Each subject was informed about the pitfalls of sodium and water balance studies and urine sampling in 4 separate 1-hour training sessions prior to the experiment. The subjects collected all their urine for a 24-hour period and each day transferred 4 aliquots of 10 mL, which were then frozen for later analysis. We defined inadequate caloric intake and lack of accuracy in daily sodium balance as dropout criteria. Accuracy was evaluated by individual average daily urinary sodium excretion as a percentage of the actual daily sodium intake. We excluded subjects from analysis when their weekly urinary sodium recovery was repeatedly less than 80% of sodium intake or when the subjects did not adhere to our daily menu plans. Only this strict focus on experimental accuracy allowed us to implement a long-term balance approach. Because 2 subjects did not comply with these criteria, we had to exclude them from further analysis.

Data analysis
Dietary salt intervention. We studied the dietary salt intervention by testing the effect of the prescribed salt intake phase (low salt intake: 6 g/d; medium salt intake: 9 g/d; high salt intake: 12 g/d) on the following: daily water intake and urine volume, with the result defined as the difference between measured fluid intake and urinary volume (water balance gap); urinary Na+, cortisone, and aldosterone excretion; urine Na+, K+, and urea concentration; and urine osmolality. We found that a reduction in salt intake from 12 g/d to 6 g/d affected our variables in a reversible fashion when salt intake was increased from 6 g/d to 12 g/d during the reexposure phase of the Mars520 experiment (Supplemental Table 4). Furthermore, the effects of a gradual reduction of initially high salt intake to low salt intake were comparable during the Mars105 and Mars520 studies (Supplemental Table 5). Recorded Na+ intake and measured Na+ excretion levels were approximately 10 to 20 mmol/d higher in the Mars105 experiment than in the Mars520 experiment. The 3 different salt intake levels resulted in the expected differences in average UNaV per salt phase, albeit with remarkable variability due to the previously reported day-to-day rhythmical variability in urine Na+ excretion (11, 12, 22). Therefore, we stratified our UNaV data into 3 tertiles—low (first tertile), medium (second tertile), and high (third tertile) UNaV—to test the effect of urine Na+ excretion per UNaV tertile on our variables.

Effect of urine mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid levels. Likewise, we stratified our 24-hour aldosterone and cortisone excretion data into 3 tertiles of low (first tertile), medium (second tertile), and high (third tertile) hormone levels. We then analyzed the changes in the variables per tertile for urine cortisone or aldosterone excretion. The metrics of the resulting tertiles are provided in Table 1.

Statistics. We first graphed the variables for each subject per unit of time. We next expressed the variables’ average ± SD per salt intake phase or per tertile of UNaV, urine cortisone excretion, or urine aldosterone excretion. We then investigated the salt phase- or tertile-induced changes (Δ) in the variables by mixed linear model analysis and depicted the estimated changes in the dependent variables as the estimated Δ ± SEM. We found excellent agreement between the measured average ± SD difference and the estimated ± SEM change in the dependent variables. To facilitate data expression and interpretation, we primarily studied the effect of 6-g/d versus 12-g/d salt intake and the effect of first tertile versus third tertile changes in UNaV as well as in urine cortisone and urine aldosterone excretion on water balance in humans. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS software, version 21.0 (IBM) was used for statistical analysis. Detailed statistical analyses for each Figure and Table are provided in the Supplemental Materials.

Study approval
The isolation study was conducted at the Institute for Biomedical Problems in Moscow and approved by the Russian National Committee on Bioethics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Physiology Panel, protocol numbers 241 (105-day study) and 269 (520-day study). All subjects provided informed consent prior to their participation in the study.
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