TERT core promotor mutations in early-onset bladder cancer
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Abstract

Activating mutations in the core promoter of the TERT gene have been described in many different tumor entities. In vitro models showed a two- to fourfold increase in transcriptional activity of the TERT promoter through creation of a consensus binding motif for Ets/TCF transcription factors caused by these mutations. TERT core promoter mutations are the most common mutations in bladder cancer with a frequency between 55.6% and 82.8% described so far, and are independent of stage and grade. Since limited data on molecular alterations of early-onset bladder tumors exists, we assessed the frequency of TERT core promoter mutations in early-onset bladder cancer. Two cohorts of bladder tumors (early-onset patient group; n=144 (age of onset of disease ≤45 years); unselected, consecutive group; n=125) were examined for TERT core promoter mutations. After microdissection and extraction of DNA the corresponding hotspot regions in the TERT core promoter were examined by Sanger-sequencing or a SNaPshot approach. A significantly lower frequency of TERT core promoter mutations was found in tumors from the early-onset cohort compared to the consecutive cohort (57.6% vs. 84.8%, p<0.001). Among the early-onset cohort cases younger than the cohort’s median age of 39 years at disease onset showed a significantly reduced number of TERT promoter mutations (57.6% vs. 84.8%, p<0.001). Among the early-onset cohort cases younger than the cohort’s median age of 39 years at disease onset showed a significantly reduced number of TERT promoter mutations (31/67, 46.3%) than cases aged between 39 and 45 years (52/77, 67.5%; p=0.012). This association was not found in the consecutive cases. Mutation status was independent of tumor stage and grade. We conclude that in tumors from early-onset bladder cancer patients TERT core promoter mutations are not as frequent as in bladder tumors from consecutive cases, but seem to play an important role there as well. In patients below 39 years of age TERT core promoter mutations are a more infrequent event, suggesting different mechanisms of tumorigenesis in these young patients.

Key words: bladder cancer, early-onset, TERT, mutation, sequencing.

Introduction

The TERT gene encodes the catalytic reverse transcriptase subunit of telomerase, a RNA-dependent polymerase (ribonucleoprotein complex) maintaining telomere length, and preventing replicative senescence and genomic instability. In stem cells telomerase activity is crucial for indefinite replication and the limitless replicative potential associated with increased telomerase activity is one of the six hallmarks of cancer [1]. Elements responsible for the regulation of TERT
promoter activity are located in a core region that includes 330 bp upstream of the ATG start-codon and 37 bp of exon 2 of the \textit{TERT} gene. Recently, activating \textit{TERT} core promoter mutations were described in familial and sporadic melanoma [2], and since then mutations have also been reported in a multitude of sporadic tumors [3]. Mutational hotspots are located at positions -57, -124 and -146 bp. Mutations at these locations lead to nucleotide changes predicted to create novel binding motifs for E-twenty-six (Ets) transcription factors. In addition, binding motifs for the ternary complex factors (TCFs, which are a subfamily of Ets transcription factors) Elk1 and Elk4 are also putatively generated by these alterations. Elk1 and Elk4 are downstream targets of BRAF and involved in regulating expression of many genes. Creation of these \textit{de novo} Ets/TCF-binding motifs leads to an increase in \textit{TERT} promoter activity and gene expression [2].

Several studies shed light on the frequency of \textit{TERT} core promoter mutations in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. \textit{TERT} core promoter mutations were found with a frequency up to >80\% in bladder cancer [4] and are the most common mutations in bladder cancer reported to date. Interestingly, \textit{TERT} mutations showed neither a correlation to tumor stage or tumor grade, nor to disease outcome, but were suggested as a useful urine biomarker in the follow-up of bladder cancer patients or for proving the urothelial origin in cancers of unknown primary [5-7]. In addition, also in rare histopathological subtypes of bladder cancer (e.g. inverted papilloma, small cell carcinoma) these mutations were found but with various frequencies [8, 9].

It is well known that exposure to exogenous noxae such as amines, cigarette smoke, drugs and radiation therapy is associated with the development of bladder cancer, which is typically a disease of older people with a mean age of diagnosis being between 65 and 70 years of age. Only a small fraction (approx. 1.5\%) of bladder tumors develop before the age of 45 years [10]. These tumors represent an interesting cohort to study, since tumorigenesis in such “early-onset” bladder tumors might be via a different pathway, or they might reveal crucial molecular alterations responsible for the initiation of urothelial carcinogenesis in general. Since only very limited data regarding molecular alterations of early-onset bladder tumors exist, we thought it reasonable to assess the frequency of \textit{TERT} core promoter mutations in a cohort of such tumors, as this might reveal further evidence corroborating a different tumorigenesis pathway.

**Methods**

**Tissue samples**

Archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor material from 269 cases acquired through transurethral resection was used for the study (cohort 1: unselected cases: n=125, cohort 2: early-onset-bladder cancer cases (age at time of diagnosis ≤45 years): n=144). The tumors were classified and staged according to the WHO classification of bladder tumors [11] and the current AJCC/TNM-classification system [12]. Representative images of available histopathological specimens are shown in Figure 1.

Clinicopathological characteristics of the cases are shown on Table 1. Prior IRB approval (University Hospital Erlangen, Germany) was obtained for the study.

Microdissection and DNA isolation

Microdissection and isolation of genomic DNA was carried out from FFPE tumor material as described previously [13]. In brief, 5 μm thick serial sections of the tumor tissue were dewaxed and stained with 0.1% methylene blue for 15 seconds. Using an inverted microscope the tumor tissue (identified through matching with a marked H&E-stained section reviewed by an experienced surgical pathologist) was scraped off with a sterile needle to obtain a tumor cell purity of at least 85%. Isolation of genomic DNA from the microdissected tumor tissue was performed using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

TERT promoter mutation analysis

Mutation analysis of the TERT promoter was performed as described recently [2]. In brief, Sanger-sequencing of the TERT core promoter was carried out using an ABI Prism 3500 Genetic Analyzer and the Big Dye Terminator v.1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif., USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. SNaPshot assays designed to detect hotspot mutations at positions -146, -124 and -57 bp of the TERT promoter were used when DNA samples were unsuitable for mutation analysis by Sanger sequencing. All primers and reaction conditions were described elsewhere in detail [2, 14].

Statistics

A two-sided Fisher’s exact test was used to evaluate differences in the distribution of TERT promoter mutations according to stage, grade or age of the patients. Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). P values less than 0.05 were interpreted as statistically significant.

Results

All investigated cases were successfully analyzed by Sanger sequencing (n=16) or SNaPshot analysis (n=253) (Figure 2). Overall, there was a significantly lower frequency of TERT promoter mutations in the tumors from the early-onset bladder cancer patients (83/144, 57.6%) compared to the unselected, consecutive cohort (106/125, 84.8%; p<0.001; Figure 3). The distribution of the detected TERT promoter mutations in our cohorts was very similar to the results of previously published bladder cancer studies [4, 5] with the majority of the mutated cases (92%) carrying -124 G > A (C > T on the opposite strand) or -146 G > A mutations (Table 2). In order to find a possible age dependency of the occurrence of TERT promoter mutations in the tumors from the early-onset bladder cancer cohort we stratified this cohort against the median age (39 years) of the cohort. There was a significantly lower frequency of TERT promoter mutations in patients aged <39 years at time of first diagnoses compared to patients ≥39 years (p=0.012; Figure 4). No further association was found between TERT promoter mutation and clinicopathological characteristics of the tumors.

Discussion

In the present study we analysed TERT promoter mutations in a large cohort of tumors from patients with early-onset disease, and compared mutation data to that obtained from an unselected consecutive group of bladder tumors. Data from our consecutive cohort are in line with previously published studies [4-6] and argues for the reliability of the methods used and for a non-biased control group. Interestingly, there was a significant lower frequency of TERT promoter mutations in the tumors from the early-onset patients. This fact points to a possible

Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristics of the study cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Consecutive Cohort</th>
<th>Early-Onset Tumor Cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>n=125</td>
<td>n=144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean: 71, Range: 29-94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median: 70.7, Range:</td>
<td>39, 11-45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilloma</td>
<td>n=2</td>
<td>n=5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cis</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>n=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>n=78</td>
<td>n=83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>n=35</td>
<td>n=14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥T2</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>n=27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>n=2</td>
<td>n=16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>n=33</td>
<td>n=43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>n=56</td>
<td>n=48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>n=17</td>
<td>n=30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>n=19</td>
<td>n=24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>n=95</td>
<td>n=100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>n=30</td>
<td>n=24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>n=0</td>
<td>n=21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of TERT core promoter mutations in the two study cohorts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from ATG start site (bp)</th>
<th>Base change</th>
<th>Consecutive Cohort (n=125)</th>
<th>Early-Onset Tumor Group (n=144)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-57</td>
<td>T &gt; G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-124</td>
<td>G &gt; A</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-124</td>
<td>G &gt; T</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-146</td>
<td>G &gt; A</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-57 and -124</td>
<td>T &gt; G and G &gt; A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-124 and -146</td>
<td>G &gt; A and G &gt; A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-124 and -146</td>
<td>G &gt; T and G &gt; A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
age-dependent occurrence of TERT promoter mutations in bladder cancer or a different molecular background in early-onset bladder tumors. An age-dependent difference in TERT mutation frequency in bladder cancer was recently observed in two studies. Wu and coworkers found a significantly lower mutation frequency in tumors from patients aged younger than 50 years [6]. In this study tumors from patients below 50 years of age showed a mutation frequency of 37.5% vs 59.6% from patients ≥50 years, however, only a small number of tumors from “younger” patients below 50 years of age (n=40) was analysed and they did not justify why a cut-off of 50 years of age was selected. Despite these limitations data from Wu and colleagues are in line with the results from our study. Wang et al. focused on TERT promotor mutation analysis of urothelial tumors from the upper urinary tract [15]. Within a cohort of ureter tumors the mean age of patients with mutated tumors was significantly higher than the mean age of patients with non-mutated tumors (72.4 years vs. 65.1 years). Although both cohorts showed a mean age typical for the regular onset of urothelial tumors the shift towards a lower TERT promoter frequency in an age-dependent manner is remarkable and also strengthens our findings. Interestingly, an age-dependent TERT promoter mutation frequency was also recently reported in papillary thyroid carcinoma. Liu and coworkers found a significant higher mutation frequency in patients aged >45 years compared to patients aged ≤45 years [16]. In addition, a study on medullablastomas reported TERT mutations to be significantly more frequent in an older cohort of pediatric patients (median age: 16 years) compared to a very young patient cohort (median age: 6 years) [17]. All these data gave evidence for a minor role of TERT promoter mutation in tumors from patients with early-onset disease.

It is difficult to explain this age-dependency. Focusing on bladder cancer it was recently shown that TERT promoter mutations lead to increased levels of TERT mRNA, TERT protein, telomerase enzymatic activity and telomere length in vitro [18]. Moreover, increased levels of TERT mRNA were shown to be associated with a reduced disease-specific survival suggesting high levels of TERT mRNA as a marker for biological aggressiveness in bladder cancer [18]. Our findings of a low TERT promoter mutation frequency in bladder tumors from young patients fits well with this observation as bladder tumors in young patients showed a low biological aggressiveness, and patients with early-onset disease displayed a favorable course of disease in most cases investigated [19, 20].

Figure 2: Representative examples of TERT promoter mutations detected in bladder tumors using Sanger sequencing or SNaPshot analysis. A: Electropherograms of Sanger sequencing results for a -124 “G > A” mutant sample. B: SNaPshot profiles for wildtype, -124 “G > A” mutant and -146 “G > A” mutant samples. Arrows indicate mutant peaks.
TERT promoter mutations were described as being frequently concomitant with FGFR3 mutations in bladder tumors especially in tumors with low grade and low stage [21]. Based on the limited molecular data available on early-onset bladder tumors FGFR3 mutation is a rare event in bladder tumors from young adults [22, 23]. As FGFR3 and TERT promoter mutations are the most frequent alterations in bladder cancer the low frequency of both mutations in tumors from patients with early-onset disease argues for a specific and discrete molecular background of tumorigenesis in these patients. In addition, in 4.2% of the tumors from the early-onset tumor cohort we detected a double mutation at positions -124 and -146 (Table 2). In contrast, in our consecutive cohort as well as in published cohorts mutations at these positions were found as being mutually exclusive [4, 5]. One explanation for this finding might be a possible molecular heterogeneity of the tumors, i.e. two separate clones within the sample each with a different mutation. A second explanation might be an acquired second mutation during the progress of malignant transformation. This second TERT mutation might be a rare event and possibly speed up a carcinogenic process. As a methodological proof of this concept is difficult this suggestion remains speculative but would be in favor of an exclusive molecular feature of tumors from young patients. Our own studies on epigenetic changes in bladder tumors underlined a discrete molecular background in these cases as patients below 19 years of age showed a low rate of epigenetic alterations compared to elderly patients [24].

This first report on TERT promoter mutations in bladder tumors from a large cohort of patients with early-onset disease has shown that these tumors have a lower mutation frequency as compared to that reported in patients with regular disease-onset. These data further strengthen the suggestion of a distinct
molecular tumorigenesis in young bladder cancer patients.
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