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The accumulation of amyloid β peptide (Aβ(1–42)) in extracellular plaques is one of the pathological hallmarks of Alzheimer disease (AD). Several studies have suggested that cellular reuptake of Aβ(1–42) may be a crucial step in its cytotoxicity, but the uptake mechanism is not yet understood. Aβ may be present in an aggregated form prior to cellular uptake. Alternatively, monomeric peptide may enter the endocytic pathway and conditions in the endocytic compartments may induce the aggregation process. Our study aims to answer the question whether aggregate formation is a prerequisite or a consequence of Aβ endocytosis. We visualized aggregate formation of fluorescently labeled Aβ(1–42) and tracked its internalization by human neuroblastoma cells and neurons. β-Sheet-rich Aβ(1–42) aggregates entered the cells at low nanomolar concentration of Aβ(1–42). In contrast, monomer uptake faced a concentration threshold and occurred only at concentrations and time scales that allowed Aβ(1–42) aggregates to form. By uncoupling membrane binding from internalization, we found that Aβ(1–42) monomers bound rapidly to the plasma membrane and formed aggregates there. These structures were subsequently taken up and accumulated in endocytic vesicles. This process correlated with metabolic inhibition. Our data therefore imply that the formation of β-sheet-rich aggregates is a prerequisite for Aβ(1–42) uptake and cytotoxicity.

One of the pathological hallmarks of Alzheimer disease (AD) is the presence of extracellular plaques composed mainly of 42-amino acid amyloid β peptide (Aβ(1–42)) (1). The small hydrophobic Aβ(1–42) peptide, which is generated by proteolytic cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein, is released as a monomer from the plasma membrane into extracellular space, and tends to aggregate spontaneously into oligomeric, protofibrillar, and fibrillar assemblies (2–4). Oligomeric species of Aβ(1–42) are tightly linked to AD pathogenesis and are presumed to be the cause of neuronal damage (5). Several studies have suggested that the reuptake of extracellular Aβ(1–42) into neurons may lead to the formation of intracellular aggregates, resulting in neuronal damage and neurotoxicity (6–8). Endocytosis of misfolded proteins has also been observed in cell models of the tau protein, α-synuclein and huntingtin (9, 10), and recent evidence suggests that it may be the initial step in the replication of the misfolded protein structures by prion mechanisms (10–14). Several possible endocytic pathways, such as macropinocytosis and receptor-mediated endocytosis, have been discussed for Aβ and other misfolded protein aggregates (15–19). However, our understanding of the connection between aggregation and cytotoxicity is still limited. It has not been conclusively determined how and when the Aβ(1–42) peptide becomes toxic, whether Aβ aggregates prior to internalization or during the internalization process and, if so, in which intracellular compartments the aggregates form. Elucidating the connection between aggregation and internalization of Aβ(1–42) peptide may be thus vital in understanding its toxicity.

Here, we examine the relationship between the aggregation state of extracellular Aβ(1–42) and the efficiency of its internalization. We aimed to determine whether the formation of aggregates and particularly β-sheet-rich structures, as reported by thioflavin dyes (20) and conformation-specific antibodies is a prerequisite for its neuronal uptake.

We found that cultured human neuroblastoma cells (SH-EP cells) can efficiently internalize β-sheet-rich aggregates of Aβ(1–42) at nanomolar concentrations, and that cellular uptake was associated with metabolic inhibition. In contrast, monomeric Aβ(1–42) only entered cells inefficiently and at high nanomolar concentrations. Internalization occurred after aggregation on the plasma membrane, which suggests that the membrane is an environment that facilitates aggregation of Aβ(1–42) monomers.

Results

Preparation and Characterization of Fluorescently Labeled Aβ(1–42)—To investigate the relationship between the aggregation state of Aβ(1–42), its internalization and cytotoxicity,
we examined whether the presence of the secondary structure determines the behavior of Aβ(1–42) toward the cells and membranes. Aggregated Aβ, which may contain oligomers, protofibrils, and fibrils, was classified in two populations: a state without β-sheet structure and a state in which β-sheets have been formed. Concentrations of aggregated Aβ(1–42) peptide are expressed in terms of their amount of Aβ monomers as “equivalent monomer concentration.”

To track the peptide and to observe aggregation of monomeric Aβ(1–42) in cultured cells, we generated Aβ(1–42) peptides that were fluorescently labeled at the N terminus with Atto565-maleimide (Aβ(1–42)565), Atto488-maleimide (Aβ(1–42)488), or Atto633-maleimide (Aβ(1–42)633) as described below. Labeled Aβ(1–42) was separated by size exclusion chromatography (SEC, Fig. 1A). Aβ eluted in two populations at 0.86 (protofibrils, PF) and 1.39 ml (monomer, M).

We used unlabeled monomers and aggregation-incompetent sc-Aβ(1–42)565 as controls on SEC, both of which eluted similar to the Aβ(1–42)565 monomer fraction (M, Fig. 1A). Those monomers could not be detected by atomic force microscopy (AFM, Fig. 1B). In contrast, AFM identified the PF fraction of Aβ(1–42)565 to be protofibrils with an average height of 4 nm (Fig. 1B). When incubated with ThT, the protofibrils (35 μM monomer equivalent) bound the dye immediately and ThT fluorescence changed little over the course of 1 h, whereas ThT fluorescence of the monomeric Aβ (35 μM) displayed sigmoid kinetics typical of nucleated polymerization (Fig. 1C).

To further examine whether the fluorescence label influenced Aβ(1–42) monomer aggregation, we compared fibril formation kinetics and fibril morphologies of labeled and unlabeled Aβ(1–42). Unlabeled monomeric Aβ(1–42) was mixed with 10% Aβ(1–42)565 (total Aβ(1–42) concentration of 15 μM) and incubated in PBS at 25 °C. Aggregation kinetics were monitored by binding of ThT in vitro (Fig. 1D) (21). The addition of 10% Aβ(1–42)565 monomer slowed the formation of fibers only slightly, without changing fibril structure, as observed by AFM (Fig. 1, D and E). We imaged fibrils formed in the presence of Aβ(1–42)633 by fluorescence microscopy using ThT fluorescence and Aβ(1–42)633 fluorescence (Fig. 1F). A high degree of correlation between the signals in both channels demonstrated that all fibrils did indeed incorporate labeled Aβ(1–42) (Fig. 1G).

We used labeled monomers (M) and protofibrils (PF, Fig. 1B) to compare their respective cellular uptake. Mature fibrils (Fig. 1E) were used to compare their uptake to that of protofibrils and monomers. For kinetic analysis of cellular uptake mixtures of 90% unlabeled Aβ(1–42) and 10% Aβ(1–42)565 were used.

**Efficient Uptake of Aβ(1–42) Protofibrils**—We treated cultured human neuroblastoma (SH-EP) cells with Aβ(1–42)565 monomers or protofibrils to determine whether both Aβ species are internalized differently. Cells were imaged by confocal microscopy after incubation with Aβ(1–42)565 at 37 °C for 24 h (Fig. 2, A–E). A soluble membrane-impermeable fluorescent dye, calcine (20 μM), was added to the extracellular medium to mark the uptake of extracellular medium during vesicular trafficking from the plasma membrane into the cells in endocytic vesicles (Fig. 2A). Intracellular Aβ(1–42)565 could be detected after treatment with protofibrils (150 nM, Fig. 2A) or with monomers at a higher concentration (500 nM, Fig. 2B). Treatment with low concentrations of monomeric Aβ(1–42)565 (150 nM, Fig. 2C) or with an aggregation-incompetent scrambled peptide, sc-Aβ(1–42)565 (500 nM, Fig. 2D), did not lead to detectable Aβ(1–42)565 inclusions, as was the case for untreated cells (Fig. 2E, n.c.). Quantitative analysis of Aβ(1–42)565 fluorescence in the endocytic vesicles and in the cytosol revealed that a low level cytosolic Aβ(1–42)565 signal could be detected after treatment with protofibrils (150 nM) or high concentration (500 nM) monomeric Aβ(1–42) but not at low monomer concentrations (150 nM). The Aβ(1–42)565 signal in the surrounding cytosol was about 30-fold lower than in the vesicles (Fig. 2F). The lack of uptake of sc-Aβ(1–42)565 demonstrated that internalization was specific for the Aβ(1–42) sequence and was not a result of the fluorescent label (Fig. 2D).

We then verified that internalization of Aβ(1–42)565 into endocytic vesicles was also observed in primary hippocampal neurons (Fig. 3, A–C). After incubating neurons with aggregated Aβ(1–42)565 (150 nM), monomeric Aβ(1–42)565 (150 or 500 nM), and calcine (20 μM) at 37 °C for 1 day, the uptake of Aβ(1–42)565 and the colocalization of internalized Aβ(1–42)565 and calcine were observed for aggregated Aβ(1–42) (Fig. 3A) and for monomeric Aβ(1–42) at 500 nM (Fig. 3B), but not for monomeric Aβ(1–42) at 150 nM (Fig. 3C), supporting our results from the neuroblastoma cell model. On the images, internalized Aβ(1–42)565 shown in neurons (Fig. 3, A and B) and SH-EP cells (Fig. 2, A and B) seem different in quantity and distribution, which may be the result of the isolation of glia-free hippocampal neurons, or may reflect a general higher endocytic activity of neurons under the same experimental conditions.

To test whether exogenous fluorescence represented Aβ species, we co-stained cells with the anti-β-amyloid antibody 6E10, which confirmed that Atto565 fluorescence indeed indicated the presence of Aβ (Fig. 3, D and E). Conversely, 6E10 staining detected only endogenous amyloid precursor protein in the absence of Aβ(1–42)565 (Fig. 3F). These results suggest that protofibrillar Aβ(1–42) is taken up at lower concentrations than the monomers, and that an effective uptake of monomer may require Aβ to form an ordered aggregate structure prior to cellular uptake.

**Uptake of Monomer Requires a Minimum Aβ Concentration**—To quantitatively analyze the dependence of monomer uptake on the concentration of Aβ(1–42), we titrated the cells either with Aβ(1–42) monomer or protofibrils at 15–1500 nM (equivalent monomer concentration), and quantified intracellular Aβ(1–42) as a function of Aβ(1–42) concentration by the fluorescence of labeled Aβ(1–42) using HCS microscopy (Fig. 4A).

We tracked the amount of internalized Aβ as a function of time and found maximal intracellular Aβ(1–42) signal after 24 h (Fig. 4B). The treatment with monomeric Aβ(1–42) (200 nM) did not result in cell death within 49 h (data not shown). We thus used 24 h incubation for subsequent HCS microscopy experiments. For monomeric Aβ(1–42), the total amount of intracellular Aβ(1–42) as well as the number of aggregates and their area was proportional to Aβ(1–42) monomer concentrations in the medium. No intracellular...
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**FIGURE 1. Purification of fluorescently labeled Aβ(1–42) species.** A, separation of Aβ(1–42) by SEC. Labeled peptides eluted in a protofibril (PF) and a monomer (M) fraction. Peptides and labels were detected by absorption at 280 nm and 565 nm, respectively. The elution locations of monomers, Atto565-labeled Aβ(1–42) (Aβ42/a565), unlabeled monomeric Aβ(1–42) (Aβ42 mono.), and Atto565-labeled scrambled Aβ(1–42) (sc-Aβ42/a565), have very similar SEC elution profiles. B, no aggregates were detected by AFM in the Aβ(1–42)565 monomer fraction collected from SEC (1.39 ml). Aβ(1–42)565 protofibrils with an average height of about 4 nm could be detected in the protofibril fraction of SEC. C, unlabeled monomeric Aβ(1–42) (35 μM), Aβ(1–42) protofibrils (35 μM monomer equivalent), and an elution fraction at 0.5 ml on SEC (20 μl) were incubated in PBS in presence of ThT (20 μM). ThT fluorescence of monomers displayed typical sigmoid kinetics. Protofibrils bound ThT immediately and stayed constant in fluorescence. D, monomeric Aβ(1–42) was mixed with 10% Aβ(1–42)565 monomers and incubated in PBS. Aggregation was monitored by ThT fluorescence. The curves were normalized to the maximum intensity of fluorescence of plateaus. All results are represented as mean values of 3 replicate wells. E, unlabeled monomeric Aβ(1–42) or Aβ(1–42) mixed with 10% Aβ(1–42)565 was incubated in PBS for 2 days. Similar fibril structures were detected in both samples. F, fluorescence microscopy of Aβ(1–42) aggregated in the absence or presence of 4% Aβ(1–42)565 detected by ThT and Atto633 fluorescence. G, Pearson correlation analysis of ~100 aggregates from three independent samples indicates that ThT and Atto633 fluorescence are highly correlated in labeled Aβ fibrils; all scale bars, 1 μm; *** indicates p < 0.001.
Aβ(1–42) was observed at concentrations of 150 nM and below (Fig. 4, C and D). In contrast, no such threshold concentration existed for the internalization of preformed Aβ(1–42)565 aggregates (Fig. 4, E and F). The observed threshold concentration for monomer uptake is similar to the critical concentration (22) that was reported for the
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Alternatively, differences in the degradation of Aβ(1–42) by proteases, either extracellular prior to uptake or intracellular, could cause the higher amount of Aβ(1–42) in the cells. To test this alternative hypothesis, we monitored concentrations of Aβ(1–42) in the cell culture media and in cell lysates as a function of time by immunoblotting (Fig. 4, G and H). We observed a pronounced increase of Aβ(1–42) in the lysates of cells incubated with aggregated Aβ(1–42) (500 nM) and a smaller increase in cells incubated with monomer (500 nM) after 24 h, mirroring the results from HCS microscopy (Fig. 4B). We then monitored the Aβ(1–42) concentration in the culture media, either in the presence of cells or in control samples that were incubated without cells for 24 h. When monitoring the Aβ(1–42) concentration in the culture media, no decrease of Aβ(1–42) over time was observed for monomeric Aβ(1–42) in the presence of cells or for either form of Aβ(1–42) in the control samples without cells. These data indicate that monomeric Aβ(1–42) is not degraded by proteases in the media, which would prevent its uptake. Rather, only the concentrations of aggregated Aβ(1–42) were reduced substantially over time, likely reflecting its more efficient binding to, and uptake into, cells. Taken together, these data strongly support our initial hypothesis that uptake of Aβ(1–42) does indeed depend on its aggregation state. If so, it would be important to determine the type of aggregates that are efficiently taken up by the cells.

Efficient Uptake Correlates with Formation of β-Sheet-rich Aggregates—To determine which Aβ species could be efficiently taken up, we combined in vitro aggregation kinetics and cellular uptake experiments. Aβ(1–42) amyloid formation was monitored in vitro to collect Aβ(1–42) species at different

FIGURE 3. Internalization of Aβ(1–42) by primary neurons and immunofluorescence staining of internalized Aβ(1–42). A–C, primary hippocampal neurons incubated with pre-aggregated Aβ(1–42)565 at 150 nM (A), monomeric Aβ(1–42)565 at 500 nM (B), or monomeric Aβ(1–42)565 at 150 nM concentration (C). Nuclei were stained by Hoechst 33342 (blue), endocytic vesicles were stained with calcein (green). D–F, co-staining of the internalized Aβ(1–42) with anti-Aβ-amyloid antibody. SH-EP cells were incubated with Aβ(1–42)565 (500 nM, equivalent monomer concentration) (D and E), or Atto 565 dye (F) at 37 °C for 24 h. Fixed cells were co-stained by anti-Aβ antibody (6E10) by IF staining, visualized by Cy5-conjugated secondary antibody (cyan), and imaged by confocal microscopy. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). D, internalized Aβ(1–42)565 was co-stained by 6E10/Cy5. E, no secondary antibody bound without primary antibody (6E10) to Aβ(1–42)565. F, Atto565 dye was not taken up, and 6E10/Cy5 did not mark dye molecules; scale bars, 10 μm.
FIGURE 4. Internalization of Aβ(1–42) depends on concentration. A, HCS microscopic images of SH-EP cells with Aβ(1–42) aggregates. Left, cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (white). Nuclei, which locate in focus plane, were identified by the image analysis software (blue contour lines). Right, the software identification of nuclei (blue contour lines) in the cell bodies (red contour lines) and Aβ(1–42) aggregates inside the cell bodies (yellow spots); scale bars, 100 μm. B, SH-EP cells were incubated with purified Aβ(1–42) monomer (200 nM) at 37 °C from 1 to 49 h. Intracellular Aβ(1–42) was reached after 27 h. C–F, SH-EP cells were incubated with Aβ(1–42) monomer (C and D) or aggregates (E and F) at 37 °C for 24 h. Total fluorescence intensities Aβ(1–42), cell number per well, the number of Aβ(1–42) aggregates per cell, and total aggregates area were plotted as functions of Aβ(1–42) concentration. All results are represented as mean ± S.D. of 6 replicate wells. G and H, quantification of Aβ(1–42) of SH-EP cells incubated with Aβ(1–42) monomer (500 nM) or aggregates in cell lysates (G) and cell culture media by dot blotting (H, mAb 6E10). Differences between 0, 1-, 3-, and 24-h incubation samples incubated under the same conditions were not statistically significant. Bars represent mean ± S.D. of three replicate wells; *** indicates p < 0.001; ** indicates p < 0.003; * indicates p < 0.05.
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Aβ(1–42) (15 μM, 10% Aβ(1–42)565) aggregation kinetics were monitored by ThT fluorescence (Fig. 5A). Samples were collected at four time points that corresponded to different phases of peptide aggregation: initiation (t0), lag phase (t1), growth phase (t2), and plateau phase (t3). The samples were characterized by CD spectroscopy (Fig. 5B). Within the lag phase (t0 and t1), Aβ(1–42) partially lost its disordered state. It adopted a β-sheet structure during the growth phase (t2 and t3) as indicated by a minimum at 218 nm in the CD spectra. When centrifuged at 200,000 × g, soluble Aβ(1–42) was lost during its growth phase (t2–t3, Fig. 5C). To determine which of these aggregation states are efficiently taken up, the SH-EP cells were incubated with Aβ(1–42) aggregates formed during the growth phase (t2 and t3) and inhibited mitochondrial metabolic activity (Fig. 5D). Under our experimental conditions Aβ(1–42) monomers became cytotoxic only at concentrations above 150 nM (Fig. 5F), a point at which they also underwent internalization (Fig. 4, C and D).

It should be noted that the dilution to 150 nM might change the structure of Aβ(1–42) in the medium from the structure recorded by CD at 15 μM (Fig. 5B). Nevertheless, at concentrations of 150 nM and below only Aβ(1–42) that had previously formed β-sheet-rich structures (t2, t3) was efficiently internalized reaction. This suggests that β-sheet-rich Aβ(1–42) aggregates are efficiently internalized, whereas unstructured monomers (t0) or small aggregates/oligomers (t1) without β-sheet structure are not.

HCS data from Fig. 4, C and E, show that neither form, monomer or small aggregates, had a strong effect on the number of living cells, i.e. internalized Aβ(1–42)565 did not cause cell death within 24 h. We therefore analyzed cell metabolic activities by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) reduction, which is a well established marker of early mitochondrial toxicity (23).

Aβ(1–42) aggregates formed during the growth phase (t2 and t3) were significantly more toxic than monomers (t0) and samples collected during the lag-phase (t1) and inhibited mitochondrial metabolic activity (Fig. 5E). Under our experimental conditions Aβ(1–42) monomers became cytotoxic only at concentrations above 150 nM (Fig. 5F), a point at which they also underwent internalization (Fig. 4, C and D).
ized, whereas unstructured monomers (t0) or small aggregates (t1) without β-sheet structure were not. This suggests that it was indeed the β-sheet aggregates that were taken up, rather than a different Aβ(1–42) species. The question whether the intracellular Aβ(1–42) still had β-sheet structure will be addressed in detail below.

These data support the hypothesis that the formation of a β-sheet structure may be a prerequisite for efficient uptake and subsequent cytotoxicity of Aβ(1–42) aggregates in neuronal model cells. The results raise the question where Aβ(1–42) aggregates form. Aggregation could occur in the medium or, alternatively, the cellular membrane may serve as a platform for the aggregation process.

**Multimerization on Plasma Membrane Precedes Uptake of Aβ(1–42)**—To investigate the location of aggregate formation and to better track the dynamics of monomer uptake, we repeated the uptake experiment under conditions that allowed us to separate in time Aβ(1–42) membrane interaction from its uptake into the cell. The cells were cooled to 4 °C, which slows down both Aβ(1–42) aggregation and cell metabolism, and then were treated with Aβ at 4 °C for 45 min. The cells were then washed with ice-cold PBS to remove unbound Aβ(1–42), and then restored at 37 °C in fresh media (Fig. 6A).

Under these conditions (4 °C, 60 min), even at a higher monomer concentration of 1 μM, Aβ(1–42) was only found on the plasma membrane and no uptake could be observed (Fig. 6B). Calcein (20 μM) uptake displayed that the cell still had some endocytic activity under these conditions.

First, we tested whether monomeric Aβ(1–42) assembles into larger species on the cell membrane. We incubated SH-EP cells with two types of fluorescently labeled monomeric Aβ(1–42), Aβ(1–42)488, and Aβ(1–42)633. The self-assembly of Aβ(1–42) into dimeric and multimeric species was quantified by FRET between both fluorophores. After preincubation at 4 °C for 15 min, SH-EP cells were treated with monomeric Aβ(1–42)488 and Aβ(1–42)633 (500 nM total Aβ(1–42) concentration) at 4 °C for 45 min. Confocal imaging showed that both Aβ(1–42)488 and Aβ(1–42)633 accumulated at the plasma membrane (Fig. 6C). Aβ(1–42)488 and Aβ(1–42)633 not only colocalized but also displayed a FRET signal, indicating direct interaction between the two-labeled Aβ(1–42) species. These data demonstrate that at least a fraction of the monomeric Aβ(1–42) peptides rapidly co-aggregated on the plasma membrane.

We then tested if those membrane-bound Aβ(1–42) aggregates did indeed form on the plasma membrane and could be taken up after their aggregation. To do so, SH-EP cells were treated successively with monomeric Aβ(1–42)488 and Aβ(1–42)633, each at 500 nM concentration. SH-EP cells were first only preincubated with monomeric Aβ(1–42)488 at 4 °C for 30 min, which was then washed off. After removing the unbound Aβ(1–42)488, the cells were treated with Aβ(1–42)633 at 4 °C for another 30 min. After that, the cells were washed again to remove unbound Aβ(1–42)488, incubated in fresh medium at 37 °C for 3 h to permit internalization of Aβ(1–42), and imaged by confocal microscopy (Fig. 6D). Both labeled Aβ(1–42) species colocalized in the endocytic vesicles. The FRET signal indicates that both had formed di- or multimeric complexes. Because the washing step had removed all Aβ(1–42)488 from solution, we conclude that Aβ(1–42)488 interacted with Aβ(1–42)633 while located on the plasma membrane. The membrane-bound aggregates were then taken up by endocytosis after the cells were returned to 37 °C.

To verify that the FRET signal is specific to physical interaction of Aβ(1–42) monomers and not an artifact of the label or of colocalization, we used transferrin (TF) as a negative control. TF binds to a membrane receptor and is internalized via clathrin-mediated endocytosis but does not aggregate on the membrane. After incubating the cells at 4 °C with two types of fluorescent transferrin conjugates (TF/A488 and TF/A647), we observed colocalization of both TF conjugates. However, the colocalization did not correspond to a FRET signal (Fig. 6E). This strongly suggests that the FRET between Aβ(1–42) molecules results from a specific physical interaction.

For a more comprehensive analysis of the membrane-assisted self-assembly and subsequent uptake of Aβ(1–42) monomers, the cells were treated with monomeric Aβ(1–42)565 at various concentrations (0–1600 nM) at 4 °C for 45 min. Subsequently the temperature was raised to 37 °C to permit internalization of Aβ(1–42) and the cells were incubated in fresh medium for 24 h. Intracellular Aβ(1–42)565 was then quantified by HCS microscopy (Fig. 6F). Intracellular Aβ(1–42)565 aggregate signals could be detected at Aβ(1–42)565 monomer concentrations above 200 nM, similar to the threshold concentration we previously observed for monomer uptake at 37 °C (Fig. 4, B and C). These data demonstrate that Aβ(1–42) binds to the plasma membrane and forms aggregates prior to cellular uptake, that the cell membrane assisted Aβ(1–42) self-assembly, and that uptake of monomeric Aβ(1–42) requires a critical Aβ(1–42) concentration for membrane-assisted uptake.

Conceivably, small Aβ(1–42) aggregates that are competent for uptake could be forming in solution and then bind to the plasma membrane. However, a comparison of conditions and time scales of Aβ(1–42) aggregation in solution with those of the membrane binding experiment makes this hypothesis less likely. Incubation of cells with Aβ(1–42) monomer for 45 min at 500 nM was sufficient to initiate uptake. In contrast, ThT-positive Aβ(1–42) species did not form in solution under the same conditions within 3 h even at monomer concentrations of 1500 nM (Fig. 6G).

Finally, we probed the complex formation of Aβ(1–42)488 and Aβ(1–42)633 (150 nM each) by FRET as a function of time (Fig. 6H). Little FRET signal was observed within the first 3 h, supporting our conclusion that membrane binding coincides with, or precedes interaction at this peptide concentration. Conversely, no FRET signal was observed after the co-incubation of scrambled sc-Aβ(1–42)488 and Aβ(1–42)633 (150 nM each), reinforcing that interaction depends on the Aβ peptide sequence and not on the fluorescent label. Formation of FRET-positive species also coincided with the time course of Aβ aggregation in vitro (Fig. 6, G and H), suggesting that the FRET signal results from multimeric aggregated Aβ species.

We therefore conclude that binding to the plasma membrane occurred within the lag-phase of ThT aggregation kinetics, well before the formation of β-sheet-rich aggregates. Because our previous experiment demonstrated that formation of β-sheet...
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Structures in vitro correlated with efficient uptake (Fig. 5, B and C), these data suggest that Aβ(1–42) peptides may convert into β-sheet aggregates on the membrane prior to uptake after soluble Aβ(1–42)633 peptides were removed. We therefore analyzed, whether intracellular Aβ(1–42) contained β-sheet structures.

Internalized Aβ(1–42) Contains β-Sheet-rich Structures—To identify the secondary structure of internalized Aβ(1–42) in the cultured cells, we stained cells with the amyloidophilic dye ThS and the anti-amyloid fibril antibody LOC after Aβ(1–42) uptake. ThS is widely used to visualize β-sheet-rich amyloid structures in histology (20, 24). It displays enhanced fluorescence emission on β-sheet binding, and has higher affinity and less pH sensitivity than ThT (20). When pre-aggregated Aβ(1–42) (t1–t3) is taken up, both ThS and LOC staining colocalize with Aβ(1–42)633 fluorescence confirming the presence of fibrillar aggregates (Fig. 7, A and B). However, ThS and LOC signals after incubation with monomeric Aβ(1–42) do not clearly demonstrate the presence of β-sheet-rich fibrils (Fig. 7, A and B). This leaves open the possibility that the Aβ(1–42) species detected by FRET have not yet formed β-sheet structures.

Our previous experiments found: (i) monomeric Aβ(1–42) is internalized at concentrations above ~200 nM; (ii) Aβ(1–42) aggregates on the plasma membrane; and (iii) aggregates containing β-sheet structure were taken up very efficiently, all suggesting a conversion of monomeric Aβ(1–42) into β-sheet structure during uptake.

To resolve whether this conversion occurs, we performed a competitive uptake experiment between monomer at a sub-critical concentration (Aβ(1–42)565, 150 nM), which was co-incubated with β-sheet aggregates (Aβ(1–42)633, 900 nM). Both species were labeled with different fluorophores to track in which compartment both monomers and aggregates were found. In addition, β-sheet-rich structures were stained by ThS. According to our previous results, we would expect monomers to be taken up only if they converted into β-sheet-rich structures via co-aggregation with pre-formed aggregates and that internalized Aβ(1–42) co-stains with ThS.

SH-EP cells were treated with Aβ(1–42)565 monomer (150 nM) at 4 °C for 20 min. Monomeric Aβ was removed, and then cells were treated with Aβ(1–42)633 aggregates at 900 nM at 4 °C for 20 min, washed with ice-cold cell culture medium, and stained with ThS at 4 °C for 10 min. After that, the cells were further incubated in fresh cell culture medium with calcine (20 μM) at 37 °C for 1 h. After removing the calcine-rich medium, the cells were incubated in calcine-free medium at 37 °C, and live cell images were taken by spinning disk confocal microscopy (Fig. 7C).

Aβ(1–42)565 was observed in both states; ThS positive (Fig. 7C, circle 1) and ThS negative (Fig. 7C, circles 2 and 3). In Fig. 7C, circles 1 and 2 show two structures that contained both Aβ(1–42)565 and Aβ(1–42)633. However, only the ThS positive structure colocalized with calcine (Fig. 7C, circle 1). In contrast, ThS negative structures did not colocalize with calcine and were thus not inside the endocytic vesicles (Fig. 7C, circle 2). We quantified ThS fluorescence of Aβ(1–42)633 monomers that colocalized with Aβ(1–42)633 fibrils and with Aβ(1–42)633 structures that did not contain Aβ(1–42)633 (Fig. 7D). Only Aβ(1–42)565 that had coaggregated with Aβ(1–42)633 fibrils (Fig. 7D, F(fibril)/F(mono) > 5) stained strongly with ThS (p < 0.008). In contrast, Aβ(1–42)565 that had not coaggregated with Aβ(1–42)633 fibrils (Fig. 7D, F(fibril)/F(mono) < 5) did not colocalize with ThS. Aβ structures that did not colocalize with ThS were not internalized (Fig. 7C, circle 3).

We quantitatively analyzed internalized and surface-bound species of monomeric Aβ from confocal live cell imaging (Fig. 7E). All monomeric Aβ found inside the cell both colocalized with preaggregated Aβ fibrils and stained strongly with ThS, whereas ~1/3 of surface-bound monomeric Aβ did not colocalize with fibrillar Aβ or stained with ThS. We did not find any monomeric Aβ that stained with ThS without colocalizing with fibrillar Aβ either inside the cells or on the cell surface under these experimental conditions.

This means that subthreshold concentrations of Aβ(1–42) monomers were capable of binding to the plasma membrane. However, co-aggregation into β-sheet-rich structures was needed for their efficient uptake into the cells.

Finally, we monitored the incorporation of Aβ monomers into β-sheet-rich aggregates on the surface of SH-EP cells by live cell FRET imaging (Fig. 7F, supplemental Fig. S1). Monomeric Aβ coaggregated with Aβ fibrils within 30 min into structures that also bound ThS. Taken together, these data strongly support our hypothesis, that aggregation into β-sheet structures facilitates the efficient uptake of Aβ(1–42) by neuroblastaoma cells via an endocytic mechanism.

Aβ(1–42) Aggregate Uptake Is Clathrin Independent—The location of Aβ(1–42) aggregates in endocytic vesicles raises the question by which endocytic pathway β-sheet-rich aggregates are internalized. Here we tested whether Aβ(1–42) aggregates are internalized by clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME). The CME pathway was blocked by lowering the temperature to 4 °C.

FIGURE 6. Aggregation of Aβ(1–42) occurs on the plasma membrane. A, experimental scheme. SH-EP cells were incubated with monomeric Aβ(1–42) at 4 °C, then cells were either imaged directly or Aβ(1–42) was washed off, the cells were further incubated in fresh medium at 37 °C. Intracellular Aβ(1–42) was quantified by confocal microscopy or HCS microscopy. At 4 °C, Aβ(1–42) monomers bound only to the plasma membrane, and at 37 °C endocytosis of Aβ(1–42) aggregates occurred. B, after incubating with monomeric Aβ(1–42)633 (1 μM) and calcein (20 nM) at 4 °C for 60 min, Aβ(1–42) was located only at the plasma membrane of SH-EP cells, no uptake could be observed. C, SH-EP cells were incubated with monomeric Aβ(1–42) (500 nM total Aβ(1–42) at 4 °C for 45 min, Aβ(1–42)633 to Aβ(1–42)565 FRET indicated aggregates species bound to the plasma membrane. D, SH-EP cells were treated successively with monomeric Aβ(1–42)633 and Aβ(1–42)633 (each 500 nM in the medium) at 4 °C for 30 min, then incubated in fresh medium at 37 °C for 3 h. Colocalization and Aβ(1–42)5688 to Aβ(1–42)633 FRET indicates that internalized Aβ(1–42) was in an aggregated form. E, SH-EP cells were incubated with transferrin/Alexa 488 (15 μg/ml, 5 ng/ml) and transferrin/Alexa 647 (15 μg/ml, 10 ng/ml) at 4 °C for 45 min. No FRET occurred between colocalized transferrin conjugates. C, SH-EP cells treated with monomeric Aβ(1–42)633 (0–1600 nM at 4 °C for 45 min) were then incubated in fresh medium at 37 °C for 24 h. Intracellular Aβ(1–42) was quantified by HCS microscopy. *p < 0.001. G, normal concentration-dependent Aβ(1–42) (0.7–25 μM) aggregation kinetics monitored by ThT fluorescence in vitro. ThT positive β-sheet structures were formed after ~3 h at 700 nm. Scale bars, 10 μM. All results are represented as mean ± S.D. of 6 replicate wells for uptake (a) and of three replicate wells for ThT kinetics. H, FRET signal (acceptor (645 nm)/donor (530 nm) of Aβ(1–42)5688 to Aβ(1–42)633 FRET pair (150 nM each) or sc-Aβ(1–42)5688/Aβ(1–42)633 mixtures (150 nM each) incubated in PBS after excitation at 488 nm.
Transferrin binds to the transferrin receptor on the plasma membrane and then can be internalized via coated pits. Fluorescent transferrin conjugates are therefore broadly used as markers for investigating the early phase of CME (25–28). At 4 °C, transferrin only binds to its receptor on the plasma membrane, and will not be taken up (25). Therefore, transferrin was used as specifically reported for inhibition of the CME pathway. However, it should be noted that at this temperature the fluidity of membrane is limited, which may also influence other endocytic mechanisms or the aggregation of Aβ(1–42) (29, 30).

Following incubation with monomeric and pre-aggregated Aβ(1–42)565 (150 nm), respectively, calcein (20 μM) and transferrin (10 ng/ml) at 4 °C for 45 min, SH-EP cells were fixed and imaged by confocal microscopy (Fig. 8, A and B). Transferrin was located exclusively at the cell membrane, demonstrating that CME was efficiently inhibited. Under these conditions...
Aβ(1–42) monomer was only observed on the plasma membrane and was not internalized. However, the Aβ(1–42) on the membrane did not colocalize with transferrin. The uptake of pre-aggregated Aβ(1–42) species was reduced but not totally inhibited under these conditions (Fig. 8A). However, Aβ(1–42) found in endocytic vesicles also did not colocalize with transferrin. These data suggest that uptake of Aβ(1–42) is independent of CME.

We then analyzed the internalization of Aβ(1–42)565 aggregates at 37 °C under conditions that permitted CME. Endocytic markers were visualized by immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 9). No colocalization with clathrin (Fig. 9A) and LAMP2 (Fig. 9B) was observed, supporting that Aβ(1–42) was not internalized via CME. Rather, internalized Aβ(1–42)565 colocalized with caveolin (Fig. 9C) and EEA1 (Fig. 9D), confirming that Aβ(1–42)565 was localized in endocytic vesicles and were taken up in a CME-independent pathway.

Discussion

Taken together, our data allow us to map the first steps in Aβ(1–42) internalization starting from the monomeric peptide. First, Aβ(1–42) monomers or very early disordered oligomers bind rapidly to the plasma membrane. Their binding partner could be either the lipid bilayer itself or plasma membrane proteins. Numerous studies have demonstrated interaction of Aβ with lipid bilayers. Its role in Aβ(1–42) uptake will be scrutinized in a future study. There, they aggregate either on the membrane surface itself or in a compartment that is very close to the membrane, from which they are taken up into endocytic vesicles. The highly efficient uptake of β-sheet-rich structures suggests that aggregation into, or co-aggregation with β-sheet aggregates occurs.

For Aβ(1–42) monomers, the peptide concentration has to be sufficient to initiate the first two steps of the process, resulting in a threshold for internalization at the saturation concentration, or critical concentration, for Aβ(1–42) aggregation (22). In contrast, no concentration threshold exists for the internalization of preformed Aβ(1–42) aggregates with β-sheet structure.

This has several implications for the possible mechanism of Aβ(1–42) toxicity. In our experiments metabolic inhibition was directly correlated with the formation and uptake of β-sheet-rich aggregates. Aggregated species have been found to play a central role in Aβ(1–42) toxicity, not all of which are large β-sheet-rich structures (5). Although β-sheet-rich Aβ that was efficiently endocytosed and that inhibited mitochondrial activity could be pelleted at 200,000 × g (Fig. 5), very large...
aggregate structures were unable to enter the cell. Our data therefore suggest that neurons preferably take up \(\beta\)-sheet-rich oligomeric and protofibrillar structures of intermediate size. It is tempting to speculate that small oligomers may have a higher affinity to the plasma membrane than the monomeric peptide, facilitating the conversion to \(\beta\)-sheet-rich structures and subsequent internalization. Our results demonstrate that, unlike monomers, preexisting A\(_{\beta}\) aggregates are internalized at low nanomolar concentrations, which corresponds to previously observed binding of A\(_{\beta}\) oligomers to neuronal plasma membranes at nanomolar concentration (31, 32).

Our experiments did not provide evidence that uptake of aggregates proceeds via CME. A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) can enter the cells via a non-clathrin-mediated pathway, and then locate in the endocytic vesicles. Costaining with caveolin suggests a possible uptake route via the caveolin endocytosis pathway. A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) is also believed to be involved in cholesterol and caveolin trafficking (33).

A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) aggregates may be taken up via receptor independent endocytosis, as had been observed previously (34). Other pathways for the internalization of amyloidogenic proteins have been discussed. Synthetic peptide aggregates of sizes <500 nm were taken up into HEK cells by nonspecific endocytosis, whereas larger aggregates were internalized by a mechanism similar to phagocytosis (35). Tau aggregates can be internalized via micropinocytosis, mediated by glycosaminoglycans (36). It is possible that A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) aggregates enter the cell by the same pathway.

Second, we found that A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) aggregates can form in a concentration-dependent manner through self-assembly of A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) on the plasma membrane and that internalized A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) aggregates have \(\beta\)-sheet structure. It has long been known that lipid interaction promotes A\(_{\beta}(1–40)\) transition to \(\beta\)-sheet structure (37) and our data support the interpretation that this process is central to A\(_{\beta}\) uptake and toxicity. Our data suggest the binding of A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) to the lipid bilayer or to membrane proteins may be the first step in the formation of cytotoxic A\(_{\beta}(1–42)\) aggregates de novo. Factors that increase partitioning of A\(_{\beta}\) to the plasma membrane will therefore likely promote the formation of \(\beta\)-sheet-rich aggregates on the membrane. These include lipid peroxidation products, such as secocholesterol and 4-hydroxy-nonenal that facilitate A\(_{\beta}\) membrane binding and aggregation (38–40), divalent metal ions promoting A\(_{\beta}\) membrane interaction (41), and interaction with membrane proteins (16). Our data support a central role of endocytosis in A\(_{\beta}\) cytotoxicity (15) and provide strong evidence that aggregation precedes endocytosis, a question that had previously not been conclusively resolved (7, 8). This experimental evidence may improve our understanding of AD pathology and
may inform more focused therapeutic approaches targeting membrane binding and self-assembly of the Aβ peptide.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Preparation of Monomers and Fluorescent Labeling of Aβ(1–42)—**Synthetic human Aβ(1–42) and Aβ(1–42) with a single N-terminal cysteine residue (Institute for Medical Immunology, Charité, Berlin, Germany) were dissolved in hexafluoro-2-propanol and incubated at room temperature overnight. After flash freezing by liquid nitrogen, hexafluoro-2-propanol was removed by lyophilization (Savant SpeedVac, Thermo), and the peptides were stored at −20 °C until use.

To prepare unlabeled monomer, lyophilized Aβ(1–42) was dissolved in 10 mM NaOH, sonicated for 30 min in ice-cold water bath, and passed through a 0.22-μm filter (Millipore). The monomers were kept on ice and used immediately or within 1 h.

Aβ(1–42) with a single N-terminal cysteine residue was labeled by Atto488-maleimide (Aβ(1–42)488), Atto565-maleimide (Aβ(1–42)565), or Atto 633-maleimide (Aβ(1–42)633, Atto Tec) at a 1:1.5M ratio in NaOH (10 mM) containing 0.05 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, pH 7.5, and at 4 °C overnight. Aβ(1–42)565 and free Atto565-maleimide were separated by SEC (Superdex 75, 3.2/20 column, GE Healthcare) with a flow rate at 40 μl/min in 10 mM NaOH.

Scrambled-Aβ(1–42) (Millipore, sc-Aβ(1–42)) was dissolved in 10 mM NaOH and labeled with Atto565-NHS (sc-Aβ(1–42)565). The labeling process and the separation of sc-Aβ(1–42)565 and free dyes were the same as described above. Aβ(1–42) labeled at the N terminus with Hylite555 (Aβ(1–42)555, Anaspec) was monomerized as described above for unlabeled Aβ(1–42).

Monitoring Aggregation Kinetics of Aβ(1–42) by ThT and SDS-PAGE—Aβ(1–42) monomer aggregation assays were performed in PBS or 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, as indicated, containing 200 μM ThT in a microplate reader (InfiniteM200, Tecan, Austria) at 37 °C. ThT fluorescence (excitation wavelength of 440 nm and emission wavelength of 485 nm) was measured every 5 min after 5 s shaking. 50 μl of Aβ(1–42) was removed at different time points as indicated and centrifuged for 30 min at 200,000 × g (Beckman TL-100). Supernatants were analyzed by denaturing SDS-PAGE.

Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy—Aβ(1–42) samples (15 μM) in PBS were measured in a 1-mm path length cuvette. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded between 200 and 260 nm with a step size of 1 nm in a CD spectrometer (J-720, Jasco, Japan).

Atomic Force Microscopy—10-μl samples were loaded onto freshly cleaved mica (mica was glued on a glass slide) for 5 min, washed with freshly filtered deionized water (3 × 100 μl), and dried overnight. All the images were taken using intermittent contact mode on a Nanowizard II/Zeiss Axiovert setup (IPK).

Cell Culture and Cellular Uptake—SH-EP cells were maintained in culture dishes (MatTek) and grown to about 80% confluence. For cellular uptake, the cells were incubated with Aβ(1–42) or labeled Aβ(1–42) at various concentrations, temperatures, and times. The cells were then washed twice with PBS and fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Primary rat hippocampal neurons were a gift of J. Meier, MDC Berlin, and prepared and cultured as previously described (42).

**Dot Blot Quantification of Aβ—**SH-EP cells were cultured as described above and seeded in a 96-well plate (10,000 cells/well) for quantification of Aβ(1–42) in supernatant and in a 12-well plate (80,000 cells/well) for quantification of Aβ(1–42) in cell lysates. Cells were incubated with monomeric Aβ(1–42) or Aβ(1–42) that was pre-aggregated to the t3 time point (Fig. 5A) in sodium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) as indicated. Cells were washed in PBS, lysed in 100 μl of Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 5.1) containing 2% SDS, and boiled for 10 min. 100 μl of cell lysates or culture media was run through a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) on a 96-well vacuum apparatus. Serial dilutions for monomeric and pre-aggregated Aβ(1–42) were spotted in triplicate on the same membrane as the cell samples, and were used as standard reference for calculation of Aβ(1–42) concentrations. The membrane was incubated with blocking buffer (5% BSA in PBS) for 1 h, was washed twice with PBS-T buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS) for 10 min, and then incubated for 1 h with primary antibody, anti-β-amyloid mouse monoclonal antibody (1:500, 6E10, Covance), followed by secondary antibody, IRDyeGAM-800CW (1:15,000, Li-Cor), in PBS-T buffer containing 0.5% BSA. Fluorescence of three replicate wells was evaluated through densitometry. Aβ concentrations were calculated from signals of reference dilution samples by linear regression analysis.

**Thioflavin S Staining—**Thioflavin S (ThS) was dissolved in 50% ethanol to 1 mg/ml, and stored at 4 °C. Fixed cells were stained with 0.01% ThS for 5 min, washed 3 times with 70% ethanol; incubated with 0.25% KMnO4 for 4 min, rinsed with water; incubated with 1% NaHBO4 for 4 min; then incubated with 3 × PBS (411 mM NaCl, 8.1 mM KCl, 30 mM Na2HPO4, 5.2 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.2) at 4 °C for 30 min and rinsed with water.

For live cell imaging, cells were incubated with ThS at 10 μg/ml in cell culture medium at 37 °C for 10 min. Then the cells were washed five times with PBS and kept in cell culture medium until microscopy.

**Immunofluorescence Staining(IF)—**To examine the dye fluorescence of Aβ(1–42)565 accurately reports the formation of intracellular Aβ(1–42) aggregates and their cellular locations, the cells were co-stained with anti-β-amyloid mouse monoclonal antibody (6E10, Covance), polyclonal anti-amyloid fibril antibody LOC (1:800, Millipore), phalloidin-568 (1:500, Fisher), or endocytic markers by IF after treatment with Aβ(1–42)565 aggregates. The fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 5 min, washed twice with PBS. Then cells were incubated with blocking buffer (3% BSA in PBS) at room temperature for 1 h, and were incubated with primary and secondary antibody (diluted at 1:2000) in blocking buffer in the dark at room temperature for 1 h, respectively. The following primary antibodies for endocytic marker proteins were used: anti-caveolin-1 (Santa Cruz), anti-clathrin heavy chain (Abcam), anti-EEA1 (C45B10, Cell Signaling), and anti-
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LAMP2 (Abcam) antibodies. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342. The dishes were stored in the dark until being viewed by confocal microscopy or epifluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Axiovert, ×63/1.4 NA oil immersion objective) as indicated. Colocalization was analyzed by Pearson correlation analysis using the coloco2 plug-in of Fiji. Thresholded Pearson analysis was used for colocalization of Aβ(1–42)565 with calcein fluorescence to include only endocytic vesicles that contained calcein.

High-content Screening and Analysis—For HCS experiments, cells were seeded into 96-well plates. The fixed cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 to visualize the nuclei. Nine images per well were recorded by a HCS microscope (Cellomics, Thermo Scientific) with a ×10 objective. For the compartmental analysis, the ArrayScan VTI software was used to identify nuclei by Hoechst 33342 fluorescence, to fit cell dimensions and to identify Aβ(1–42) aggregates through Atto 565 fluorescence (Fig. 4A).

MTT Assay—SH-EP cells were seeded into 96-well plates, grown to about 80% confluence and treated with different aggregates species of Aβ(1–42) at various concentrations. Cells were washed to remove extracellular Aβ(1–42). Each experiment was carried out in two separate 96-well plates. One plate was prepared for determination of cell number by HCS microscopy; a parallel plate was used for the MTT assay (Promega). The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h after adding MTT (15 μl/well) and for 1 h after adding solubilization solution (100 μl/well). The absorbance of MTT was recorded at 570 nm using a plate reader. Statistical analysis was performed by Student’s t-test assuming two-tailed distributions with equal variances.

Confocal Microscopy and Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) Image Analysis—All confocal microscopy experiments were performed in 35-mm dishes. The images of fixed samples were recorded with a confocal microscope (Sp5, Leica, Germany, Leica HyD hybrid detector) with an ×63/1.4 NA oil-immersion objective at room temperature. Live cell images were recorded by spinning disc confocal microscopy (Olympus IX83 microscope with Yokogawa CSU-W1 Confocal Spinning Disc unit, Japan, and Evolve 512 EMCCD Camera) with ×60/1.2 NA water immersion objective. Live cell FRET images were recorded with a confocal microscope (Nikon A1Rs, GaAsP PMT detector) with ×60/1.4 NA oil immersion objective. For live cell images, the temperature of the incubation chamber on the microscope was set to 37 °C, and 5% CO2 was filled into the incubation chamber. The fluorescence was measured for Hoechst 33342 with excitation wavelength of 405 nm and emission wavelengths of 420–460 nm; Atto488 with excitation wavelength of 488 nm and emission wavelengths of 500–600 nm; Atto565 with excitation wavelengths of 543, 561, or 565 nm and emission wavelengths of 550 or 580–610 nm; Atto633 and Cy5 with excitation wavelengths of 633 or 640 nm and emission wavelengths of 650–800 nm; calcein with excitation wavelength of 488 nm and emission wavelengths of 490–540 nm.

The images for sensitized emission FRET analysis were recorded by confocal microscopy described as above. For Atto488-Atto633 FRET pair, the fluorescence was measured with excitation wavelengths of 488, 633, and 488 and emission wavelengths of 500–600, 650–800, and 650–800 nm for Atto488, Atto633, and Aβ(1–42)588 to Aβ(1–42)633 FRET, respectively. Correspondingly, FRET between Hylite 555 and Atto633 was calculated from confocal images recorded with excitation at 561, 638, and 561 nm and emission at 570–600, 650–800, and 650–800 nm. Corrected FRET images were calculated from FRET, donor, and acceptor pixel intensities using the Fiji distribution and the PixFRET plug-in (43). The corrected FRET intensity for each pixel is given by,

\[ I_{\text{FRET}} = \frac{I_{\text{FRET}} - BT_{\text{donor}} \times I_{\text{donor}} - BT_{\text{acceptor}} \times I_{\text{acceptor}}}{1 - BT_{\text{donor}} \times I_{\text{donor}} - BT_{\text{acceptor}} \times I_{\text{acceptor}}} \]

(Eq. 1)

where \( I_{\text{FRET}} \) is the raw fluorescence intensity of the FRET channel, \( I_{\text{donor}} \) and \( I_{\text{acceptor}} \) are the fluorescence intensities of the donor (Atto488 or Hylite 555) and acceptor (Atto633), respectively. \( I_{\text{FRET}} \) is normalized (nFRET) as a function of the square root of the product of donor and acceptor intensities.

\[ nFRET = \frac{I_{\text{FRET}}}{\sqrt{I_{\text{donor}} \times I_{\text{acceptor}}}} \times 100 \]

(Eq. 2)

Intensity-independent donor and acceptor bleed-through (BT) were determined by,

\[ BT_{\text{donor}} = \frac{I_{\text{FRET}}}{I_{\text{donor}}} \]

(Eq. 3)

\[ BT_{\text{acceptor}} = \frac{I_{\text{FRET}}}{I_{\text{acceptor}}} \]

(Eq. 4)

which were fitted with a linear model.

In vitro FRET of Aβ(1–42)488/Aβ(1–42)633 was calculated as the ratio of \( I_{\text{acceptor}} \) (645 nm)/\( I_{\text{donor}} \) (530 nm) after excitation at 488 nm in a Spectramax i3x (Molecular Devices) fluorescence plate reader.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Live cell FRET imaging of Aβ internalization. SHEP cells were incubated with fibrillar Aβ1–42633 (150 nM) for 30 min followed by monomeric Aβ1–42555 (150 nM). Co-aggregation of monomeric and fibrillar Aβ1–42 was quantified by FRET analysis. Beta-sheet structures were co-stained with ThS. Shown is one representative time course out of four independent experiments.
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