Repository of the Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine (MDC) in the Helmholtz Association

http://edoc.mdc-berlin.de/15168

High-resolution in situ hybridization analysis on the chromosomal interval 61C7-61C8 of Drosophila melanogaster reveals interbands as open chromatin domains

Zielke, T., Glotov, A., Saumweber, H.

This is the final version of the manuscript. The original article has been published as Online First publication on 31 October 2015 and in final edited form in:

Chromosoma 2016 JUNE ; 125(3): 423-435 Springer Verlag

The final publication is available at Springer via: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00412-015-0554-5

1 2	High resolution <i>in situ</i> hybridization analysis on the chromosomal interval 61C7-61C8 of <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> reveals interbands as open chromatin domains.
3	Thomas Zielke*, Alexander Glotov* and Harald Saumweber ^a
4	Institute of Biology, Cytogenetics Group, Humboldt University Berlin, Germany
5	Chausseestr. 117, 10115 Berlin, Germany
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Key words: histone modifications, insulators, boundaries, polytene chromosomes
11	
12	
13	*both authors contributed equally to this work
14	^a to whom correspondence should be addressed
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	Author for correspondence:
23	Prof. Dr. H. Saumweber
24	Humboldt Universität zu Berlin
25	Institut für Biologie - Zytogenetik
26 27	Unausseestr. 11/
27 28	
29 29	hsaumweber@gmail.com
30	tel.: -49-30-20938178
31	fax.: -49-30-20938177
32	
33	

34 Abstract

35 Eukaryotic chromatin is organized in contiguous domains that differ in protein binding, histone 36 modifications, transcriptional activity and in their degree of compaction. Genome-wide comparisons 37 suggest that overall the chromatin organization is similar in different cells within an organism. Here we compare the structure and activity of the 61C7-61C8 interval in polytene and diploid cells of 38 39 Drosophila. By in situ hybridization on polytene chromosomes combined with high resolution 40 microscopy we mapped the boundaries of the 61C7-8 interband and of the 61C7 and C8 band regions 41 respectively. Our results demonstrate that the 61C7-8 interband is significantly larger than estimated 42 previously. This interband extends over 20 kbp and is in the range of the flanking band domains. It 43 contains several active genes and therefore can be considered as an open chromatin domain. 44 Comparing the 61C7-8 structure of Drosophila S2 cells and polytene salivary gland cells by ChIP for 45 chromatin protein binding and histone modifications, we observe a highly consistent domain structure for the proximal 13 kbp of the domain in both cell types. However, the distal 7 kbp of the 46 47 open domain differ in protein binding and histone modification between both tissues. The domain 48 contains four protein coding genes in the proximal part and 2 noncoding transcripts in the distal part. 49 The differential transcriptional activity of one of the noncoding transcripts correlates with the 50 observed differences in the chromatin structure between both tissues. The significance of our findings for the organization and structure of open chromatin domains will be discussed. 51

53 Introduction

54

Interphase chromosomes are organized as a series of cis-acting domains that differ in gene density, 55 56 transcriptional activity and degree of compaction and may form units for dynamic 3D nuclear folding. 57 Although such a chromosomal domain organization was consistently found by different approaches, 58 we are only beginning to understand the details of domain architecture, boundary formation, domain establishment and dynamic maintenance. Powerful genome-wide approaches correlating 59 60 gene density and activity with features of chromatin analyzed by means of high-performance bioinformatic methods (Sexton et al. 2012; Van Bortle and Corces 2012; Schwartz et al. 2012; Van 61 62 Bortle et al. 2014; Matzat and Lei 2014; Zhimulev et al. 2014) widened our view and strongly 63 supported the formulation of different concepts, although a breakthrough in understanding domain 64 formation and function still lies ahead.

65 Early on, chromatin research in diptera, foremost Drosophila, had an enormous influence on our 66 views on chromosomal domain organization. Polytene interphase chromosomes due to chromatid 67 amplification and restricted 3D folding represent a formidable example for such a cis-organization of 68 domains. Microscopic preparations of such chromosomes reveal an organized and reproducible 69 pattern of compacted chromomeres (bands) interrupted by less compacted interband domains 70 (Painter 1934; Beermann 1972). The difference in chromatin compaction was established by 71 cytophotometry (Beermann 1972) and EM dry mass determination (Laird 1980). RNA labeling and 72 RNA-polymerase localization in situ suggest, that interbands in contrast to bands are the 73 transcriptionally active part of the genome (Alcover et al. 1981; Alcover et al. 1982; Jammrich et al. 74 1977). This view was challenged by the limited coding capacity of interbands estimated to represent 75 only ~5% of the total genome (Beermann 1972; however compare Laird 1980) and by the 76 observation, that many of the RNA-polymerase molecules found in interbands are not 77 transcriptionally engaged but are in a paused state (Weeks et al. 1998). Therefore, interbands were 78 proposed to contain only regulatory elements that form a functional unit with the gene body located 79 in the adjacent bands. In fact, a situation reflecting this model was found by high resolution 80 cytogenetic analysis which demonstrated that an important regulatory region of the Notch gene 81 (Welshons and Keppy 1975), containing several alternative promoters (Vasquez and Schedl 2000) 82 was located in the 3C6-7 interband and the Notch gene body was fully included in the adjacent band 83 3C7. Later studies from the Zhimulev group (Demakov et al. 2004; Zhimulev et al. 2014) 84 complemented this view by demonstrating that other interbands may contain ubiquitously expressed 85 genes.

86 Together with the chromosomal domain concept the issue of domain boundaries came up. The first 87 domain boundaries described were located at the flanks of the Drosophila hsp70 domain. They are 88 formed by the scs and scs' DNA elements and essentially require the binding of the Zw(5) and BEAF-89 32 DNA binding proteins respectively for their function (Gaszner et al. 1999; Zhao et al. 1995). Zw(5) 90 and BEAF-32 spatially interact and fold the DNA containing the two hsp70A genes and two genes of 91 unknown function into a chromatin loop (Blanton et al. 2003) consistent with a model of genome 92 wide looped domain formation proposed by Benyajati and Worcel (1976). Moreover, BEAF-32 bound 93 to scs' shows insulator properties, protecting from inappropriate enhancer activity, consistent with its proposed boundary function (Zhao et al. 1995). Meanwhile other chromatin proteins with 94 95 insulator properties were identified in Drosophila, that either bind DNA elements (BEAF-32, dCTCF, GAGA-factor, TFIIIC, Su(Hw), Zw(5)) or associate with one of the primary DNA bound insulators
(CP190, Topors, Mod(mdg4)) and some of these proteins (CTCF, TFIIIC) are conserved in vertebrates
(Vogelmann et al. 2011; Schwartz et al. 2012; Van Bortle and Corces 2012; Matzat and Lei 2014).
Genome wide analysis identified thousands of binding sites for most of these proteins (Bushey et al.
2009; Schwartz et al. 2012; Van Bortle et al. 2014). Recent evidence suggests diverse functions for
these proteins and only a subset of sites forming dense clusters of protein binding at domain borders
may provide true boundary function (Matzat and Lei 2014; Van Bortle et al. 2014).

103 Studies of Sexton and coworkers (2012) confirmed the existence of contiguous chromosomal 104 domains along eukaryotic chromosomes. On the other hand, genome wide chromatin data were also 105 used to create combinatorial maps (color codes) that revealed similar contiguous chromatin domains 106 with discrete properties. Filion and colleagues (2010) used DamID profiling data for 53 chromatin 107 proteins from Drosophila Kc167 cells to obtain five principal chromatin types defined by unique combinations of proteins. The chromatin type "blue", marked by polycomb group protein binding 108 109 and the chromatin type "green" enriched for HP1 and associated proteins were classified as two 110 distinct forms of heterochromatin. The repressive "black" chromatin is relatively gene poor and is marked by SUUR, Su(Hw) and Lamin binding. Finally, two distinct euchromatic chromatin types, the 111 112 yellow and red chromatin show properties of transcriptionally active chromatin and substantial amounts of mRNA and RNA polymerase binding. Kharchenko and coworkers (2011) used genome 113 114 wide ChIP data of chromatin protein binding, DNaseI hypersensitivity and genomic run-on sequence reads in S2 and BG3 cells to establish a nine color code that was in many aspects similar to the 5-115 116 state model of Filion and colleagues (2010). Their states 1-5 (red, purple, brown, coral and green) 117 largely overlap the red and yellow chromatin of the Filion 5-state model. State 6 (dark grey) matches 118 with the 5-state blue chromatin, stage 7 (dark blue) and 8 (light blue) match with green and stage 9 119 (light grey) often coincides with black chromatin of the 5-state model. Typically for both models, 120 stretches of active chromatin alternate with extended domains of different forms of inactive chromatin. Combining cytogenetic data with chromatin color coding, and a variety of genomic data 121 122 on active and inactive chromatin marks, the Zhimulev group found a good correspondence between 123 the cytogenetic band/interband structure of selected regions of polytene chromosomes with 124 characteristic features of inactive/active chromatin from the same regions of S2 cells respectively 125 (Vatolina et al. 2011; Demakov et al. 2011). Extending these studies a four state chromatin model 126 was established based on the presence/absence of 12 interband specific proteins that was tested at 127 32 interband loci mapped by EM data (Zhimulev et al. 2014). They found that chromatin, that was 128 bound by all 12 interband specific proteins (cyan chromatin) correlated with all of their 32 mapped 129 interband locations and therefore should be typical for interband chromatin. Furthermore blue 130 chromatin, that contained less RNA polymerase and no Chriz protein was associated with so called 131 grey bands. The green and magenta chromatin type was not further specified, but correlated with 132 less active condensed chromatin regions.

As a model for testing mechanisms of open chromatin domain formation we selected the 61C7-8 133 134 interband (Zielke and Saumweber 2014), one of the best studied interbands that was also mapped by 135 EM analysis (Semeshin et al. 1989; Demakov et al. 1993; Demakov et al. 2004; Semeshin et al. 2008; 136 Berkaeva et al. 2009). In the present paper we report the cytogenetic mapping of the 61C7 to 61C8 137 region including the 61C7-8 by high resolution in situ hybridization. We find that this interband is 138 significantly larger than previously reported. Similar to the adjacent interband 61C8-9 it contains 139 several genes that are actively transcribed in diploid and polytene cells and it has a DNA content 140 comparable with the flanking 61C7 and 61C8 bands, that both have a lower gene density and gene activity. Thus, the 61C7-8 interband forms an active open chromatin domain, a property that mightbe shared by many other interband domains.

143 Results

144 Mapping the chromatin region 61C7-8 on polytene chromosomes by high resolution FISH

Previous EM work by Semeshin and coworkers (1989) mapped a P-element insertion (*P[hsp70:Adh](61C)*) that generated a new band 61C7' within the 61C7-8 interband. Using DNA probes from the inserted P-element Demakov and colleagues (1993) isolated genomic DNA from the flanking 61C7-8 interband region. In order to map the full extent and the boundaries of the 61C7-8 interband we used this information to design a series of 21 genomic 1 kbp fragments as *in situ* probes to start a chromosomal walk over the chromosomal interval 61C7 to C8 including the 61C7-8 interband (see fig.1).

As a first approximation for positioning the probes we oriented ourselves to data from S2 cells for the 152 pattern of histone modifications, the insulator proteins BEAF-32, CTCF and CP190 and the chromatin 153 154 proteins Chriz and Jil-1 in the region of interest (fig. 1 and Online Resource 1; data from modENCODE; 155 Gan et al., 2011; Gortchakov et al., 2005; Zielke and Saumweber 2014). Initially, we assumed H3K27me3 to mark condensed (band) chromatin whereas histone modifications H3K4me3, 156 H3K4me2, H3K9ac as well as BEAF-32-, CP190-, Jil-1- and Chriz- binding would mark open (interband) 157 158 chromatin and its boundaries respectively (Van Bortle et al., 2014; Zhimulev et al., 2014). The precise 159 genomic coordinates of the hybridization probes are given in table 1.

160



161

162

163 Fig 1.: Chromosomal walk for high resolution in situ hybridization analysis of the 61C7-61C8 region: a) 164 molecular coordinates of the chromosomal interval under investigation along with genes mapping in this 165 region. b) shows ChIP profiles of top: H3K27me3, middle H3K4mMe3 and bottom BEAF-32 mapped for this 166 region on Drosophila S2-cell chromatin (above zero line in green and below zero line in red enrichment and depletion of histone modification/protein binding respectively displayed as log SD; data from modENCODE 167 168 (http://modencode.oicr.on.ca/fgb2/gbrowse/fly/). Brown arrowheads in a and b indicate the location of the 169 1kbp genomic DNA probes used for in situ analysis. Probe numbers were given in a distal to proximal 170 orientation whereby distal is towards the tip of 3L. The genomic coordinates for 21 in situ probes in kbp are 171 given at the right side of the figure.

probe	molecular	cytogenetic	Genes mapping in the cytogenetic interval of the				
$No.^1$	coordinates	location	corresponding band or interband respectively ²				
	(kbp)						
			Gene name	function	coordinates 3L		
					(kbp)		
1	605-606	interband 61C6-7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
2	608-609	interband/band	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
		boundary 61C7					
3	617-618	band 61C7	CG43337	n.n.	620.7-622.3		
4	624-625	band 61C7	CR44513	non-coding; n.n.	609.2-609.6		
5	628-629	band 61C7	CR42719	non-coding; n.n.	615.6-616.6		
6	636-637	band 61C7	$CR43334^{3}$	non-coding; n.n.	628.4-643.9		
			CR43423	non-coding; n.n.	637.5-638.6		
7	640-641	band/interband	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
		boundary 61C7					
8	641-642	interband 61C7-8					
9	643-644	interband 61C7-8	CG12030/	UDP-Galactose-	648.6-651.3		
10	644-645	interband 61C7-8	Gale	4´-epimerase			
11	645-646	interband 61C7-8	CG3402	n.n.	651.5-652.9		
12	648-649	interband 61C7-8	MED30	Mediator	653.0-654.1		
$12a^4$	$650-654^4$	interband 61C7-8 ⁴		complex subunit			
13	657-658	interband 61C7-8	Rev1	DNA repair	648.6-651.3		
			bantam	miRNA	642.208-288		
			$CR43334-RB^{4}$	non-coding; n.n.	639.7-642.3		
14	660-661	interband/band	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
		boundary 61C8					
15	668-669	band 61C8	none	none	none		
16	675-676	band 61C8					
17	679-680	band/interband	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
		boundary 61C9					
18	685-680	interb. 61C8-C9/D1 ⁵	RabX6	GTPase	690.5-691.9		
19	691-692	interb. 61C8-C9/D1 ⁵	CG17129	n.n.	677.9-680.3		
20	694-695	interb. 61C8-C9/D1 ⁵	CG3386	MADF domain	680.4–682.0		
21	698-699	interb. 61C8-C9/D1 ⁵	earthbound 1	DNA-binding	682.9-685.5		
			CG3344	put. peptidase	685.9-688.0		
			CG32483	put. peptidase	688.5-690.1		
			Vti1	put. vSNARE	691.5-692.9		
			CG13894	put. DNA-	693.1-699.1		
				binding			

Table 1: molecular and cytogenetic location of hybridization probes used for mapping 61C7-8 172

173 probes numbered from distal to proximal (bp), abbreviations: n.a. not applicable; n.n. unknown

² data from FlyBase/ modENCODE (<u>http://modencode.oicr.on.ca/fgb2/gbrowse/fly/</u>)

CR43334 encodes three alternate transcripts A,B,C. CR43334-RB initiates at the distal boundary of 61C7-8.

174 175 176 177 ⁴ data from Zielke and Saumweber (2014)

⁵ band 61C9 reported by Bridges (1941) was not detectable at EM resolution (Semeshin et al. 1989)

178

179

For each probe at least 3 independent *in situ* hybridization experiments were performed. On average 180 181 for each probe 5 chromosomes with a distinct signal in the region of interest were recorded. Some of 182 the in situ results for representative probes that were critical for the determination of the boundaries of the 61C7-8 interband are shown in figure 2. From these data, a preliminary allocation of the in situ 183 probes to bands, interbands or boundaries could be obtained (table1). For instance, probe 605-606 is 184

located in the interband 61C6-7, distal to band 61C7, probe 624-625 is within the 61C7 band, probe 185

648-649 is within the interband 61C7-8 and probe 691-692 locates to the interband 61C8-C9/D1 (see
below). The full set of representative images of each *in situ* probe of the chromosomal walk is
presented in Online Resource 2.

189



190

Figure 2. Representative *in situ* hybridization probes mapping to the 61C7-8 region. Panels show successive *in situ* hybridization in the 61C7-8 region with representative 1 kbp probes from distal to proximal 3L with the genomic coordinates of the probes indicated as given in table 1. Top in each panel DNA staining (green), middle: *in situ* hybridization signal (red), bottom: merge. White arrowheads indicate the bands at 61C7 and 61C8 respectively. Distal to the left. Bar 3 μm.

196

197 Since the images were acquired by DeltaVision image restoration microscopy they retain information 198 of the recorded fluorescence intensity and therefore allow quantitative evaluation of intensity 199 profiles across the recorded signals. We used this feature to precisely determine the position of each 200 probe as the center of the recorded signal (for details see Methods). The relative cytogenetic position of each probe, as the value of the mean, was plotted against the genomic position in kbp. The 201 202 resulting line profile for the full image data set is shown in figure 3c. In the graph the slope is 203 proportional to the ratio of relative cytological distance to the length of DNA, therefore proportional 204 to chromatin compaction. A steep slope (a large relative distance traversed per kbp) indicates 205 decompacted chromatin, whereas a flat slope is expected for compacted chromatin (a small relative 206 distance traversed per kbp). As evident from figure 3c, the slopes of the graph and therefore the 207 degree of compaction, change in a predictable way. Regions allocated by previous visual inspection 208 to interbands show a steep slope indicating decondensed chromatin. In contrast, regions previously 209 allocated to bands show a flat slope as expected for more condensed chromatin. Interestingly, we 210 observed a sudden change in the slope at several positions within a ~2-3 kbp genomic interval, as would be expected at the boundaries between domains differing in their degree of condensation. We 211 212 therefore suggest that these regions indicate the position of the boundaries between condensed 213 (band) and decondensed (interband) chromatin domains as was proposed for the 3C6-7 interband by 214 Rykowski and coworkers (1988; see discussion).





217

218 Figure 3. High resolution mapping of chromatin domains and boundaries in the 61C7-61C8 region: a) DNA 219 staining (green), in situ hybridization (red) and a merged image is shown for a representative data set used to 220 determine the cytogenetic position of the given in situ signal on the distal 3L chromosome. The yellow bar 221 indicates position of the line scans shown in (b). The position of the bands 61C7 and 61C8 is indicated by 222 arrowheads. b) Line scan of DNA-staining (green) and in situ hybridization signal (red) in the 61C7-8 region of 223 the 3L chromosome along the yellow line displayed in (a). The determination of the distance Y for the in situ 224 signal to the reference band 61C7 and for the distance Z between the reference band 61C7 and the band 61C8 225 used to normalize data is indicated. The equation for the calculation of the relative cytogenetic distance in pixel 226 $(pix_i=Y_i(10/Z_i))$ and for the calculation of the average value ($\emptyset = \sum pix_{1-n}/n$) for each probe is further specified in 227 the methods section. c) graphic representation of the relative cytogenetic distance of the *in situ* probes plotted 228 against the absolute distance of the same probes on genomic DNA in kbp. Note, that the slope in the graph 229 represents the degree of condensation and is inversely correlated to the degree of condensation. The 230 boundaries of the domains 61C7, 61C7-8 and 61C8 are defined by positions of abrupt changes in the slope with 231 an estimated precision of 2-3 kbp (details see text).

Taking the data from the graph in figure 3c, we place the 61C7-8 open chromatin domain between the coordinates 3L: 640-660+2 kbp (fig. 4, Online Resource 1 and table 1). The 20 kbp domain contains four protein coding genes in its proximal part. In addition, two noncoding genes are located in the distal part of the domain. The bulk of *CR43334*, a large noncoding gene with unknown function, localizes within 61C7. It encodes three alternate transcripts that are transcribed in proximal direction. One of them, CR43334-RB, initiates at the distal boundary of the 61C7-8 domain and overlaps the *bantam* gene, an 80 nt miRNA transcribed on the same strand.

239 According to the criteria mentioned we also mapped the extent of the adjacent condensed domains 240 61C7 and 61C8 (fig. 4, Online Resource 1 and table 1). The distally located band 61C7 extends over 30 kbp DNA (3L: 608-640+2 kb) and contains one coding and four noncoding genes. CR43334 already 241 242 mentioned before is mainly located within 61C7. However, CR43334-RB, one of its three alternate 243 transcripts, starts at the distal end of the 61C7-8 interband. The proximal condensed band domain 244 61C8 (3L: 660–680+2 kbp) contains 20 kbp DNA and is therefore smaller than 61C7. This is reflected by a comparatively weaker DNA signal for 61C8 (see fig. 2). In addition, due to its comparatively 245 246 steeper slope (fig. 3c), 61C8 may be less condensed than 61C7. There are no transcripts mapped to 247 this domain.

248



249

Figure 4. Chromatin domains, boundaries and chromatin states in the 61C7-8 interval: top: Genomic coordinates of the interval investigated; grey bars indicate extent of mapped domains; middle: coding (blue, light blue) and noncoding (brown) genes mapped in this region, data from flybase/modENCODE (<u>http://modencode.oicr.on.ca/fgb2/gbrowse/fly/</u>); bottom: chromatin states presenting by color coding as published previously by Kharchenko et al. (2011), Filion et al. (2010) and Zhimulev et al. 2014. Vertical blue shaded bars indicate location of boundaries (<u>+</u> 1kbp) mapped by our *in situ* approach.

256

A decondensed region that we assign to the 61C8-C9/D1 interband follows immediately proximal to 61C8 forming an open domain with a size of minimally 20 kbp. The designation of the distal boundary

as C9/D1 was chosen since the C9 band originally mapped by Bridges (1941) could not be confirmed

265 not further mapped by the current study.

266 Known interband chromatin proteins are located within the 61C7-8 domain

267

Data for genome wide binding of many interband specific proteins in Drosophila S2 cells are available 268 269 (flybase/modENCODE (http://modencode.oicr.on.ca/fgb2/gbrowse/fly). In S2 cells, BEAF-32, Chriz, 270 Jil-1 and CP190 were found at many sites that were mapped as open chromatin by their pattern of 271 histone modifications, nucleosome density, DNase I hypersensitivity and susceptibility for transgene 272 insertion (Vatolina et al. 2011, Zhimulev et al. 2014). The interband domains mapped by our in situ 273 walk on salivary gland chromosomes coincide with such open regions mapped in S2 cells (fig. 4; 274 Online Resource 1). Assuming that the epigenetic state is conserved between both cell types we 275 would expect to observe the binding of these proteins within the mapped cytogenetic interval.

276





279 Figure 5. Chromatin protein binding in the 61C7-8 region: Indirect immunofluorescence was performed on 280 single and double stained, formaldehyde fixed salivary gland polytene chromosomes. a-a') DNA blue; Chriz 281 staining green; merge of Chriz with DNA; b-b'') double staining (same chromosome as in a-a'') b) merge of 282 Chriz green and CP190 red; b')CP190 red; b' merge of CP190 with DNA; c-c') DNA blue; BEAF-32 staining 283 green; merge of BEAF-32 with DNA; d-d'') DNA blue; Z4 staining red; merge of Z4 with DNA; e-e'') DNA blue; Jil-284 1 staining green; merge of Jil-1 with DNA; distal left; the position of the more distal band 61C7 and the 285 proximal 61C8 band is labelled by yellow arrowheads throughout; note that the 61C7-8 interband shows a 286 signal for all tested proteins; bar 2µm.

We therefore analyzed the binding of BEAF-32, CP190, Chriz, Jil-1 and Z4 to polytene salivary gland chromosomes by indirect immunofluorescence (fig. 5). All proteins are bound in the 61C7-8 open domain as expected. In the 61C6-7 interband all proteins are detectable, though weakly, except CP190 that shows a prominent signal. Furthermore, all proteins bind within the 61C8-C9/D1 open domain. At the condensed domains 61C7 and 61C8 no binding of any of these proteins is observed. This is not a limitation of our staining method, since we can clearly localize histones and other nonhistone proteins at these sites (data not shown). 294

Protein binding and histone modifications differ in the distal part of 61C7-8 between S2 cell and salivary gland cell chromatin

To probe chromatin protein binding at higher resolution we used ChIP/qPCR thereby concentrating mainly to the 61C7-8 open domain (fig. 6). A comparison of ChIP data for the Chriz binding on S2 cell chromatin obtained from modENCODE and our own experiments shows identical results, confirming that our ChIP method is reliable. In S2 cells ChIP reveals that Chriz is bound in three broad regions in the 61C7-8 interband: distally a smaller peak between 640-642 kbp followed by a prominent binding region between 647-650 kbp and a double-peak region at 652-655 kbp (fig. 6 b, c). Interestingly, in salivary gland cell chromatin the distal Chriz peak at 640-642 kbp is not formed (fig. 6d).



304

305 Figure 6. Comparison of the Chromatin state of the 61C7-8 open domain in diploid and polytene cells: 306 ChIP/qPCR was performed on S2 cell and salivary gland chromatin and data for the 61C7-8 open chromatin 307 domain were plotted against genomic coordinates of distal 3L; a) location of genes in the region of interest; b) ChIP profile for Chriz (flybase/modENCODE (http://modencode.oicr.on.ca/fgb2/gbrowse/fly) binding in S2 cells; 308 309 c) ChIP profile for Chriz binding in S2 cells (own data); d) ChIP profile for Chriz binding in salivary gland cells; note the absence of the distal peak of Chriz binding; e) ChIP profile for BEAF-32 binding in salivary gland cells; f) 310 ChIP profile for H3K4me3 histone modification in salivary gland cells; g) ChIP profile for H3S10ph histone 311 312 modification in salivary gland cells; h) ChIP profile for H3K27me3 histone modification in salivary gland cells. 313 Values plotted above zero line in green and below zero line in red indicate enrichment/depletion of histone 314 modification/protein binding respectively as log SD. Error bars represent deviation between three technical 315 replicas in one of two biological samples.

In S2 cells BEAF-32 shows four binding peaks in the 61C7-8 in open domain: at 640-642 kbp, 647-649
kbp and 652-654 kbp partially overlapping a peak at 655 kbp (Online Resource 1). However, similarly
to Chriz binding, the distal BEAF peak at 640-642 kbp is not detectable in ChIPs from salivary gland
cell chromatin (fig. 6e). In the remaining part of the 61C7-8 domain the binding of BEAF-32 and Chriz
in salivary glands is indistinguishable from their binding in S2 cells.

321 Similarly, in the distal region the chromatin modifications present on S2 cell chromatin differed from 322 those detected on salivary gland cell chromatin. In S2 cells H3K27me3 is depleted in the whole 61C7-323 8 open domain (639-659 kbp; Online Resource 1). In contrast, in salivary gland cell chromatin 324 H3K27me3 modification spreads into the distal part of the domain up to position 648 kbp, restricting 325 the H3K27me3 depleted zone to 649-659 kbp (fig. 6f). On the opposite, H3K4me3, a mark for 326 transcriptionally active open chromatin, that is found in the S2 cells between the coordinates 639-327 656 kbp (Online Resource 1) is restricted in salivary gland cells to the proximal part of the domain, 328 between 648-656 kbp (fig. 6g). H3S10ph in salivary glands is detected between the coordinates 648-329 660 kbp. This corresponds well to the distribution of the Jil-1 kinase in salivary gland chromatin 330 (Online Resource 3; see Cai et al. 2014), which is the enzyme responsible for this modification in 331 interphase. Unfortunately, there are no data for H3S10ph distribution in S2 interphase cells. 332 However, Jil-1 kinase binds between the coordinates 639-642 kbp in S2 cells (Online Resource 3; see 333 Cai et al. 2014).

334

The transcriptional state of noncoding gene *CR43334* in the distal part of 61C7-8 differs between S2 cells and salivary gland cells

To figure out whether the observed difference in the chromatin structure between S2 cells and salivary gland cells in distal part of 61C7-8 comes along with different transcriptional state in the genes located in this part of the domain, we compared the transcription within this interval by RT-



340

Figure 7. Transcription of genes in the 61C7-8 domain in S2 cells and salivary gland cells: Total RNA isolated from salivary glands or S2 cells was investigated by qRT-PCR using primer pairs within the noncoding transcripts

from salivary glands or S2 cells was investigated by qRT-PCR using primer pairs within the noncoding transcripts CR43334-RA (639,1) and CR43334-RB (640,3). Two salivary gland specific genes (*CG9040* and *Sage*) and *Gale* were taken as a control. The expression values were normalized relative to *Actin42a* (1.0) and plotted as relative fold change (RQ) on the abscissa for each primer pair side by side for expression in S2 cells (blue) and salivary glands (red). Error bars represent deviation between three technical replicas of two biological samples.

347

qPCR of total RNA isolated from both sources. (fig. 7). The coding genes in the proximal region were
transcribed in both tissues to similar rates except *CG12030/Gale* that was ~3-fold higher expressed in
salivary glands (Online Resource 4). Interestingly, qRT-PCR with primer pairs specific for the CR43334RB transcript in the distal part of 61C7-8 showed, that this transcript was robustly expressed in S2
cells but not in salivary glands (fig. 7).

353

354 Discussion

355 We determined the cytogenetic domain boundaries within the 61C7-8 interval on polytene 356 chromosomes by high resolution in situ hybridization. The method uses state of the art FISH 357 protocols combined with quantitative microscopy based on iterative deconvolution, a combination 358 that was previously successfully applied for mapping the boundaries of the 3C6-7 interband on the Xchromosome (Rykowski et al. 1988). The algorithm of deconvolution used in this method allows 359 360 quantitative sampling of the signal by including the out of focus information of the fluorescence emission from the probe. Peak intensity measurements for the DNA and the in situ signals were used 361 362 for the precise determination of probe position relative to the position of a reference band nearby. 363 Normalization of the distances was possible by using a second reference band on the same 364 chromosome to control for local stretching. Combining data from several chromosomes on the same 365 or on different slides, we obtained reliable mean values for relative distance between the probe and 366 reference band. The reliability of the method was already critically discussed by Rykowski and coworkers (1988). Depending on the density and the length of our hybridization probes we could 367 368 determine the domain boundaries with a precision of 2-3 kbp. Within ~70 kbp these boundaries 369 define three distinct chromosomal domains including the 30 kbp 61C7 and 20 kbp 61C8 condensed 370 band domains and the 20 kbp 61C7-8 open interband domain. In addition, the adjacent 61C8-C9/D1 371 (at least 18 kbp) and 61C6-7 open domains were partially mapped.

372 The domains differ in their degree of condensation but do not significantly differ in their DNA 373 content. According to our data the 61C7-8 interband is larger than previously estimated (Demakov et 374 al. 1993; Semeshin et al. 2008). It extends over ~20kbp and contains four coding and two noncoding 375 genes. Therefore, it is approximately of the same size as the flanking condensed band domains 61C7 376 and 61C8 that were estimated to contain 30 and 20 kbp respectively. Although we did not yet map 377 the boundary position of 61C9/D1 band, we estimate the adjacent interband 61C8-C9/D1 to include 378 at least 20 kbp and nine protein coding genes. Within the mapped interval open domains contain a 379 moderate to high number of protein coding genes, whereas the condensed band domains have a 380 significantly lower gene density and contain more noncoding genes. From the data of the relative 381 length/kbp ratio displayed in fig. 3 we estimate, that the chromatin in the band 61C7 is ~10x and of 382 the band 61C8 ~5x more condensed than the 61C7-8 interband chromatin. Considering our 383 provisional data on the minimal extent of the interband 61C8-C9/D1 we arrive at similar estimates. 384 Therefore, we feel it justified to define both interbands as distinct open chromatin domains 385 harboring several genes.

386 Our observation that the 61C7-8 interband forms an open chromatin domain is corroborated by the 387 binding of interband specific proteins to this domain, as observed by immunostaining. The proteins 388 Chriz, Z4, Jil-1, BEAF-32 and CP190 are localized in this region as well as in the adjacent distal and 389 proximal interbands. Available ChIP data for S2 cells suggest a distinct binding of these proteins to 390 the mapped 61C7-8 open domain with four peaks (642, 648.5, 653 and 654kbp) for BEAF-32 and 391 Chriz, and two peaks (648.5 and 654 kbp) for CP190. Jil-1 shows a rather broad binding between 648-392 662 (binding data for Z4 are not available). To our surprise, the distalmost 642 kbp peak of BEAF-32-393 and Chriz-binding was not observed in salivary gland chromatin, although this chromatin section 394 clearly belongs to the open domain according to our in situ mapping. An independent argument that 395 this DNA belongs to the open chromatin domain is provided by the work of Demakov and colleagues 396 (1993). This element is 2 kbp proximal of the P-element insertion at 3L: 639.7 kbp that was used as a 397 starting point for cloning the adjacent 61C7-8 interband DNA (Demakov et al. 1993). Considering 398 histone modifications we also note differences between S2 and salivary gland cells in the fine 399 structure of the distal 61C7-8 domain. Initially, the depletion of H3K27me3 (639-659kbp) and the 400 enrichment of H3K4me3 (639-656 kbp) were considered as useful marks for open chromatin. Both 401 enrichment for H3K4me3- and depletion for H3K27me3-chromatin in S2 cells correspond well with 402 the extent of the open domain mapped by our *in situ* approach (640-660 kbp). Surprisingly, this was 403 not the case for salivary gland cell chromatin. Here H3K4me3-enrichment and H3K27me3-depletion 404 are restricted to the proximal part of the domain (H3K4me3-enrichment: 649-659 kbp; H3K27me3-405 depletion: 648-660 kbp). We therefore conclude, that the open state of the distal part of the 61C7-8 406 domain as mapped by our *in situ* approach does not depend on these two modifications, making it 407 less likely, that they are directly involved in the formation of the distal boundary of 61C7-8.

408 However, this does not exclude a role of protein binding and histone modifications in the formation 409 of chromatin structure of proximal 61C7-8. There, the Chriz protein may be recruited by specific 410 interaction with DNA binding proteins like BEAF-32 (Vogelmann et al. 2014). Chriz may recruit H3S10 411 kinase Jil-1 whose enzyme activity has the potential for local opening of condensed chromatin (Deng 412 et al., 2008). Furthermore, the zinc-finger protein Z4, a specific interactor of Chriz (Gortchakov et al. 413 2005; Gan et al. 2011) was reported to recruit the Drosophila NURF chromatin remodeling complex 414 required for open chromatin formation of active genes (Kugler and Nagel 2010; Kugler et al. 2011). 415 The reported dependency of the distinct polytene chromosome structure on the presence of Chriz, 416 Z4 and Jil-1 (Eggert et al. 2004; Rath et al. 2006) as well as the requirement of Z4 for proliferation and 417 growth (Kugler and Nagel 2007) is consistent with these observations

418 Open domain formation may be correlated with transcription related processes, like promoter 419 activity, paused transcripts or transcription elongation. For instance the open state of the proximal 420 61C7-8 domain coincides with the four coding genes actively transcribed in the region. In particular 421 CG12030/Gale is strongly expressed in both tissues (Online Resource 4). Similarly, the adjacent 422 interband 61C8-C9/D1 contains a number of genes that are moderately transcribed in salivary glands 423 and therefore its open state is correlated with transcription activity as well (Online Resource 4). Of note, the mere presence of promoters and upstream regulatory sequences was described as a 424 sufficient condition for interband formation. The ~800 bp N^{fswb} deletion results in the loss of 3C6-7 425 interband (Welshons and Keppy 1975). This fragment was mapped to the 1.5 kbp 3C6-7 interband 426 427 (Rykowski et al. 1988). It contains several of the alternative Notch promoters and upstream DNase 428 hypersensitive sites (Vasquez and Schedl 2000) and is not transcribed in salivary glands. A 274 bp 429 subfragment from this region can induce transcription independent open domain formation at an 430 ectopic position (Andreenkov et al. 2012). A 4.7 kbp fragment (637.6-642.3) from the distal boundary 431 of the 61C7-8 domain was also reported to induce open chromatin ectopically within putative silent 432 chromatin (Semeshin et al. 2008). However, it may not be autonomous in this function. In their 433 experiments Semeshin and coworkers (2008) inserted the 4.7 kbp fragment in opposite orientation 434 650 bp upstream of a functional hsp70 promoter element. Hsp70 is known to be active even at 435 ambient temperature and therefore may contribute to local decondensation. In a somewhat 436 different chromatin setting, a 5 kbp DNA fragment from 61C7-8 fully including the 4.7 kbp element 437 failed to induce open chromatin ectopically (Zielke and Saumweber 2014). In these experiments no 438 additional promoter elements were present. However, the 5 kbp 61C7-8 fragment encodes a 2.6 kbp 439 alternate CR43334-RB transcript that is expressed in S2 cells but not in salivary glands. Its promoter 440 sequences (INI and DPE) as well as several putative binding sites for the transcription factor ADF-1 441 are located at 639.6-639.8 at the distal boundary of the 61C7-8 open domain and DNA fragments 442 overlapping these elements were shown to possess enhancer properties (Berkaeva et al. 2009). The 443 expression of CR43334-RB in S2 cells may coincide with the increased H3K4me3- and depleted 444 H3K27me3-modification and with Chriz and BEAF-32 binding in the distal 61C7-8 domain. In salivary 445 glands the absence of CR23334-RB expression may result in altered histone modifications and 446 protein binding in distal 61C7-8. However, this does not affect the formation of open chromatin in 447 this region, as demonstrated by our in situ mapping results. The CR43334 promoter elements and the 448 transcription factor binding sites, in cooperation with the proximal acting factors, may still keep this 449 chromatin in an open conformation.

450

451 Several genomic chromatin profiling data sets were used previously to establish color code maps of 452 Drosophila chromatin domains that differ in structure and function (Filion et al. 2010; Kharchenko et 453 al. 2011; Zhimulev et al. 2014). Although these maps are based on data from diploid Drosophila cell 454 lines related to embryonic hemocyte- (S2) or neural- (Kc) lineages, they fit very well to the 455 boundaries and the condensation state of the domains mapped by our *in situ* approach (fig 4). The 456 suggestion that the domain structure is conserved in different tissues is certainly true for the distal 457 boundary of 61C7 and 61C8 as well as for the proximal boundary of the 61C8 band. The 458 cytogenetically mapped boundaries coincide with transitions between repressed and active 459 chromatin states for all three color code schemes. Moreover, proximal of 61C8, distal of 61C7 and for 460 the 61C7-8 section all color code maps indicate active chromatin states consistent with the open 461 interband domain structure mapped by our approach. Although the color coding maps provide less 462 evidence, ChIP data for histone modifications and protein binding in S2 cells clearly indicate a 463 chromatin transition at 640 kbp (online resource 1). We therefore suggest that the proximal 464 boundary of 61C7 mapped by our in situ approach that coincides with changes in chromatin structure 465 of S2 cells represents the default state of chromatin folding in both cell types.

466

467 Color codes typical for active chromatin in principle match our open domains but are based on data 468 that were obtained at much higher resolution. The more details they include, the more mosaic the 469 color pattern becomes within a domain determined by cytogenetic methods. Even in the five color 470 code of Filion and colleagues (2010), the cytogenetically mapped domains are not of uniform color. 471 Not unexpectedly, this suggests that cytogenetic domains form integral units of slightly different 472 chromatin states that reflect the underlying activity of genes and regulatory sequences which they 473 contain. Zhimulev and coworkers (2014) used a combination of cytogenetic EM mapping and color 474 coding based on interband protein binding to allocate DNA sections to cytogenetically mapped 475 chromosomal domains. They proposed that chromatin classified "cyan" represents interbands and 476 classified "blue" chromatin may correspond to "grey" bands. In their algorithm the two states differ 477 mainly by the presence of the Chriz protein that often exhibits a very restricted local binding. 478 Although we do not want to exclude that in some cases this distinction may be valid, it cannot be 479 general. Our data clearly demonstrate that the 61C7-8 interband is composed of two peripheral and 480 one central sections of "blue" separated by two sections of "cyan" chromatin (fig. 4). A contribution 481 of "blue" and "cyan" chromatin to one and the same interband is also suggested for the interbands 482 immediately distal and proximal of 61C6 or 61C7 respectively.

483

484 To our knowledge our approach provides the best resolution we currently can obtain in cytogenetic 485 analysis of chromatin to match microscopic with molecular data. Our data strongly support 486 conclusions from correlation studies, that the boundaries and architecture of physical contiguous 487 domains (Sexton et al. 2012) are largely shared between diploid and polytene cells of different origin 488 (Vatolina et al. 2012; Demakov et al. 2012; Zhimulev et al. 2014). This adds to the long standing 489 assumption that cytogenetically defined chromatin domains on polytene chromosomes are 490 conserved in interphase cells. Organization and dynamics of contiguous chromatin domains have 491 important functions in the control of proliferation, growth and differentiation of cells and tissues. 492 Knowing the boundaries and the extent of chromatin domains will allow us to predict sequence 493 elements and mechanisms regulating their properties. Based on this knowledge, methods that 494 introduce site specific modifications of essential sequences within domains and boundaries can be 495 devised (Zielke and Saumweber, 2014; Hsu et al., 2014) that will be instrumental for better 496 understanding of domain functions.

497

498 Materials and methods

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) and determination of the relative position of the FISH signal

501 1 kbp DNA templates for the labeling reaction were prepared by PCR on genomic DNA from Oregon R 502 flies. The sequences of the primers used are available on request. The biotin-labeled 1 kbp DNA 503 probes were prepared by treatment of the purified PCR-products with BNT-Mix (Roche) according to 504 the manufacturer's protocol. The labeled DNA-probes were used for in situ hybridization as described 505 by Langer-Safer and coworkers (1982). For microscopy, a DeltaVision Spectris Optical Sectioning 506 Microscope (OSM) equipped with 60x and 100x lenses, a polychroic beamsplitter suitable for DAPI 507 and RD-TR-PE and filter sets DAPI (EX360/40; EM457/50) and RD-TR-PE (EX555/28; EM617/73) was 508 used. Images were obtained as a stack of optical sections that were deconvolved using DeltaVision 509 SoftWorx software. Single sections from the center of the stack were used for the analysis of signal 510 intensity profiles.

511

512 From the selected section a line scan of the FISH in situ signal as well as the DNA (DAPI) signal was 513 recorded along a representative line spanning the mapped chromosomal region (fig. 3a). We used 514 this line scan to precisely determine the position of the center of the probe as the pixel with the 515 maximum intensity value across the in situ hybridization signal. Similarly, the positions of the 61C7 516 and 61C8 bands were determined from the same profile as the maximum intensity values measured 517 across the DNA (DAPI) signals. The pixel distance pix_i between the maximum of the FISH signal peak 518 and the maximum of the 61C7 DNA reference band peak (Y_i) was normalized to the distance (Z_i) 519 between 61C7 to 61C8. To compensate for differences in local stretching between the chromosomes, 520 the equation $pix_i=Y_i(10/Z_i)$ was applied (fig. 3b). In this way, on the average five chromosomes from 521 three different slides were evaluated and used for the calculation of the mean of the distance value

- 522 (Ø) for each probe (equation $Ø = \sum pix_{1-n}/n$ in fig. 3b and Online Resource 5). Then, the relative 523 cytogenetic position for each probe was plotted against the genomic position in kbp (fig. 3c). 524
- 525 Immunostaining
- 526

Polytene chromosomes were prepared from third-instar larvae and immunostaining was performed as described by Eggert and coworkers (2004). All polyclonal antisera were obtained from Biogenes (Berlin) following immunization with affinity purified proteins expressed in *E. coli* by our laboratory. Primary antibodies against the following proteins were used: BEAF-32 (rabbit, 1:1000), Chriz (rabbit, 1:1000), CP190 (mouse monoclonal Bx63, 10 mg/ml), Jil1 (rabbit, 1:1000), Z4 (rabbit, 1:1000). As secondary antibodies we used: Alexa-Fluor-488- or -555-conjugated goat anti-mouse-lgG or antirabbit-lgG antibodies (Invitrogen) at 1:1000 dilution. Microscopy was as described for FISH.

534

535 ChIP

536 The primer pairs for ChIP were designed to amplify 180-200 bp fragments covering the 639-664 kbp 537 region of 3L chromosome. The sequences of the primers are available on request. Chromatin 538 immunoprecipitation was performed according to the protocol of Legube et al. (2006). Chromatin was prepared either from 10⁷ S2 cells or 100 pairs of L3 salivary glands. The following antibodies 539 540 were used: anti-Chriz rabbit polyclonal (own production, animal 6177), anti-BEAF-32 rabbit 541 polyclonal (own production, animal 21352), anti-H3S10Ph (ab14955, Abcam), anti-H3K4me3 (ab8580, 542 Abcam), anti-H3K27me3 (39155, Active Motif). To reverse crosslinks the immunoprecipitated DNA was incubated at 65°C overnight, treated with RNAse A and purified using Chip DNA Clean & 543 544 Concentrator Kit (Zymo research, D5205). Relative quantification analysis has been used to 545 determine fold enrichment over mock control. For estimation of ChIP efficiency of our own antisera, 546 enrichment over input was calculated for two probes positioned at 652,9 kbp and 654,2 kbp. With 547 BEAF32 antisera 14,6±0,8 and 7,7±0,7 percent of input DNA was precipitated for respective tested 548 sites. ChIP with Chriz antisera for the same sites resulted in precipitation of 27,7±2,0 and 24,7±1,3 % 549 of input, respectively.

550

551 RNA expression analysis

552 RNA for expression analysis was isolated from 20 pairs of third-instar larvae salivary glands or 10⁷ S2 553 cells using Quick-RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research, R1054). cDNA synthesis was performed using 554 Oligo dT/Random hexamer primer mixture and RevertAid Premium Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo 555 Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocol. Actin42a was used as the endogenous control in 556 further qPCR analysis.

557

558 Real-time PCR analysis

559 Quantitative PCR of ChIP and expression analysis was performed using SYBR Green PCR master mix 560 (Applied Biosystem) in a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystem). The amplification 561 parameters were as follows: 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C followed by 1 min at 60°C. At 562 the end of the program the melting curve was recorded.

563 Acknowledgements

564 We thank Petra Binting and Irina Passow for excellent technical assistance.

565

566 **Funding** This work was supported by a grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, 567 SA338/12-1 given to H.S.

568 569

570 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

571 572

573 **Ethical approval** All applicable international, national, and institutional guidelines for the care 574 and use of animals were followed. This article does not contain any studies with human participants 575 performed by any of the authors.

576

577 References

- 578 Alcover A, Izquierdo M, Stollar BD, Miranda M, Alonso C (1981) Analytical studies of chromosomal
- transcription detected by an endogenous hybridization technique (EHT) using autoradiography and
- indirect immunofluorescence in *Drosophila* hydei. Acta Embryol Morphol Exp. 2:131-140.
- 581 Alcover A, Izquierdo M, Stollar BD, Kitagawa Y, Miranda M, Alonso C (1982) In situ
- immunofluorescent visualization of chromosomal transcripts in polytene chromosomes.

583 Chromosoma 87:263-277.

584 Andreenkov OV, Volkova EI, Semeshin, VF, Zhimulev IF, Demakov SA (2013) Structural Features of

- 585 3C6/C7 Interband Chromatin Organization in *Drosophila* melanogaster Polytene Chromosomes. Cell 586 and Tissue Biology 7:347–351.
- 587 Beermann W (1972) Chromomeres and genes. In: Beermann W, Reinert J and Ursprung H (eds)
- 588 Results and Problems in Cell Differentiation: 4. Developmental Studies on Giant Chromosomes,
- 589 Springer Berlin, pp.1-34
- Benyajati C, Worcel A (1976) Isolation, characterization, and structure of the folded interphasegenome of *Drosophila* melanogaster. Cell 9:393-407.
- 592 Berkaeva M, Demakov S, Schwartz YB, Zhimulev IF (2009) Functional analysis of *Drosophila* polytene 593 chromosomes decompacted unit: the interband. Chrom Res 17:745-757.
- Blanton J, Gaszner M, Schedl, P (2003) Protein:protein interactions and the pairing of boundary
 elements in vivo. Genes Dev 17:664-675.
- Bridges PN (1941) A revised map of the left limb of the third chromosome of *Drosophila*melanogaster. J Hered 32:64-66.

- 598 Bushey AM, Ramos E, Corces, VG (2009) Three subclasses of a *Drosophila* insulator show distinct and 599 cell type-specific genomic distributions. Genes Dev 23:1338-1350.
- 600 Cai W, Wang C, Li Y, Yao C, Shen L, Liu S, Bao X, Schnable PS, Girton J, Johansen J, Johansen KM
- 601 (2014) Genome-wide analysis of regulation of gene expression and H3K9me2 distribution by JIL-1
- kinase mediated histone H3S10 phosphorylation in *Drosophila*. Nucl Ac Res 42:5456-5467.
- Demakov SA, Semeshin VF, Zhimulev, IF (1993) Cloning and molecular genetic analysis of *Drosophila* melanogaster interband DNA. Mol Gen Genet 238:437-443.
- Demakov S, Gortchakov A, Schwartz Y, Semeshin V, Campuzano S, Modolell J, Zhimulev I (2004)
- 606 Molecular and genetic organization of *Drosophila* melanogaster polytene chromosomes: evidence for
- 607 two types of interband regions. Genetica 122:311-324.
- 608 Demakov SA, Vatolina TY, Babanko VN, Semeshin VF, Belyaeva ES, Zhimulev IF (2011) Protein
- 609 composition of interband regions in polytene and cell line chromosomes of *Drosophila* melanogaster.610 BMC Genom 12:566-578.
- Deng H, Bao X, Cai W, Blacketer MJ, Belmont AS, Girton J, Johansen J, Johansen KM (2008) Ectopic
- histone H3S10 phosphorylation causes chromatin structure remodeling in *Drosophila*. Development135:699-705.
- 614 Eggert H, Gortchakov A, Saumweber H (2004) Identification of the Drosophila interband-specific
- protein Z4 as a DNA-binding zinc-finger protein determining chromosomal structure. J Cell Sci117:4253-4264.
- Filion GJ, van Bemmel JG, Braunschweig U, Talhout W, Kind J, Ward LD, Brugman W, de Castro IJ,
- 618 Kerkhoven RM, Bussemaker HJ, van Stensel B (2010) Systematic protein location mapping reveals five
- 619 principal chromatin types in *Drosophila* cells. Cell 143:212-224.
- Gan M, Moebus S, Eggert H, Saumweber H (2011) The Chriz-Z4 complex recruits JIL-1 to polytene
 chromosomes, a requirement for interband specific phosphorylation of H3S10. J Biosci 36: 425-438.
- Gaszner M, Vazquez J, Schedl P (1999) The Zw5 protein, a component of the scs chromatin domain
 boundary, is able to block enhancer -promoter interaction. Genes Dev 13:2098-2107.
- 624 Gortchakov AA, Eggert H, Gan M, Mattow J, Zhimulev IF, Saumweber H (2005) Chriz, a
- 625 chromodomain protein specific for the interbands of *Drosophila melanogaster* polytene
- 626 chromosomes. Chromosoma 114:54-66.
- Hsu PD, Lander ES, Zhang F (2014) Development and application of CRISPR-Cas9 for genomicengineering. Cell 157:1262-1278.
- 629 Jamrich MJ, Greenleaf AL, Bautz, EKF (1977) Localization of RNA polymerase in polytene
- 630 chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 74:2079-2083.
- 631 Kharchenko PV, Alekseyenko AA, Schwartz YB, Minoda A, Riddle NC, Ernst J, Sabo PJ, Larschan E,
- 632 Gortchakov AA, Gu T, Linder-Basso D, Plachetka A, Shanower G, Tolstorukov MY, Luquette LJ, Xi R,
- 533 Jung YL, Park RW, Bishop EP, Canfield TK, Sandstorm R, Thurman RE, MacAlpine DM,
- 634 Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Kellis M, Elgin SCR, Kuroda M, Pirrotta V, Karpen GH, Park PJ (2011)

- 635 Comprehensive analysis of the chromatin landscape in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nature 471:480-636 485.
- Kugler SJ, Nagel AC (2007) *putzig* Is Required for Cell Proliferation and Regulates Notch Activity in
 Drosophila. Mol Biol Cell 18:3733–3740
- Kugler SJ, Nagel AC (2010) A Novel Pzg-NURF Complex Regulates *Notch* Target Gene Activity. Mol Biol
 Cell 21:3443-3448.
- 641 Kugler SJ, Gehring EM, Wallkamm V, Krüger V, Nagel AC (2011) The Putzig-NURF Nucleosome
- Remodeling Complex Is Required for Ecdysone Receptor Signaling and Innate Immunity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 188:127–139.
- Laird, CD (1980) Structural paradox of polytene chromosomes. Cell 22:869-874.
- Langer-Safer PR, Levine M, Ward DC (1982) Immunological method for mapping genes on *Drosophila*polytene chromosomes.Proc. NatL Acad. Sci. USA 79:4381-4385.
- Legube G, McWeeney SK, Lercher MJ, Akhtar A (2006) X-chromosome-wide profiling of MSL-1
 distribution and dosage compensation in *Drosophila*. Genes Dev 20:871-883.
- 649 Mazat LH, Lei EP (2014) Surviving an identity crisis: A revised view of chromatin insulators in the 650 genomics era. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1839:203-214.
- Painter TS (1934) Salivary chromosomes and the attack on the gene. J Hered 25:465-476.
- 652 Rath U, Ding Y, Deng H, Qi H, Bao X, Zhang W, Girton J, Johansen J, Johansen, KM (2006) The
- 653 chromodomain protein, Chromator, interacts with JIL-1 kinase and regulates the structure of 654 *Drosophila* polytene chromosomes. J Cell Sci 119:2332-2341.
- Rykowski MC, Parmelee SJ, Agard, DA, Sedat JW (1988) Precise determination of the molecular limits
 of a polytene chromosome band: regulatory sequences for the *Notch* gene are in the interband. Cell
 54:461-472.
- 658 Schwartz YB, Linder-Basso D, Kharchenko PV, Tolstorukov MY, Kim M, Li H-B, Gorchakov AA, Minoda
- A, Shanower G, Alekseyenko AA, Riddle NC, Jung YL, Gu T, Plachetka A, Elgin SCR, Kuroda MI, Park PJ,
- 660 Savitsky M, Karpen GH, Pirrotta V (2012) Nature and function of insulator protein binding sites in the
- 661 *Drosophila* genome. Genome Research 22:2188–2198.
- 662 Semeshin, VF, Demakov SA, Perez Alonso M, Belyaeva ES, Bonner JJ, Zhimulev IF (1989) Electron
- 663 microscopical analysis of *Drosophila* polytene chromosomes. V. Characteristics of structures formed
- by transposed DNA segments of mobile elements. Chromosoma 97:396-412.
- 665 Semeshin VF, Demakov SA, Shloma VV, Vatolina TY, Gorchakov AA, Zhimulev, IF (2008) Interbands
- 666 behave as decompacted autonomous units in *Drosophila melanogaster* polytene chromosomes.
- 667 Genetica 132:267-279.
- 668 Sexton, T, Yaffe E, Kenigsberg E, Bantignies F, Leblanc B, Hoichman M, Parrinello H, Tanay A, Cavalli G
- 669 (2012) Three-dimensional folding and functional organization principles of the *Drosophila* genome.
- 670 Cell 148:458-472.

- 671 Van Bortle K, Corces VG (2012) Nuclear organization and genome function. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol672 28:163-187.
- Van Bortle K, Nichols MH, Li L, Ong C-T, Takenaka N, Qin ZS, Corces VG (2014) Insulator function and
 topological domain border strength scale with architectural protein occupancy. Genome Biology
 15:R82.
- 676 Vazquez J, Schedl P (1994) Sequences required for enhancer blocking activity of scs are located
 677 within two nuclease-hypersensitive regions. EMBO J. 13:5984-5993.
- Vasquez J, Schedl P (2000) Deletion of an insulator element by the mutation *facet-strawberry* in
 Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 155:1297-1311.
- 680 Vatolina TY, Boldyreva LV, Demakova OV, Demakov SA, Kokoza EB, Semeshin VF, Babenko VN,
- 681 Goncharov FP, Belyaeva ES, Zhimulev IF (2011) Identical functional organization of nonpolytene and 682 polytene chromosomes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS ONE 6(10): e25960.
- Vogelmann J, Valeri A, Guillou E, Cuvier O, Nöllmann M (2011) Roles of chromatin insulator proteins
 in higher order chromatin organization and transcription regulation. Nucleus 2:358-369.
- 685 Vogelmann J, Le Gall A, Dejardin S, Allemand F, Gamot A, Labesse G, Cuvier O, Nègre N, Cohen-
- 686 Gonsaud M, Margeat E, Nöllmann M (2014) Chromatin insulator factors involved in long-range DNA 687 interactions and their role in the folding of the *Drosophila* genome. PLoS Genet 10(8):e1004544.
- Weeks JR, Hardin SE, Shen J, Lee JM, Greenleaf AL (1993) Locus specific variation in phosphorylation
 state of RNA polymerase II in vivo: correlations with gene activity and transcript processing. Genes
 Dev 7:2329-2344.
- Welshons WJ, Keppy, DO (1975) Intragenic deletions and salivary band relationships in *Drosophila*.
 Genetics 80:143-155.
- 693 Zhimulev IF, Zykova TY, Goncharov FP, Khoroshko VA, Demakova OV, Semeshin VF, Pokholkova GV,
- 694 Boldyreva LV, Demidova DS, Babenko VN, Demakov SA, Belyaeva E (2014) Genetic organization of
- 695 interphase chromosome bands and interbands in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS ONE 9(7): e101631.
- Zhao K, Hart CM, Laemmli UK (1995) Visualization of chromosomal domains with boundary element associated factor BEAF-32. Cell 81:879-889.
- Zielke T, Saumweber H (2014) Dissection of open chromatin domain formation by site-specific
 recombination in *Drosophila*. J Cell Science (2014) 127:2365–2375.
- 700

701 Online Resource Materials

702 Online Resource 1 (ESM1)



Online Resource 1: Chromatin profiles of the 61C7-61C8 region under investigation.

a) molecular coordinates of the chromosomal region under investigation b) Genes located in this
region c) profiles of selected histone modifications mapped for this region for *Drosophila* S2-cells.
From top to bottom: H3K27Me3, H3K4Me3, H3K9Ac, H3K4Me2; d) Similarly, profiles of selected
chromatin proteins from top to bottom: BEAF-32, CP190, CTCF, Chriz. The semitransparent bars
indicate the position of the domain boundaries mapped by our *in situ* approach.

713



715

716 Online Resource 2: Representative images of all in situ hybridization probes mapped to the 61C7-8 717 region.

718 Panels show representative in situ hybridizations in the 3L: 61C7-8 region with all 1 kbp probes used 719 from distal to proximal. The genomic coordinates of the probes indicated in the top image of each panel are as given in table 1. Top in each panel shows DNA staining (green), middle: in situ 720 721 hybridization signal (red), bottom: merge. White arrowheads indicate the bands at 61C7 and 61C8 respectively. Distal is to the left. Bar at the bottom of last panel is 3 μ m. 722

724 Online Resource 3 (ESM3)

725



728 Online Resource 3: Jil-1 binding in the 61C7-8 open domain.

Figure shows profiles of Jil-1 binding in 30 kbp of 61C7-8 region. a) Genes located in the region; b) Transcripts located in the region; c) Jil-1 binding profile in 3rd instar larvae tissues (ChIP-chip dataset is available at modENCODE database (http://modencode.org/), submission [modENCODE_3292]); d) Jil-1 binding profile in S2 cells (ChIP-chip dataset is available at modENCODE database, submission

733 [modENCODE_3038])

735 Online Resource 4 (ESM4)





737



Figure shows the expression levels of coding genes located in the 61C7-8 region as listed in S2 cells (blue) and in 3rd instar larvae salivary glands (red). Data is available at FlyAtlas database (http://www.flyatlas.org/).

743 Online Resource 5 (ESM5)

genomic coordinates of FISH-probes in kbp	normalized pixel distance of FISH signal to C7 reference band							arithmetic average and standard deviation		
605-606	-2,5	-5,7	-7,1	-10	-7,5	-5,7				-6,42 ± 2,49
608-609	0	3,3	0	-2,5						0,21 ± 2,39
617-618	1,1	0	0	1,3						0,59 ± 0,68
624-625	0	2,2	1,1	0	2,5					0,83 ± 1,18
628-629	0	0	1,3	1,4	0,8					0,7 ± 0,68
636-637	1,3	-1,4	1,7	0	1,3	1,4				0,7 ± 1,19
640-641	1,8	1,3	0	0						0,77 ± 0,92
641-642	2	3,8	-1,4	1,4	1,4	3	0	0		1,37 ± 1,7
643-644	0	2,5	3,3	0	3,8					1,92 ± 1,81
644-645	3,3	1,7	1,7	2	2,9	2				2,26 ± 0,68
645-646	2	1,4	1,4	3,3	2,5	2,9	2	2,5	5	2,4 ± 1,11
648-649	5	2,9	2,9	5	3,3	2,9				3,65 ± 1,06
657-658	6,7	6,7	6	6						6,34 ± 0,39
660-661	10	13	8,3							10,28 ± 2,1
668-669	12	8,3	13							10,94 ± 2,28
675-676	12	12	12							11,83 ± 0,17
679-680	14	14	12	8						12 ± 2,83
685-686	20	14	23	23	14	16				18,36 ± 4,05
691-692	21	20	26	21						21,96 ± 2,55
694-695	20	30	18	22						22,58 ± 5,17
698-699	30	22	20							24 ± 5,29

744

745 **Online Resource 5: Table with data to obtain the relative distances of the** *in situ* **probes in 61C7-8**

746 (compare Fig 3).